



General Member Meeting 46

07-09-2022, 19:30

Minutes by Ellis Dijkstra, Max Liebe

Editor's note: A digital presence list and a recap of all action points given during this GMM can be found at the end of this document.

5

Opening

1. The 46th General Member Meeting of S.A. Proto is opened at 19:35 on 7 September 2022.

Announcements

1. The GMM will be held in Dutch.
 - 10 a. Although Max would prefer English.
2. Laura explains how a GMM works.
3. The Yearly Financial Report will not be presented today, but Martijn will explain why when the GMM reaches the Yearly Financial Report. This also means that some of the figures in the Budget Plan from the Candidate Board are not entirely correct and that Martijn cannot be discharged as Board 11.1 and not charged as a member of the Audit Committee.
 - 15 a. Peter and Maxim say that the Audit Committee discussed that it might be easier to discharge Martijn and to give him an Action Point to finish the Yearly Financial Report instead, as the accountancy ("boekhouding") is correct.
4. A letter has been handed in to contradict the results of GMM 45. This letter will not be discussed today, but the current board has planned a consultation moment and another GMM to look at the proposal in more detail. During this GMM, the Yearly Financial Report will be discussed as well.
 - 20 a. However, the candidate board will still – at least – get the €660,- that was previously decided on. If the next GMM decides on a higher budget, Ben can try to see if it is possible to hand out more money to the KB.

25

Quorum

5. At the start of the GMM, a total of 38 votes are counted.
 - a. 0 are present online.
 - b. Peter van der Burgt is authorised by Maartje Huveneers.
 - 30 c. Sander Koomen is authorised by Philippe Tuijnman.

Fixing the Agenda

6. The previous action points are added.

Previous minutes

GMM 44: Half-Yearly GMM

35. Page 3

- a. Line 91
 - i. Hannah notices that Martijn is working on a step-by-step document for the Upcoming Treasurer. Hannah wonders what the state of this document is.

- 40
1. Martijn has started on the document, but it is not finished yet. Ben will try to finish it in his year.
8. Page 4
- a. Line 149
 - i. Hannah wonders what the current state of the member passes is.
 1. Jesse answers that HYTTIOAOAc is working on the passes, but there is currently an error in the communication between the site and printer.
 2. Hannah wonders how much priority there is to fix this.
 3. Ysbrand answers that they plan to work on this soon, as the candidate board mentioned in their policy plan that they would like to reimplement these passes.
- 45
- 50
- b. Line 157
 - i. Hannah wonders how it is going with acquiring more ERO's.
 1. Louis answers that there are eight new people who are new ERO's.
9. Page 5
- 55
- a. Line 1
 - i. Hannah wonders if the board has made back-ups of the committee drives.
 1. Laura and Ellis confirm this.
10. Page 9
- 60
- a. Hannah wonders how many more members Proto needs to reach the 1000 member-mark.
 - i. Ellis answers that Proto needs 32 more.
11. Page 10
- 65
- a. Imke wonders if the candidate board will still write a document on how to gather Active Members.
 - i. Laura, Ellis and Martijn answer that the high number of Active Members is most likely due to a combination of factors, such as the extensive promotion of the committee market and the fact that everyone was eager to reparticipate in social activities after COVID, and everything that has been done to promote activeness within the association has been passed on to Sterre.
- 70
72. Page 13
- 75
- a. Line 581
 - i. Hannah wonders if it is still useful to make a guide for ordering the Sketching Sets, as the contact person for the Sketching Sets might change.
 1. Louis answers that Bertus Workel has so much experience already with Daedalus, that he guides the contact, and it goes smoothly. Furthermore, it is not difficult enough to need a guide.
13. Page 14
- 80
- a. Line 664
 - i. Imke asks why so many members signed up for committees and dropped out.
 1. Ellis responds that this is about members who signed up for committees at the Committee Market and didn't follow up on their interest rather than dropping out of committees.
 - a. **AP Ellis Dijkstra:** Clarify that this is about members who signed up for committees and didn't follow up on their interest rather than dropping out of committees (Minutes GMM 44, Line 664).
- 85
14. Page 21
- a. Line 1002

- 90 i. Hannah wonders what happened with the rather large budget the TIPcie has still left over from the previous year.
1. Thumbs up for the minutes. Martijn responds that the finances of the TIPcie have been checked and that everything is in order.
- b. Line 1021
- 95 i. Hannah asks what the board did with left over budget.
1. **AP Martijn van Ooijen:** Add what happened with the left-over budget (Minutes GMM 44, Line 1021).
15. Page 22
- a. Page 1031
- 100 i. Hannah asks if the trip to the University with Maxim was finished.
1. Thumbs up for the minutes. Martijn responds that this was finished up and resolved.
16. The minutes of GMM 44 are accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 19:57 by the GMM.

GMM 45: Proposal partial restitution for board members

- 105.7. General
- a. Peter remarks that the minutes from the past year were very nice to read through.
18. The minutes of GMM 45 are accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 19:59 by the GMM.

Previous Action Points

- 110.9. Laura responds that an overview was added to the wiki about the previous action points.
20. AP 20.14
- a. Maartje wonders why 20.14 has still not happened, as this is an action point from quite some time ago.
- i. Sebastiaan responds that this has happened by now.
- 115.1. AP 44.38 and AP 44.39
- a. Have not happened yet, who is going to be responsible for retrieving the money from the Student Union for the changing of the Statutes.
- b. These action points are moved to Ben and Martijn together.
- c. **AP Martijn van Ooijen and Ben Ligthart:** Look for the money from 1100 (General Register) in the archive in the storage closet.
- 120 d. **AP Martijn van Ooijen and Ben Ligthart:** Retrieve the money from the reservation from the Statutes back from the SU.

Documents received

Remarks on partial activity restitution proposal

- 125.2. This document will be discussed on the next GMM.

Puck Kemper leaves, the quorum goes to 37.

Letter from the Audit Committee

23. *Maxim reads the letter from the Audit Committee.*

- 130 a. The summary is that the financial health is mostly in check, although a few mistakes were in the report that was uploaded. Therefore, they suggest moving the voting to a later GMM. However, the financial health of Proto is still in check.

Agenda

Yearly report 2021-2022

135 24. General

- a. Imke remarks that there are quite a few pieces of text that mention that not everything is finished yet. She asks if the board talked to the candidate board to check if their priorities are in order.
- 140 i. Louis answers that the things that are unfinished, are unfinished because they did not have the priority.
- ii. Laura responds that the board has been transparent towards the candidate board about our experiences and the Board of Advisors will support the candidate board with these problems.
- b. Philippe thanks the board for their hard work and says that the board can be proud of their accomplishments, *he thinks*.
- 145

25. Page 6

- a. Imke wonders if delegating two committees was enough to create some free time.
- i. Laura responds that this helped the B, but of course, less committees to be responsible for would be more useful.
- 150 ii. Ellis answers that it was not possible anymore to delegate more committees, as there were no people more to delegate to.
- iii. The board will discuss if this will be added.
- iv. **AP Board 11.1:** Discuss if the board wants to add that more committees could have been delegated to other people (YR, Page 6).
- b. Maxim wonders where the piece about the ARBO went.
- i. Louis responds he doesn't know either.
- ii. Martijn responds he had one meeting, although it should have been four times per year.
- iii. Jesse adds he only had one meeting in his year as well.
- 160 iv. Martijn responds that there is nothing to say about ARBO.
- v. Laura says it is divided underneath the KB, but it is not a committee, so it does not have to be mentioned.

26. Page 9

- a. Line 234
- 165 i. Famke wonders why the number of activities was written down as "(too) high".
1. Laura responds that members did not go to activities anymore because the activity density was too high to attend all activities.

27. Page 10

- a. Line 271
- 170 i. Renske asks how the new Promo WhatsApp group was experienced.
1. Laura responds that the board did not ask the group specifically, but that word-of-mouth taught us that people appreciate not missing promotion for activities anymore.

28. Page 11

- 175 a. Line 293
- i. Famke wonders why the LinkedIn was both something the board wanted to prioritize, and the board would like to delegate to the Acquisition Committee.

- 180
1. Laura responds that this is mostly because she was too busy to do this herself, so she should have delegated this to the Acquisition Committee.
 2. Famke wonders if this should be the responsibility of the Acquisition Committee or of the board.
 3. Laura responds that as a board member, this easily falls to the background, so it might be useful to have an enthusiastic non-board-member responsible for this.
- 185
- b. Line 3.2.2
 - i. Imke wonders what happened with the plans for students of Y0.
 1. Laura responds that the board organised a picnic, but very few people showed up.
 - 190 2. Imke asks if the candidate board has plans to organise a picnic as well.
 3. Max nods.
 - a. (I can't hear nods, Max)
 4. Martijn adds that the board made a WhatsApp group for all first years.
 - 195 a. **AP Board 11.1:** Add that the board organised a picnic and made a WhatsApp group for students of Year 0 (YR, 3.2.2).
29. Page 12
- a. Line 342
 - i. Imke wonders why so few people showed up for the Active Member Activity.
 - 200 1. Laura responds that this is also most likely due to the high activity density.
30. Page 17
- a. 2.4.9
 - i. Imke wonders if Proto wants to make the Minor Guide Experience Questionnaire by themselves, as the EEMCS-wide initiative has been cancelled.
 - 205 1. Louis answers that the university is also working on this, and the MGEQ stopped because the initiatives clash.
 2. Imke wonders when this will be finished.
 - 210 3. Louis answers that this is unclear.
31. Page 18
- a. Renske and Jeroen compliment Laura on the acquired money. (48.58)
 - i. Renske: "Leip gedaan, Laura" (Good job, Laura)
 - ii. Jeroen: "Hey, dat is mijn text!" (Hey, that's my line!)
 - 215 iii. **AP Laura Schep:** Add the original target goal (YR, 2.5.1.1).
 - b. 2.5.1.2
 - i. Imke asks of the Company Month was also promoted as company Month.
 1. Laura confirms this.
32. Page 19
- a. Sander wonders why there is no funding from the EEMCS faculty anymore for the EEMCS workshops, as they were successful.
 - i. Laura summarizes that the budget for this is not available anymore as of this year.
 - b. Sander wonders why no activities were organized with the sister associations.
 - 225 i. Laura says time was hard and visiting their constitution drinks was already difficult.
 - ii. Ellis adds that the "feutenrondje" went by LinK and WATT.
33. Page 21

- 230 a. 2.6.1
- i. Imke wonders why money is first reallocated to committees rather than towards the liquidity.
 - 1. Martijn answers that this has already been accepted with the Policy Plan a year ago.
 - ii. Sander wonders why the left-over budget is redistributed to the next board.
 - 1. Peter adds that this should go to the liquidity as profit.
 - a. **AP Martijn van Ooijen:** Change that the left-over budget goes to the liquidity, not to the candidate board (YR, Line 596).
 - iii. Imke wonders if the new withdrawal limit of €40.000,- will be enough for all withdrawals.
 - 1. Martijn answers that the past half year already went past the €40.000,- , so this will, most likely, have to be increased again.
 - 2. Maxim mentions that there have been no problems in previous years with this limit, and states that it should have been fine for this year as well.
 - 245 3. Martijn says that the Rabobank did not make any adjustments during COVID due to COVID, but that this limit should already have been changed earlier.
 - 4. Jesse wonders if all problems with the last withdrawal were due to the limit.
 - 250 5. Martijn answers that this is most likely a mistake in the system, as for some people more than €250,- were tried to withdraw, which led to a decline of that withdrawal.
 - 6. **AP Martijn van Ooijen and Ben Ligthart:** Discuss with HYTTIOAOAc why the withdrawal during the summer vacation was declined.

34. Page 22

- 260 a. 2.7.1
- i. Famke wonders if there are documents for each function and if they are being worked on as well.
 - 1. Laura responds that the other guides were already almost complete, so they only needed to be updated rather than adjusted.
 - 2. **AP Board 11.1:** Add that there is being worked on all the function documents, not only on the documents for the Treasurer and Officer of Educational Affairs (YR, 2.7.1).
- 265 b. 2.7.1.1
- i. Imke wonders if the guide for the treasurer will be finished as well.
 - 1. Ben confirms this.

35. Page 23

- 270 a. 2.7.5
- i. Imke suggests adding a piece of text on the communication between the board and Foundation ICE.
 - 1. **AP Ellis Dijkstra:** Add how the communication between the board and Foundation ICE went (YR, 2.7.5)
 - ii. Renske remarks that there were enough companies arranged for the StudyTrip.
 - 1. **AP Ellis Dijkstra:** Adjust that Foundation ICE did arrange enough companies on location (YR, 2.7.5).
- 275 b. 2.7.7

- 280 i. Peter remarks that it is nice that FOBOS is now requested for committees as well but wonders why the KICC and the CampCo do get FOBOS, and the other committees don't.
1. Hannah responds that the KICC and the CampCo have educational value, which gives them priority.
 2. **AP Board 11.1:** Add that the KICC and CampCo get FOBOS because they have educational value (YR, 2.7.7).
- 285 ii. Renske wonders if the FOBOS for 2023 is still for the current KICC and CampCo.
1. Laura confirms this.

36. Page 24

290 a. 3.1

- i. Renske asks if it is a problem that the second committee market is less well visited.
1. Laura answers that this was not a problem but is mentioned because the promotion could have been better.
 2. Joris says that he hasn't heard anything about becoming active if you cannot be at the committee market.
 - a. Ellis responds that during our introductory talks it was mentioned that you can always come to the board or the Protopolis for help, including for this problem.
- 295 ii. Peter mentions that not all the committees have been sent the pieces of text with their evaluation. He suggests the Candidate Board to send these pieces of text.

300

b. 3.3; ACE

- i. Imke wonders if a party might fall more underneath the DisCo's repertoire.
1. Laura and Martijn answer that this is because it is a party organised with other associations and that this was first a festival, but this was not feasible any longer, so the ACE decided to make it a party.

305

c. 3.4; Alumni

- i. Sander wonders if the letter for alumni is already being handed out during the next ceremony and wonders who is responsible.
1. Laura answers that she started before the summer vacation with Alma, worked on this together with Tristan and that it will happen this year.

310

37. Page 25

315 a. 3.7; Dies

- i. Imke mentions that the timing of the activities was less than ideal and wonders why the Dies Dinner was not the first activity.
1. Ellis answers that it took a while to get a full committee, and because she wanted the Dies Dinner to be well-organised, so they moved it to later in the Dies. For the other activities, timeslots should have been reserved beforehand, this could have been executed better.
 2. Imke wonders if there is already looked into a location for the party of last year, as Saxion is currently claiming a lot of locations for parties.
 3. Laura responds that candidate board will have to take into account that this location should be claimed early on.

320

325

38. Page 26

a. 3.8; Disco

- i. Renske says that the request from the Dies also came rather late, so there was very little time to work on the Dies party.

- 330 1. **AP Martijn van Ooijen:** Add that the request from the Dies Committee was also late (YR, 3.8).
- ii. Sander says to add that the DisCo helped with Electroshock *and that Electroshock is not mentioned in the document.*
- 335 1. Louis for the minutes, thank you Sander!
2. **AP Martijn van Ooijen:** Add that the DisCo also helped with Electroshock (YR, 3.8).
- iii. Imke wonders which DisCo party Martijn means, as there were two.
1. Martijn responds that this is about the DisCo party, as in the party with the DisCo theme.
- 340 a. **AP Martijn van Ooijen:** Clarify that the mentioned DisCo party is the party with the DisCo theme (YR, 3.8).
2. Imke wonders why the Dies party should be one of the two parties the DisCo can organise.
- 345 a. Martijn responds that he forgot that the Dies-request would also be made and this way, planning mistakes cannot be made.
- b. **AP Martijn van Ooijen:** Rephrase that the DisCo should expect the request from the Dies rather than making it one out of those two parties (YR, 3.8).
- 350 b. 3.9; DIYCie
- i. Dennis wonders why there are a DIYCie 1.0 and 2.0 and wonders if they can be merged again.
1. Laura says that the candidate board is planning to do this.
39. Page 27
- 355 a. 3.12; EmergenCie
- i. Renske wonders who received the eight additional courses.
1. Louis responds that he advised the candidate board not to all follow an ERO cursus.
- 360 2. Ben answers that all years have been asked if they were interested, but there were only four applications, so the other four places went to the KB.
40. Page 30
- a. 3.22; KICC
- i. Sander wonders how the Kick-In went.
- 365 1. Ellis responds that the biggest problems were too much space in the logistics schedule and that there were quite a few fires that had to put out, but overall, it went well.
41. Page 32
- a. 3.28; SkiCie
- 370 i. Renske wonders if there is now more room to take members with you, as there was quite some interest for the last SkiTrip.
1. Ellis responds that people claimed a spot but signed out later. Proto barely managed to fill the 32 spots Proto had.
- ii. Peter wonders who is financially responsible for the SkiCie.
- 375 1. Ellis answers that the SkiCie travels with too many people, so the committee cannot do it without a travel agent, so members pay directly towards the travel agent. Other expenses will be way smaller.
- b. 3.29; SportCie
- i. Ruben wonders why the soccer cards were not mentioned.

- 380
1. Louis responds that this was not really SportCie, but more a member-initiative.
 2. 'vo for the Media Team
 - a. And for Coach Tijmen

42. Page 33

- 385
- a. 3.31 TIPcie
 - i. Sander says that it is rather sad that members go home directly after the drink rather than helping with cleaning up.
 1. Louis says the TIPcie found this sad as well, and that they tried to think of ways to make people stay after the drink, by promoting and mentioning to people that it would be nice if they helped cleaning up.
- 390

43. Page 34

- a. 4.4; S.A. Broto
 - i. Imke wonders if S.A. Broto still has to organise their activities.
 1. Laura responds that this is not an official demand, but rather something they wish to do themselves.
 2. Ellis confirms this.
- 395

44. Appendices

- a. A
 - i. Peter says that it is mentioned twice that people feel less welcome in the association room due to old board members. He wonders if this is a problem.
 1. Ellis responds that it is sad that people feel scared away by the old board members, but also that this is a part of the culture of Proto. Next to paying more attention to the people who walk in, there is not a whole lot to change.
 - ii. Imke mentions that quite a few of the averages are lower than in the previous survey and wonders if the board knows why this is the case.
 1. Ellis responds that this is mentioned at the top of Appendix B and that there can be quite a few causes for this. However, the board still had 40 hours of labour less for the second survey, so a decline in how well the board did would not be that unexpected.
 - iii. A.2.1; Education - CreaTe
 1. Line 24, "I feel like my educational problems and complaints are taken seriously"
 - a. Imke remarks that 0.4 is a large difference and wonders if the board knows why this is.
 - i. Martijn answers that this might be due to the problems with a teacher in M3. Louis adds that people were sent directly to Alma rather than taking this up with the EducaCie, so the contact between the teachers and students stays good as well.
 - iv. A.2.2; Education – I-Tech
 1. Imke wonders why the averages of the previous times are missing here.
 2. Ellis answers that the board didn't have a division between CreaTe and I-Tech for the previous survey.
 - v. A.3; The association
 1. Imke wonders why the average grade for the association has declined as well if this has been mentioned in the open answers somewhere.
 - a. Ellis answers that all open answers are summarized in the report.
- 400
- 405
- 410
- 415
- 420
- 425
- 430

b. Laura says this might also be due to the person who thinks Proto is too expensive.

vi. A.9; Activities

1. Line 220, "I'd like to see more activities of the type"

435 a. Peter mentions the comment "More big activities like sleep" □
"same"

45. The Yearly Report of 2021-2022 with the associated appendices are accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 20:58 by the GMM.

Yearly financial report 2021-2022

440 16. This will be discussed during the next GMM. There is a mistake in the table of Page 4. There is a plus of €7500,-, but it should be -€3000,-. The €9000,- from the past year, has not been placed in the right place, which means it is the differing number.

a. Peter says that there is a question mark in the table, which is because the EEMCS Subsidy still has to be added.

445 b. Martijn explains that the EEMCS Subsidy is determined based on the number of members for each of the EEMCS Associations, but one of the membership numbers were quite off. The board made sure that all numbers were checked, but therefore, this amount of money still needs to be redivided.

450 c. Peter adds that next year there will be quite a lot of extra money, as the next Board will receive the EEMCS Subsidy twice.

d. Martijn clarifies again that only the first page will be adjusted, and the other pieces will stay the same for roughly 99%.

Break

Lucas van Koppen leaves, the quorum goes to 36.

455 Policy plan 2022-2023

47. The meeting has been resumed at 21:18.

a. *Minutes by Max Liebe from this point onwards.*

Emma Burema arrives, the quorum goes to 37.

460

48. Page 7

a. 3.2; Committee Responsibilities

i. Peter asks why the internal is responsible for a relatively low amount of committees.

465 1. Sterre answers that there is not really a reason for it, and that she has more responsibilities in table 3.3 Other Responsibilities.

a. She adds that if it turns out this is too little at the start of the year, she will pick up more responsibilities.

470 2. Peter explains that he noticed it as the committees of concern are not large committees, except for surf camp.

3. Rachel remarks that when dividing the committees, it was mainly done based on the personal interests of that board responsible, without explicitly looking at dividing the workload equally.

475 ii. Imke remarks that we should pay attention to this, adding that Max has a lot of high-workload committees as the DIYCie, ProtoTrip and KICC.

- 480
1. Max answers that he kept in mind the fact that ProtoTrip and KICC have their workloads after each other, and not simultaneously.
 2. Imke answers that two of those committees simultaneously could still be a lot of work.
 - a. She adds that if the board pays attention to this, it should work out fine.
 - b. Sterre remarks that if the workload is too high for Max, she could take over some of the duties.
- 485
- iii. Ellis says that ProtoTrip and KICC do not fully alternate each other, as ProtoTrip is usually around March/April, while ideally the KICC starts in January.
 1. She adds that Max needs to keep in mind that ProtoTrip still needs to be encouraged to do their tasks while he is setting up the KICC.

49. Page 8

- 490
- a. 3.3; Other Responsibilities
 - i. Ellis remarks that the asterisk is missing for Foundation ICE.
 1. Rachel answers that this is indeed incorrect, and that it is stated correctly above the table.
 2. **AP Board 12.0:** Add an asterisk to Foundation ICE. (Policy Plan 2022, 3.3 Other Responsibilities)
 3. Rachel explains that the asterisks are there as the responsibilities for EEMCS activities have been divided among different EEMCS associations. Therefore, it is necessary to separate the responsibility as contact person from being the head responsible.
- 495

50. Page 9

- 500
- a. 4.1; Protopolis policy
 - i. Tijmen says that Alfred wants more of the monitors that are currently on the desk in Protopolis on the desks of the balcony and asks if there are any plans for purchasing (a) new monitor(s) with the budget.
 1. Rachel answers that there are currently no plans for this.
 2. Jesse adds that the HYTTIOAOAC has a large hardware budget for this that is currently not being used.
 - a. Peter remarks that the HYTTIOAOAC budget should not be used for this, and that there are other sources meant to be used to purchase something like a monitor.
 - i. He adds that board 12.0 should purchase monitors in order to keep Alfred happy.
 - ii. **AP Board 12.0:** Investigate the possibilities of purchasing (a) new monitor(s) for on the desk in Protopolis so Alfred's monitors do not have to be used as much.
 - iii. [As of 30-09-2022, one monitor has already been kindly donated by some workers of Fledge and has already claimed its space on the desk of Protopolis.]
- 505
- 510
- 515
- 520
- b. 4.1.5; ProtOpeners
 - i. Ellis remarks that she feels sour. She says that while there are currently 48 members in the ProtOpeners WhatsApp group, there are a lot less actively present members.
 1. She adds that board 12.0 should actively keep looking for new ProtOpeners, and that they cannot assume that they will be able to ProtOpen all the time. She says that board 11.0 stated in their
- 525

policy plan that they planned on ProtOpening as much as possible, but that most of the board members ended up being exhausted at the end of the year, not wanting to ProtOpen when they finally had a free afternoon.

530

51. Page 10

a. 4.2.5; Activity Distribution

i. Maartje asks how board 12.0 is going to make sure that there will not be an overload of activities in Q3 and Q4, as has happened in last few years.

535

1. Rachel answers that this has already been discussed, and that board 12.0 will try to prevent this by planning in activities early in the year. She mentions that an example of this would be making sure all the dates for the Dies activities are set as soon as possible so they will not have to be slotted in somewhere at the last moment.

540

a. She adds that since board 12.0 hopefully will not have to deal with the corona measures, meaning activities will not have to be changed last minute or planned in in a smaller timespan.

ii. Peter asks if there are any plans to move larger activities like Oktoberfest, Schrala and CoCoBo to earlier in the year to make sure there is enough time to organise everything.

545

1. Sterre says that Oktoberfest was organised after new year's last year, and not during Oktoberfest itself, adding that this is an example of activities that could be organised sooner.

550

a. She adds that it will investigated what activities could be moved to earlier in the year.

b. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into what (large) activities can be organised earlier into the year to prevent an overload of large activities in the last quarters of the year.

2. Hannah adds that she heard that Q4 was too busy from a lot of different study associations, and that they would look timid when she said they were organising too much.

555

a. She adds that board 12.0 should not feel obliged to organise everything if that means things get too busy.

iii. Renske suggests that it might be a good idea to have a brainstorm session with each committee at the start of the year to brainstorm about what kind of activities they want to organise throughout the year.

560

Thomas van Klink arrives, the quorum goes to 38.

b. 4.2.4; Committee Members Budget

i. Ellis asks what the plans are for the budget the EducaCie will receive for teambuilding and clothing, as it is a committee for which committee clothing is pretty much required in order to get people's attention.

565

1. Ben answers that this is more clearly explained in the budget plan, and asks whether Ellis read it or not.

570

a. Ellis answers that she did not read it.

b. Ben says that he does not want to talk to Ellis anymore.

2. Ben explains that a plan is in the works that allows certain committees for which both a teambuilding and committee clothing can be deemed essential to receive more budget in order to facilitate this.

575

3. Ellis also wants to confirm that the KICC and the Camp Committee still do not receive budget for this as they receive money from the EEMCS faculty.

a. Ben answers that this is indeed correct.

52. Page 11

580

a. 4.2.6; ProtoNight

a. Imke wants to confirm that board 12.0 is planning on starting up the weekly ProtoNights on Wednesday again.

i. Rachel answers that this is correct.

585

b. Maartje asks whether the plan is to organise extra activities if there is nothing planned on a ProtoNight.

i. She adds that she believes this is not a good idea as there are usually already quite a high number of activities being organised.

ii. Rachel answers that board 12.0 will try to plan most committee-organised activities on a ProtoNight if possible, and if there is nothing planned on a Wednesday evening they will try to organise something low-key themselves.

590

iii. Peter adds that while Maartje was against, he personally believes it can be fun to organise something else if nothing is planned.

iv. Laura asks what the plan is when nothing is planned on a ProtoNight and the board is not planning to organise something themselves.

595

1. She adds that board 11.0 often organised open study nights if this was the case, but that these were never really successful.

2. Rachel answers that in principle the goal is to have something planned on every Wednesday evening, and if this is not the case to keep Proto open.

600

3. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify that board 12.0 is planning to organise something every ProtoNight, and will keep Protopolis open otherwise. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.2.6 ProtoNight)

v. Louis suggests that the board should not always want to organise something if there are many activities planned on other days, as this could drive someone into a corner.

605

c. Maxim asks whether the board will be jointly responsible for the ProtoNights or whether who is responsible will switch every week.

610

i. Rachel zegt dat we dat nog niet besproken hebben, maar dat we daarna zullen kijken

ii. Rachel answers that this has not been discussed yet, but that it will be looked into.

iii. **AP Board 12.0:** Discuss how the responsibility for ProtoNights will be handled.

615

d. Renske says an activity can also be something low-key like a movie night.

b. 4.2.7; Event Promotion

a. Imke wonders how the board is planning on getting people to join a activities later during the year in case they missed the invitation to the group chat at the start of the year.

620

i. Rachel answers that a link will be put at the bottom of every weekly promotion in the year group chats.

ii. Ellis says she has noticed that people are already hyping each other up to join the group chat, therefore the system seems to be working.

b. Laura wonders how the effectiveness of the group chat will be evaluated.

625

i. Rachel answers that this will be done somewhere halfway throughout the first module and that the main statistic that will be looked at the amount of members of the group chat.

- 630
- ii. **AP Board 12.0:** Evaluate the effectiveness of the Promo From Proto WhatsApp group chat.
 - iii. Laura adds that the board should look out for this in order to not lose a full year of members who attend activities.
- 635
- c. Tijmen wonders how the board is planning to showcase posters digitally.
 - i. Rachel answers that board 12.0 is planning on using the screens in the Protopolis, and that the feasibility of this will be looked into.
 - ii. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into the feasibility of showing posters digitally on the screens in Protopolis.
 - iii. Ellis says that she does not see the point in this as there is also a poster board.
 - 1. Rachel explains that the poster board could be replaced by a screen showing the posters alternately.
 - 2. Ellis says that this could lead to GraphiCie members becoming demotivated as their poster is not printed anymore but rather shown alternately on a digital screen.
 - iv. Jesse asks whether the usage of Narrowcasting has been considered.
 - 1. Max answers that this was considered, as well as the possibility of using the new version of ProTube to show posters somewhere on the screen.
 - 2. Ellis asks whether or not it would be better to show sponsors on this screen instead, as the posters are already hanging on the poster board.
 - 3. Sterre says that all the options will be considered.
 - 4. Ruben suggests showing the posters digitally on the balcony.
 - a. Multiple people answer that there are already screen the balcony.
- 640
- 645
- 650

6553. Page 12

- a. 4.3.1; Member Acquisition
 - i. Imke remarks that it would be nice if it be written down exactly what the board is planning to do with upcoming first years (year 0 students).
 - ii. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify what the plan is for integrating the upcoming first years (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.1 Member Acquisition)
 - iii. Ellis suggests promoting the committee market when attending the first lectures of the different years as there will be a lot of people present here.
 - b. 4.3.2; Board Accessibility
 - i. Maxim remarks that 4.3.2 sounds rather static, and suggests that this could be added to the static policy plan.
 - ii. **AP Max Liebe:** Look into integrating 4.3.2 into the static policy plan. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.2 Board Accessibility)
 - iii. Hannah remarks that something could have been written down about open board meetings
 - iv. **AP Max Liebe:** Write something down about open board meetings in 4.3.2. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.2 Board Accessibility)
 - c. 4.3.3; Active Members
 - i. Imke asks whether or not the plan is to organise both an Active Member Weekend and an Active Member Activity, and suggests that it would be a good idea to organise a day-filling activity during the weekend so that people who cannot/are not willing to attend the whole weekend can join in then.
 - 1. Rachel answers that besides the weekend something easier to attend like a Battle Of The Committees-like activity will be organised
- 660
- 665
- 670
- 675

- 680 ii. Maartje remarks that the board should look into a location for the AMW early in the year, otherwise it will be difficult to arrange a location for so many people.
1. **AP Board 12.0:** Arrange a location for the Active Member Weekend before the end of the first module.
- 685 iii. Ellis asks about line 421 how the board will ensure the social media will not be spammed if all of the board members can create posts if they desire.
1. Rachel answers that Sterre is responsible for the Instagram and Tristan for the LinkedIn, and that the other board members purely have access to the account so they can create posts if someone is unavailable.
- 690 iv. Ellis suggests removing the part about GraphiCie members having to give sufficient feedback on posters to be considered active members as this is difficult to check.
1. **AP Max Liebe:** Remove the part about GraphiCie members having to give feedback in order to be considered active members. (4.3.3 Active Members)
 2. Hannah suggests this could lead to people not giving feedback and asks whether this is something that the board is okay with.
 - 695 a. Rachel answers that feedback is still very much desired and giving feedback is still encouraged, but not a necessary requirement.
 - 700 b. Hannah agrees that it is a good idea to keep promoting giving feedback to posters.
 3. Sander remarks that since posters are not a very sophisticated type of art, three comments should usually already suffice to greatly increase the quality of said poster.
- 705 v. Ellis suggests that OmNomCom members should be told that in order for them to be considered active they should have helped with at least three orders as there are no restrictions for this committee right now.
- 710 1. Ben says that he agrees that this is fair, as if a GraphiCie member is required to submit at least three posters, there should also be a similar requirement for an OmNomCom Committee member.
 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Add a requirement for OmNomCom Committee members to be considered active members (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.3 Active Members)
 - 715 3. Maxim remarks that while he understands this point, it could be more difficult to find people who want to join the OmNomCom Committee if there is such requirement.
 - 720 a. Ben answers that there is quite a big time frame of three modules before the AMW, so if a member does not manage to help three times within this timespan their activeness could be put in question.

54. Page 13

a. 4.3.4; International Students

- 725 i. Tijmen asks if there are any concrete plans for involving international students
1. Rachel answers that there are currently no concrete plans, but that the board 12.0 will pursue this matter actively themselves and try to talk to and involve international students as much as possible.
 2. Tijmen says that there are more possibilities to involve people than just talking to people.

- 730 3. Rachel answers that involving people usually starts with talking to them.
- 735 4. Sander suggests rewording “responsibility” to “initiative” as with the current wording it sounds more like the board will be solely responsible for involving international students instead of giving a prime example.
- 740 5. Ellis notes that “starting with inviting international students” sounds like the board will individually approach international students to talk to them, and wonders if this is the intention.
- 745 a. Rachel clarifies that this is for situations where for example an international student joins activities but is unsure about sitting down on the sofas in the Protopolis. In this case, the board would approach the student and invite them to take a seat.
- 750 b. Ellis wonders whether this would negatively affect how Dutch students feel accepted as involving international students would be the focus.
- 755 c. Rachel answers that this would be necessary as the language barrier could be a good reason for someone to not hang out in the Protopolis.
- 760 d. Ellis suggests having a small talk at the start of an activity that explains to people that the activity is organised by Proto and that everyone is free to hang out and grab a cup of coffee in the Protopolis.
- 765 e. Rachel explains that she believes approaching the person personally is more effective and gives them a better sense of being appreciated.
- 770 b. 4.3.5; Master Students
- 775 i. Imke mentions that the plan looks a lot like the plans that were out there in the past few years and adds that this is not a lot. She suggests that the board should re-evaluate the plans to involve master students as just visiting the lectures does not seem to work well.
- 780 ii. Jesse asks whether board 12.0 is aware that ITech is moving to Citadel and suggests that they stay involved in the matters surrounding this.
- 785 1. He adds that ITech will get their own central space and a bar with Alfred-like personnel.
- 790 2. He also suggests putting Proto stuff around the central space, like for example hanging up posters for Proto activities.
- 795 3. Hannah adds that they also have an Instagram account for this new space.
- 800 4. Imke adds that board 10.0 has already spoke to the new “Alfred” guy to make the new space feel like the SmartXP, and she suggests looking at this as well for promotion.
- 805 5. Jesse suggests looking into extending Alfred’s digital system to the HCI Lab to supply them with an easy system for lending out items or lasercutting.
- 810 6. Ellis suggests talking to older students and master students to gain more insights on this matter and adds that board 12.0 should not feel obliged to fully go resolving the issues with involving master students themselves.

- 780 7. **AP Board 12.0:** Contact or visit the HCI/Interaction Lab (staff) in Cubicus and look at how this space resembles the SmartXP, as well as the possibilities for Proto promotion.
- c. 4.3.6; Alumni
- i. Sander suggests not hosting the alumni panel in Enschede, as not a lot of alumni stick around here.
- 785 1. He adds that he organised this once in the centre of the country and that a lot of people joined here as it was closer by to them.
2. Jesse agrees, but adds that the alumni panel is an initiative by Alma
3. Laura says that the alumni panel was her idea, and that it was not meant for alumni but for students.
- 790 4. Jesse says that this was also an idea in his year and that it was also for students.
5. Laura says that she started organising this with the alumni committee in her year, but due to the alumni committee being busy the idea was not realised.
- 795 a. She also suggests not bringing everyone to the middle of the country as the event is for students and not for alumni.
6. Jesse adds that in his year Alma had invited a few alumni online to give guest lectures, and that this worked well as there was no hassle with people having to get to a location.
- 800 ii. Imke says that in the Yearly Report of 11.1 it is noted that the alumni drink is organised every Lustrum and asks whether this was not organised this year due to the covid-19 restrictions.
1. Laura answers that this is about the alumni day and that the alumni drink is organised yearly.
- 805 2. Imke answers that she will check whether she is blind.

55. Page 15

- a. 4.4.6; Study Nights
- i. Louis asks why study nights will only be featured when there are no big events going on.
- 810 1. Ysbrand explains that in principle study nights will always be put on the website, just not always in the “featured” section on the website.
2. Ellis adds that if study nights by some teachers become featured and study nights by other teachers do not, it could feel like being treated unequally for them.
- 815 b. 4.4.7; Educational Feedback
- i. Imke asks how the board will make sure that this will not become an anonymous platform for trolls and suggests rules and regulations are shown upon use.
- 820 1. Ysbrand answers that now it is just an idea and that the plan for implementation is not yet final.
2. He adds that the process of implementing it will be mainly trial and error.
3. He also adds that the main method of providing feedback will still be through the EducaCie, and that this will purely be a way to give feedback for the people that aren’t willing to contact EducaCie.
- 825 4. Imke emphasises that strict guidelines should be in place.
5. Ellis says she is afraid this platform will need to be heavily moderated, referencing what happened in module 3 the past year.

- 830 a. Ysbrand explains that during module 3 there was a problem with a certain teacher and that students reacted very heavily to this.
- 835 6. Jeroen says he has learned over the years that every positive solution will be used for negative purposes, noting that CRITEEC is often used to vent about teachers, and he says that if this were available as a public platform it would go terribly wrong.
- 840 7. Ellis says that the proposed voting system could lead to team ups against certain people, and she suggests the anonymous mail for EducaCie should be promoted more.
- 840 8. She also adds that before anything is done here, it should first be discussed with the staff.
- 845 9. Ysbrand says that he first wants to see how other study associations have implemented such a similar system and what problems they tend to have, and that based on this he will consider how and whether to implement the platform.
- 850 10. Ellis says it should also be checked whether these associations have had similar problems as the one in module 3.
- 850 11. Hannah says she does believe such a platform could be a good solution, as the people that do have feedback but are afraid or not willing to contact EducaCie or the faculty would be willing to use such a platform.
- 855 12. She also adds she believes that if *Inter-Actief* can manage to make it work, so should Proto.
- 855 13. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede:** Look at how other study associations have implemented their version of a public study feedback platform and decide whether and how it should be implemented for Proto.
- 855 14. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede:** Make sure to actively promote the anonymous EducaCie feedback email.
- 860 c. 4.4.8; CRITEEC
- 860 i. Imke asks Ysbrand to really make sure to attend the CRITEEC meetings and to communicate well with CRITEEC to keep them happy.
- 865 1. Ysbrand answers that he will make sure to keep it in mind.
- 870 2. Ellis asks what the meetings with CRITEEC are
- 870 a. Ysbrand answers that these are monthly meetings.
- 875 d. 4.5.1.1; Financial Sponsorship
- 875 i. Jeroen says that 9k is a nice amount but adds that he believes 12k to be a very high personal target and asks what the added value is about this for Tristan.
- 875 ii. Tristan explains he discussed it with Laura and Sebastiaan and that after some consideration this is the number he ended up with.
- 875 iii. Jeroen asks whether Tristan thinks this is doable or not.
- 875 iv. Tristan says that Laura could have gotten close to this if she would have been able to focus fully on being external in the latter part of the year instead of needing to do chair-specific tasks as well.
- 875 v. Laura says she believes this target should be able to be reached just fine.
8756. Page 16
- a. 4.5.5; External Publicity Policy
- i. Laura asks whether Tristan is looking into doing something again with company month.

- 880
1. Tristan explains that he is planning on doing something similar again.
 2. He adds that he plans on spreading out the events a bit more this time.
 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Add some text explaining that there are plans to organise the company month again this year (Policy Plan 2022, 4.5.5 External Publicity Policy)
- 885

57. Page 17

a. 4.6.3; Usage of Surplus Sponsorship

- i. Peter notes that this text refers to the static policy plan, and that the version currently listed on the wiki is outdated and should be updated with the most recent one.
 - ii. **AP Max Liebe:** Update the static policy plan on the wiki to the most recent one.
 - iii. Peter also asks what will happen with the extra 3k from this year.
 1. Ben answers that this heavily depends on what the status is during the semi-annual GMM, and that if there really is a high surplus of sponsorship money it could be passed onto committees that need more budget so they can pursue bigger projects.
 2. Peter remembers that Newton had a high surplus as well during their semi-annual GMM, and that they offered their committees to just use some money for their budget if they desired and suggests Proto could do something similar.
- 890
- 895
- 900

b. 4.7.1; Google Workspace

- i. Laura asks when the Google Workspace will be finished.
 1. Ysbrand says that the workspace was requested and works, and that there are plans to upload the committee drives to it soon.
 2. He adds that other processes surrounding the Google Workspace are still being investigated by the HYTTIOAOAC.
 3. Laura suggests to try and get this working before the first committee market so people can use it from the start, smoothing the process.
 4. Jesse suggests already using the Drive functionality as this is already working and not difficult to use.
 - ii. Hannah asks whether the backups of committees will also be uploaded here and asks if we will continue using the same drive for multiple years so multiple years of work can be easily accessed from the same place.
 1. She also asks what will happen with the board Stack.
 2. Ysbrand answers that the Stack will still be used for backups.
 3. Jesse adds that with Google Workspace the process of uploading backups to the Stack can be automated.
- 905
- 910
- 915

58. Page 18

920 a. 4.7.3; ProTube

- i. Peter notes he is happy with the return of ProTube and wants to confirm that it will be beta-tested in the following weeks
 1. Max answers that this is the case and while a release date is still uncertain it is actively being worked on.
 2. Maxim asks whether the NS ring will only be heard in Protopolis during testing as they will be striking in the rest of the country.
- 925

b. 4.7.4; Smartification of Protopolis

- i. Peter asks what exactly the plan is for the smartification and whether voice activation will be used.

- 930 ii. He also asks whether it will just be a website remote, like ProTube was before.
- iii. Max answers that voice activation will not be used as it seems like a bad idea. He clarifies that the idea is more to make life easier for ProtOpeners by for example allowing all screens and lights to be turned off/on simultaneously.
- 935 iv. Peter asks whether the Homey will be used for this.
- v. Max answers that if that is a possibility, board 12.0 will strive to do so.
- vi. Sterre adds that there are a lot of possibilities for controlling smart devices, so that these could also be considered.
- c. 4.8.1; Setting up of a 'SmartTeam'
- 940 i. Sander asks whether there is a covid plan for SmartTeam
1. Rachel answers that the previous board's covid plans will be used as a base for this.
2. Hannah asks whether the university is keeping the (candidate) board up to date on the covid plan they are working on/worked on.
- 945 a. Rachel answers that this is not the case.
- b. Hannah suggests checking this.
- c. **AP Board 12.0:** Check if the university has released/updated a covid plan that the board should use in case of a new wave.
- ii. Renske asks whether SmartTeam will also cover the Interaction Lab.
- 950 1. Rachel answers that currently the plan is to only set it up for SmartTeam, and that they are still discussing this with the building management.
59. Sander asks whether the people in the back can hear the people talking into the recorder
- 955 clearly.
- a. [Inaudible]
60. Page 19
- a. 4.8.2; Mental Health
- i. Laura asks whether the board will continue with EEMCS MentOS as with this it is actually possible to organise mental health related activities in contrast to with just the association.
- 960 1. Rachel answers that the current plan is to continue with this.
- b. 4.8.3; Beer Benches
- i. Imke suggests asking an additional fee if people want to use the beer benches cart as well to finance it.
- 965 1. Sterre answers that this could indeed be an option.
2. Tijmen asks whether it is even necessary to earn back the money of the beer benches cart instead of just spending it.
- a. Ben says that since €400,- is quite a lot of money that can also be used for other projects, the decision was made to think of a system to pay for it.
- 970 3. Ellis says that €500,- in one year is very difficult to reach with just renting out beer benches, and asks how much was earned with this before covid.
4. Martijn answers that it was more than €400,- in the past year, with which the beer benches were paid off, leaving €170,- profit.
- 975 5. Maxim adds that we should also receive money lending out the benches for outside drinks by committees.
- a. Ellis says they chose to not make committees pay for using the benches in their year.
- 980

- b. Sterre says that it's also not her idea to have committees pay for using the benches.
- 985 6. Sterre says that the benches were already rented out twice during the Kick-In, and adds that using the beer benches cart it will be easier to lend out the beer benches, as well as lower the bar for other people to rent the benches.
- 990 7. Ellis says that it could definitely be given a try, and adds that if during the semi-annual GMM it becomes clear the money is not able to be sourced from renting out the benches, maybe some of the external's money from sponsorships could be used to pay it off.
- 995 8. Martijn says that he remembers that Proto earned about €200,- from *Inter-Actief* as they had issues with putting their sponsor's logo on their own benches. They have their own beer benches now, so he says that it might be a good idea to check how much of the income was from *Inter-Actief*, as they most likely will not be renting from Proto anymore, as well as being able to lend out beer benches themselves.
- 1000 9. Maxim suggests that since the cart costs just €400, it should just be paid for once and adds that paying it off with renting beer benches only overcomplicates things.
- 1005 a. Ellis answers that while it is only €400, the policy plan mentions that this money will come from renting out beer benches, in which case it is quite a significant amount of money.
- b. Maxim answers that there is no timespan specified, so this money could be gathered over multiple years.
- c. Rachel suggests to end this discussion right now as it is more relevant for the budget plan.
- 1010 10. Imke points back to the initial question and asks what the opinion is about an additional fee for using the cart.
- a. Rachel answers that this is currently not part of the plan.
- ii. Ellis remarks that the only way the benches will fit inside the storage space is by placing them vertically, however this means they will not fit through the door.
- 1015 1. Sterre answers that she cleaned the storage space together with two others. All the items have been inventoried and as there will be a hanging plank added, a lot of the crates that are now on the floor will be on there. The archive has been moved upstairs and the barrel installation will be removed (already discussed with Alfred). This means that there will be enough space on the floor to store the beer bench cart and store all the benches horizontally.
- 1020 iii. Sebastiaan remarks that there is €40,- allocated in the budget plan to pay off the beer benches cart, but that in the policy plan it says that it is paid for by renting out beer benches.
- 1025 1. Ben answers that this amount is meant for if the cart is ever written off and a new one has to be purchased.
- iv. Tijmen asks where the responsibility lies for maintaining the cart, as there is €100 budgeted from the OmNomCom, but the cart is mainly used by TIPcie.
- 1030 1. Sterre answers that she will take responsibility and will see if she can work together with the TIPcie people to perform maintenance the cart.

- c. 4.8.4; Societies
- 1035 i. Peter notes that it was discussed that societies do not necessarily have to organise activities, but in the budget plan it is still stated that societies have to organise at least one activity.
- 1040 ii. He asks whether board 12.0 is planning on changing the regulations for societies, and notes that S.A. Broto would have to be scrapped otherwise.
1. Rachel answers that the restrictions on organising at least one activity will indeed be lifted, so this will have to be changed in the policy plan.
2. **AP Max Liebe:** Remove the restriction for societies having to organise at least one activity per year. (4.8.4 Societies, Policy Plan 2022)
- 1045 iii. He also notes that it is stated on the wiki that for each activity a budget plan should be created, but that this is useless if the activity is free.
1. **AP Sterre Kuijper:** Rewrite the piece on the wiki about societies, stating that societies should always create a budget plan for every activity, to exclude free activities.
- 1050 iv. Imke remarks that the point about budget being handed out on a case-to-case basis is not written down in the document on the wiki.
1. **AP Sterre Kuijper:** Add that societies can be given budget on a case-to-case basis to the societies document on the wiki.
- d. Laura vraagt of er een potje is voor als een society een activiteit wil organiseren
- 1055 i. Ben zegt dat we dit uit het good idea budget of protonight budget kunnen gebruiken
- ii. Laura zegt dat dit duidelijker in het budgetplan gezet moet worden
1. AP Ben geef duidelijker aan in het budgetplan hoe societies gefinancierd worden
- 1060 iii. Ellis vraagt of we hier niet een apart potje voor willen maken
- iv. Ben zegt dat dat zou kunnen, maar we weten niet zeker of er een society is die zo'n activiteit zou willen organiseren
- v. Laura zegt dat promotie voor societies belangrijk is en vraagt hebben we hiermee iets als plan
- 1065 vi. Sterre zegt dat ze het plan van een permanente poster voor in Proto voor de societies een goed plan is en dat we dat misschien willen doen
- vii. Ellis suggereert met societies op commissiemarkt staan
- viii. Renske zegt dat ze zich vaag kan herinneren dat ze het idee hadden om een society borrel te doen om de societies te promoten
1. Sterre zegt noted
- 1070 e. Laura asks if there is funding allocated for societies to organise activities
- i. Ben says that this money can be sourced from either Good Idea Budget or from Activities by the Board.
- ii. Laura remarks that there is no clear explanation written down in the budget plan how societies are financed and why this budget was increased.
- 1075 iii. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Clarify how societies are financed. (Budget Plan 2022)
- iv. Ellis asks whether this should be a separate source.
1. Ben answers that this is possible, but that it is never certain that there is a society who is willing to organise a large activity that requires some budget.
- 1080 v. Laura says promotion for setting up a society is important and asks whether there are currently any plans to promote it.

- 1085
1. Sterre answers she already discussed this with some people and that one of the ideas was putting up a permanent poster in Protopolis that describes what societies are and how to apply to become one. She adds that she thinks this is a good idea.
 2. **AP Sterre Kuijper:** Look into ways of promoting societies. (E.g. hanging up a permanent poster in Protopolis) (4.8.4 Societies, Policy Plan 2022)
- 1090
- vi. Ellis suggests presenting the concept of societies on the committee market.
 - vii. Renske says she remembers having plans in her board year to do a societies drink to promote the concept or establish new societies.

61. Page 20

a. General remarks

- 1095
- i. Louis notes he did not see anything about the remaining €2000,- of the NPO budget and asks whether board 12.0 considered this.
 1. Ellis adds that the budget needs to be used before 31 December and that the association will receive a new budget next year.
 2. Rachel answers that there were no plans yet, and that the money will be spent soon.
 - 1100
 3. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into ways of spending the remaining NPO budget for 2022.
 - ii. Peter remarks that he did not get to see any of the committee pieces beforehand, and that next time he would really appreciate to see these first.
 1. Rachel answers that this was indeed not done this time, and will be considered for the semi-annual report.
 2. Ellis asks Peter whether it is even necessary to send pieces of a new committee to the old committee, citing that for example the Dies has been inactive for quite some months now and would probably not find it necessary to read the plans for the upcoming year.
 - 1105
 3. Peter answers that here are enough committees that do continue into the next year and that he personally would have preferred to see the text for the DIYCie and the Guild of Drafters beforehand.
 - 1110
 4. Ellis answers that she does not remember any instance where the plans were shared with the committee beforehand.
 - 1115
 5. Peter says that he is used to seeing the pieces beforehand.

b. 5.1; The forming of committees

- 1120
- i. Maartje asks whether there is already a plan for dividing the committees based on the interest list.
 1. Sterre answers that there are currently no plans
 2. **AP Board 12.0:** Come up with a system to divide the people who signed up to an interest list for a committee into committees.
 - ii. Ellis asks whether there are any plans for when a committee is not able to find sufficient experienced people.
 1. Max answers that this will hopefully mostly be solved by doing extra promotion and asking people personally. And that for the KICC specifically there will be a drink this year in order to get people to join.
 - 1125
 2. Ellis asks why this would only be the case for the KICC and not for e.g. the Dies. She also asks why this would specifically need to be a drink.
 - 1130

- 1135
- 1140
- 1145
3. Max answers that he personally believes that a lot of experienced people come to the drinks.
 4. Rachel answers that this measure was put in place for the KICC specifically as the first committee market would have been too early, but the second committee market too late.
 5. Ellis suggests narrowing the gap between the first and the second committee market by doing a teambuilding, which also makes sure everyone already knows each other.
- iii. Ellis remarks that it should be mentioned that a lot of older people were rejected for becoming a CampCo activist in favor of new people, so that his can be reflected on later.
1. Ysbrand answers that this is technically still Martijn's responsibility.
 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Mention that the CampCo rejected older activists in favor of newer activists (for evaluation purposes). (5.1 The forming of committees, Policy Plan 2022)

62. Page 21

- a. 5.7; Dies Committee
- 1150
- 1155
- 1160
- 1165
- 1170
- 1175
- 1180
- i. Imke asks why it was chosen to again start the Dies before 20 April, as starting it afterwards would dodge the exam week.
 1. Ben answers that there is only one activity before the dinner, and adds that this activity is also planned before the exam week.
 2. He adds that this was done to spread out the activities more.
 3. Imke asks why there was not a third week planned after 30 April instead of starting before the 20th.
 4. Ben mentions that while this could have been an option, the cantus was also already planned here.
 5. He adds that there is still a possibility to look into the days before the cantus.
 6. **AP Board 12.0:** See if it would be possible to plan something for Dies in the week of the cantus.
 7. Rachel adds that the idea was to create some hype for the rest of the Dies, which is why there was an activity planned before the 20th.
 - ii. Ellis asks how many activities are currently planned for the Dies.
 1. Rachel answers that there are currently 7 activities planned.
 2. Ellis says that this is a nice amount, and suggests claiming an 8th day in case the Dies committee is a success.
- b. 5.9; DIYCie
- i. Dennis mentions he is happy with the merging of DIYCie and asks what exactly is meant with organising multiple smaller activities and what kind of activities these are.
 1. Max answers that most of the workshops DIYCie has organised have required a lot of preparation due to them requiring ordering parts, schematics, pilot assemblies, arranging lots of helpers etc.
 2. He adds that he is planning on organising more activities like the sk8erbois lasercut workshop, where a certain skill is taught without necessarily needing a lot of physical material and preparation.
 3. Dennis wants to confirm that these would be mostly activities that already have manuals written for them, or are just smaller projects in general.
 - a. Max confirms this.

- 1185 4. Peter says that he believes the amount of work that was put into the build your own speaker activity was exactly right, adding that the activities that were organised before that had a too high workload.
- 1190 5. Tijmen mentions that the philosophy of the DIYCie was once that the projects would be something one would not pick up on their own, as it would be too much work for one person to research everything.
- 1195 6. He adds that he believes the bigger workshops such as the lightsaber or handheld were great successes, even if they took more work to prepare for.
- 1200 a. Max answers that these activities will still be organised, but in order to not let the committee run dry smaller activities will also be organised.
- 1205 b. Dennis says he does not believe it is possible to organise both of these kinds of activities.
- 1210 c. Peter suggests organising multiple smaller activities that build towards a bigger project.
- 1215 d. He also suggests that Max discusses it with the three of them sometime to gain more insights on this.
- 1220 e. Max replies that the idea of the smaller workshops was already to let them cover different subjects such as lasercutting, soldering, 3d printing etc.
- 1225 f. Tijmen suggests letting the smaller activities be organised by individuals instead of the whole committee, even if it's still under the name of the DIYCie.
- 1230 g. Ellis remarks that since there are also plans for organising such activities with the EEMCS faculty, it could be a better idea to organise the smaller activities for e.g. lasercutting, soldering with EEMCS.
- 1235 h. Max answers that this is indeed a possibility, but he believes there is no budget for this.
- 1240 i. Sterre adds that the idea was also to let each association organise a workshop for something they are good at (e.g. Scintilla organises a soldering workshop).
- 1245 j. Ellis answers that 3d modelling and lasercutting are CreaTe-specific things, which would not only attract other associations but also lots of CreaTe first years.
- 1250 k. Rachel adds that while the EEMCS workshops would just cover the basics and would be short workshops, the DIYCie workshops could go one level above for the people that would like to have a more advanced knowledge on these topics.
- 1255 l. Maxim remarks that now that module 1 has drastically changed, this should also be kept in mind.
- 1260 ii. Peter remarks that the second part of this text is incorrect as the WLED workshop is not organised by strictly DIYCie members, but just random people.
- 1265 1. Max answers that it is partially incorrect as Peter is technically listed as a DIYCie member on the website, but as Renske is not a DIYCie member he will still change the piece of text.

2. **AP Max:** Adjust the second half of the DIYCie text to say that the WLED workshop is an initiative by two people who are willing to help, not current DIYCie members. (5.9 DIYCie, Policy Plan 2022)

12353. Page 22

- a. 5.12; EmergenCie
 - i. Maxim notes that eight new EROs have been recruited and asks how Ben will make sure that these new EROs get the experience they need.
 1. Ben says he has coincidentally already discussed this with someone from board 8.0, and answers that the new EROs will do a shift together with all an old fart ERO so they get the necessary experience and become capable of doing it on their own.
- b. 5.13; ENTROPcY
 - i. Ellis notes that in the policy plan it says that the ENTROPcY will be organising events by themselves, and wonders how many activities this will be and what as the ENTROPcY is mainly meant to help out other committees.
 1. Sterre answers that the plan is to let them organise one activity per year.
 2. Ellis suggests adding this to the policy plan
 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify that ENTROPcY organises one activity per year (5.13 ENTROPcY, Policy Plan 2022)
- c. 5.16; Gala Committee
 - i. Thomas asks what will happen if gala will not be not done together with EEMCS this year.
 1. Rachel answers that gala will very likely be done together with some EEMCS associations again this year, and adds that if it does not work out the board will look at other associations.

64. Page 23

- a. 5.17; GraphiCie
 - i. Ellis notes that it is written down that letting one person assign the posters and checking whether these were finished on time proved to be an effective solution, and remarks that this is incorrect as in especially the latter half of the year almost no posters were finished on time.
 1. **AP Max Liebe:** Rewrite the first section to be factually correct. (5.17 GraphiCie, Policy Plan 2022)
 - ii. She also suggests assigning one person to pay attention to posters getting finished on time.
 1. Jesse answers that this used to be a function within the GraphiCie.
- b. 5.18; Guild of Drafters
 - i. Peter asks why nothing is written down here about teambuildings as they were very successful for the GoD last year.
 1. Rachel answers that this will be changed.
 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify the success of the teambuildings for GoD last year and that these will also be held this year. (Policy Plan 2022, 5.18 Guild of Drafters)
- c. 5.20; Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee
 - i. Jesse asks what exactly is meant by giving more feedback to the board to show what the committee is working on.
 1. Ysbrand explains that this is specifically directed at the board.
 2. Jesse remarks that this would also be relevant for members to know.

- 1285
3. Ysbrand answers that this can already be seen on the GitHub if the members really want to know.
 4. Jesse asks if there are any ideas to communicate to the members what has been changed, like a changelog.
 5. Ysbrand answers that this is difficult as the updates are rolling updates.
 6. He adds that the pop-up feature offers nice functionality to show what has changed.
 - 1290 7. He also adds that changelogs take effort that he believes is best spent elsewhere.

65. Page 24

- a. General remarks
 - 1295 i. Sander asks whether the document has been changed since it was initially uploaded.
 1. Max answers that this is the case, but only with non-contextual errors.
 2. Sander remarks that this should have been announced beforehand.
 3. Max says that he will make sure to do so next time.
 - 1300 b. 5.21 InteracCie
 - i. Sanders asks if there are plans for doing something with the winter master Kick-In.
 1. Rachel answers that this is the case, and that the normal Proto(polis) introduction round will be held.
 - 1305 2. Sander remarks that this is good to write down.
 - a. [An action point was not given for this point, as this already seems to be explained in the Policy Plan]
 - ii. Ellis asks if the whole candidate board was there during the master Kick-In.
 - 1310 1. Rachel answers that this was not the case.
 2. Ellis notes that this should be kept in mind for next time as the master Kick-In is a good opportunity to introduce the board.
 3. Rachel says that in this particular case it did not work out as multiple things were planned on that day.
 4. She adds that the whole board also visited the lectures of ITech.
 - 1315 c. 5.23; OmNomCom
 - i. Tijmen notes that a shared calendar for the OmNomCom members seems like a good idea, and asks whether something similar will be put in place for when stuff needs to be ordered, as in the past year sometimes things would not get ordered.
 - 1320 1. Ben answers that ordering at the Makro is in principle the responsibility of the treasurer, and says that he does think putting a reminder in his calendar is a good idea.
 2. Tijmen stresses the importance of this by saying that the OmNomCom is for a lot of members the main reason they even come to the Protopolis.
 - 1325 d. 5.24; pLAN
 - i. Ellis asks whether the plan for a monthly or bi-weekly tournament was the plan of Max or a plan of the committee.
 - 1330 1. Max answers that this plan was discussed with the current pLAN members and that they found it a good idea.
 2. Ellis remarks that the breaks are often during bright daylight and that the break practically only lasts 45 minutes. She asks whether

this was considered and what measures could be taken to ensure the tournaments do not suffer from time constraints.

- 1335 a. Max answers that the electronics do not take long to setup and can already be put up before the break starts.
- b. He adds that it is good to keep in mind that the space has to be available at least 15 minutes before the break, so he will look into what the best way is to arrange this.
- 1340 c. **AP Max Liebe:** Look into how to make sure that time constraints are not an issue during the monthly pLAN tournaments.
- d. He also adds that the activity does not necessarily have to be a tournament, but can also just be e.g. a casual session of playing Mario Kart.
- 1345 e. Peter suggests looking into quick to setup alternatives like a Raspberry Pi Zero.

66. Page 25

a. 5.25; Protography

- 1350 i. Thijmen asks whether Sterre has thought of a structured way to check someone's availability for taking pictures.
1. Sterre answers that during the TIPcie meetings they came up with a new structure to arrange the drinks, and adds that a similar system could be implemented for Protography.
 - 1355 2. Thijmen stresses that the main goal should be to not reinvent the wheel multiple times: if a system works for one committee, it will likely work for another as well.
 3. **AP Sterre:** Look into applying a structured method of keeping track of everyone's availability for Protography.

1366 67. Page 26

a. 5.31; Symposium

- i. Sander notes that two staying committee members for Symposium is quite a lot and that it is usually less.
- 1365 1. Tristan answers that for Symposium a lot of people had indicated that they would like to stay in the committee.
 2. He adds that he chose to let two people stay in order to ensure that the new members could have a smooth start when setting up the new Symposium.

b. 5.32; TIPcie

- 1370 i. Laura notes that she thinks it is weird that a committee like HYTTIOAOAC hands in a budget plan, while TIPcie does not, as there is a lot of money going around in the TIPcie and the committee has made a budget plan anyway.
- 1375 1. Ellis adds that since a budget plan was already made, it should not be that much effort to add it to the appendices of the GMM.
 2. Peter asks why this would not be done for the OmNomCom as they also work with large amounts of money.
 - a. Ellis answers that since the treasurer is responsible for the orders of the OmNomCom, this is less relevant.
 - 1380 b. Peter notes that he has ordered multiple times as OmNomCom member.
 - c. Laura notes that the conversation should shift back to the TIPcie.

- 1385 3. Ben answers that the plan was to put the TIPcie budget plan in his budget plan, but as it was not finished on time he opted to not do this.
- 1390 a. Sebastiaan answers that he has a budget plan that is finished, and was not aware that this TIPcie budget plan should have been included in the general budget plan.
- 1395 b. He adds that he would have finished it sooner otherwise.
- c. He also adds that if necessary he could send it to Ben.
- d. **AP Sebastiaan van Loon & Ben Ligthart:** Add the TIPcie budget plan to the general budget plan.
- e. Laura stresses the importance of this, one again citing the large sums of money that are at stake.
- 1400 4. Sterre says that she discussed creating a budget plan with the TIPcie before the summer vacation, and adds that it is indeed a good idea to take this into account in the general budget plan in the future.
- 1405 5. Peter notes that there is no point in trying to add the TIPcie budget plan in after the document has already been approved during the GMM.
- 1410 a. Laura says that it could also just be an appendix.
- b. Ben notes that Martijn has also considered it in the balance.
- 1415 c. Laura says that she would like to see the document during the next GMM.
- i. Sebastiaan says that he will make sure to submit it for the next GMM as there are quite some interesting things to look at such as the gilets.
- 1420 ii. **AP Sebastiaan van Loon:** Submit the TIPcie budget plan as a document for the next GMM.
- 1425 ii. Tijmen wants to confirm that “general graduation drinks” is about master students and that “graduation drinks” is about bachelor students.
- 1430 1. Rachel confirms that the drinks in the Waaier concern individuals.
2. Tijmen says that he foresees a problem as the drinks in the Waaier are often already occupied, adding that promoting organising these from Proto could lead to problems and asks how board 12.0 envisions facilitating this.
- 1435 3. The crowd mentions that the drink could otherwise be held in SmartXP.
- 1440 4. Hannah remarks that people should just claim the spots long before the drink is happening, adding that there is not really another way to go about it and it would be a waste to let this be a reason to not organise it with Proto.
- 1445 5. Maxim notes that sometimes the courtyard was used and in certain occasions the drinks would be planned in the weekend, so he believes it will not be much of a problem.
- 1450 6. Peter warns that attention should be paid to what brochures are sent.
- 1455 a. Sterre answers that the idea is more to let the university know that having the drink be organised by Proto is an option.

- 1435 i. Peter says that he thinks the idea of the gilets is nice, but that it is not noted anywhere where the money for the gilets will come from.
1. Sterre answers that the primary source is currently the bachelor ceremony drinks, as this is the first external drink and because it will make the drafters seem more professional.
 - 1440 2. She adds that there is also a separate source of €400,- from the Guild of Drafters that will help finance it.
 3. Peter says that this still leaves some other sources where the money needs to come from.
 4. Sebastiaan says that the TIPcie has estimated that an additional €1000,- will be gained with other external drinks.
 - 1445 5. He adds that currently the plan is for this money to be used for themed drinks or keeping the beer prices low, but that it could also be used for the gilets if the bachelor drink does not yield the expected profit.
 - 1450 6. He also adds that the TIPcie also has a balance of €1000,- that is used to cover unexpected losses made by the TIPcie that could be used for this, noting that this number is currently stated as €1700 which should be fixed.
 - 1455 7. Peter says he feels that the TIPcie does magic with money, adding that he used to do the same when he was with TIPcie.
 8. He explains that the €1000,- is used to pay off e.g. SBZ invoices.
 9. He clarifies that the number being €1700,- means that there was a profit of €700, adding that for the OmNomCom this would be put on the general liquidity. He asks why this is not the case for TIPcie.
 - 1460 10. He also asks what will happen if the external drinks do not yield the expected profit, citing that this would lead to about €1600 euros having to be sourced from somewhere.
 - a. Sterre answers that the amount would be maximum around €1200,- based on her calculation when looking at how many people are in the WhatsApp group.
 - 1465 b. She also adds that the embroidering will most likely also cost less than she had accounted for.
 - c. Peter repeats his question and asks where this €1200,- will come from, stating that there should be a secondary plan.
 - 1470 d. Martijn remarks that when the EEMCS subsidy is deposited, the year 2021/2022 will have about €7000,- in profit which could be used to finance the gilets.
 - i. Sebastiaan adds that this would be the same as if the external does not manage to reach their target: it will be sourced from somewhere else.
 - 1475 ii. Peter answers that while this is correct, one could wonder if the profit should be used to support a single committee.
 - e. Ben explains that €1200,- will be the maximum cost and that there is already €400,- put aside from the GoD. On top of that there is the surplus of €700,- from the TIPcie, meaning that this only leaves €100,- that could very well be sourced from the EEMCS subsidy for plan B.
 - 1480 i. Peter notes that he did not know the embroidering was included in the €1200.

- 1485 ii. Louis notes that the liquidity is meant for resolving unexpected costs and that a lot has already been accounted for in the calculations, stating that this is exactly the kind of thing the liquidity could be used for in case things go wrong.
- 1490 iii. He adds that he believes the external drinks will be very profitable, and if necessary the TIPcie could always promote it more.
- 1495 iv. Peter agrees, but notes that since the money will not have been deposited yet a deficit could be a problem in the beginning.
- v. Ben answers that this is where the EEMCS subsidy could be used for.
- vi. Peter says he personally believes the liquidity should not be used for a single committee.
- 1500 f. Sterre says a bit more explanation could be given at the next GMM where the TIPcie budget plan will be presented.
- i. Sebastiaan notes that as the gilets will need to be there for the graduation drink, part of the money will have been spent before the next GMM.

15059. Page 28

- a. General remarks:
- i. Ellis says that she is sorry and feels sour for asking so many questions.
- 1510 ii. She adds that she is incredibly hyped for the upcoming year and that she has full faith in board 12.0, adding that she feels the enthusiasm and nervousness board 12.0 is experiencing right now.
- iii. She wishes board 12.0 good luck for the upcoming year.
- iv. Flip says: "lots of luck and have fun! ;-)"
70. The Policy Plan 2022-2023 is accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 23:23 by
1515 the GMM.

Discharge Board 11.1 and charge Board 12.0 (for Approval)

71. Voting: discharge Laura Schep, Ellis Dijkstra, Martijn van Ooijen, Louis van Maurik and Sarah Jansen as the Board of S.A. Proto and charge Rachel den Otter, Max Liebe, Ben Ligthart, Sterre Kuijper, Tristan van Marle and Ysbrand Burgstede as the new Board of S.A.
1520 Proto.
- a. Laura asks if anyone is against not discharging Martijn van Ooijen as board, as the financial plan is not yet finished.
- i. Nobody has any objections.
72. The Board is charged by the GMM at 23:24.

1525 Break

Bart Sprenkels, Jochem Schaap, Joris Agtereek, Jelle Brouwer, Reinier Algra, Luce Zontjens & Marije Rekker leave, the quorum goes to 31.

Budget plan 2022-2023

73. The meeting has been resumed at 00:07.

1530

74. Maxim asks whether the meeting was ever suspended in the first place.
- a. Rachel thanks Maxim for the heads up, and remarks that the board will keep it in mind for next time.

1535. General remarks:

- a. Page 4; Overview of General Expenses
 - i. Ben notes that there are errors in the rightmost column and adds that this should not matter that much for the rest of the budget plan as the differences with the correct values are small.
- 1540 b. Hannah states that it is rather normal for large committees to have their budget plan check by the treasurer, and asks whether Ben got word of this and is planning to continue this custom.
 - i. Ben states that he discussed this with the rest of the candidate board, and is planning to keep doing this for most activities.
 - 1545 1. He is corrected by the crowd for saying that he is candidate board, instead of board.
 2. Maxim asks whether or not this could be noted in the minutes.
 - ii. Hannah remarks that it might be good to pursue this more actively in the upcoming year as it was sometimes forgotten in the past few years.
- 1550 c. Sebastiaan remarks that it is appropriate to specify what exactly is different in this budget plan due to the errors in the rightmost column.
 - i. Ben states that this concerns the Activities with Other Associations (formerly EEMCS Activities) row, and states that he believed about €33,- was spent, but that this was around €600,- in actuality.
 - 1555 1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Correct the Activities with Other Associations cell to state the correct amount instead of €31.62. (Budget Plan 2022, Overview of General Expenses)
 2. He adds that he himself has budgeted €350,- for this for the upcoming year.

1560. Page 2

- a. Incoming Statement Overview
 - i. Sebastiaan remarks that two numbers at the bottom, the €62,770.00 and the €72,775.00 are not correct compared to the rest of the table.
 - 1565 1. Ben states that this is correct, and that it is due to last minute changes.
 - a. He adds that the third column is correct, but that the first two should indeed be changed.
 - b. Sebas adds that these values should be around €89,000,- and €88,000,-, respectively.
 - 1570 i. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Update the numbers at the bottom of the Incoming Statement Overview to state the correct values. (Budget Plan 2022, Incoming Statement Overview)

77. Page 4

- 1575 a. 3030; Bookkeeping Software
 - i. Sebastiaan states that it says €833.15 here as in past year the bookkeeping software was paid for twice, meaning it has also been paid for the upcoming year.
 - 1580 1. He adds that Ben has budgeted €410,- for the upcoming year for this, but that Maxim had something else in mind.

- 1585
- 1590
- 1595
- 1600
- 1605
- 1610
- 1615
- 1620
- 1625
- 1630
- a. Ben states that he thought Martijn told him that this money will be used to pay off the software for next year.
 - ii. Maxim states that [the Audit Committee] needs to look at the amount that was deducted from the account by Unit4, as the moment of deduction has apparently been changed.
 - 1. This year, there were two separate withdrawals made at different dates.
 - 2. Maxim adds that this means that the amount that was debited in the past year covers last year and the upcoming year, but since the time of this withdrawal has been changed, there will also be a withdrawal this year, concerning the license of the software for next year.
 - a. This could be corrected for in the following financial documents by booking the software using a memorial booking.
 - b. **AP Audit Committee:** Look into the withdrawals made by Unit4 regarding the bookkeeping software, and determine the possibilities regarding a memorial booking for this.
 - b. 3000; Fee OS (Organisation of Study Associations)
 - i. Peter asks Hannah what OS needs €15,- extra for.
 - 1. Maxim and Hannah answer that this is due to inflation.
 - c. 3070; Representation
 - i. Peter remarks that this amount is substantially higher than before, and asks what the plans of the board are as it is not explicitly mentioned.
 - 1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Add a bit of explanation as to why the amount for 3070 is now higher than before (Budget Plan 2022, 3070 Representation).
 - 2. Ben mentions that people were unsure what the representation source could exactly be used for. For example whether or not it could be used for constitution gifts, bras letters, etc.
 - a. He adds that he has found out that this is the case, therefore the board is planning to use this source for these kinds of things again, upholding the guidelines of the representation source.
 - ii. Peter brings up that during one of the Audit Committee meetings there was a discussion about whether or not dry-cleaning suits can be financed from this source.
 - 1. He adds that if there is a valid reason for this, it can be financed from the representation source, but if it is due to a suit getting dirty from a constitution drink, it should be financed from that budget.
 - 2. He also adds that he can imagine that if the external wants to go to company meetings suited up, they would like to get their suit dry-cleaned once in a while, and that this could also be financed from the representation source.
 - a. Peter goes on to say that there are quite some other things that can also be financed from the representation source, like presents for teachers.
 - 3. Martijn states that the amount shown here is one of the numbers that was changed last minute, as he forgot to tally in the costs of birthday cakes expenses, mentioning that this increased the amount by €100,-, shifting the amount closer to expectations.
 - a. Peter agrees that it is still relatively representative.

- d. 3090; Active Member Appreciation
- i. Peter asks whether the increased amount here is due to it being a weekend now.
- 1635
1. Ben says that this is the case.
 2. Peter remarks that this is not stated in the text, so in order to understand this reading the Policy Plan is necessary.
 - a. Ben answers that this is mentioned in the text.
- e. 3130; Good Idea Budget
- 1640
- i. Peter asks why the budget has been increased with €100,-, as this is not mentioned in the text.
1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Add an explanation for the increase of the Good Idea Budget with €100,-. (Budget Plan 2022, 3130 Good Idea Budget)
 - 1645
 2. [Unintelligible] answers that this is due to societies now also getting their budget from this source.

78. Page 5

- a. 3200; Take-Away Dinner Costs
- i. Sebastiaan asks how the board ever expects to reach this amount as it was never (fully) spent in the previous years.
- 1650
- ii. Martijn mentions that there is another source for this: 3010; Food & Drinks, with a total of €1500,-
1. He adds that he financed all the dinner forms from this source, totalling to about €1400,-.
- 1655
- iii. Sebas states that this source is meant for declaring delivery costs, and asks the board how they will make sure that these costs are actually declared in this source, as he believes this has never been done before.
1. Ben mentions that this is partly due to most delivery costs being free for larger groups, adding that this will mostly be relevant for Sterre, as she will be mostly responsible for and present at drinks.
 - a. He adds that he will make sure to remind the board that they can declare delivery costs in this source.
- 1660
- iv. Ellis asks why there even is a source for postage costs [translated from Dutch; verzendkosten].
- 1665
1. Maxim explains that what is meant here are delivery costs [bezorgkosten].
 2. Peter remarks that he still wonders why there are two separate sources for food delivery.
 - a. Ben states that he was unaware of the other source, adding that he will look into merging these two.
 - 1670
 - b. Maxim explains that there might have been two separate to make sure some costs could be transferred to the following year if necessary, adding that he does not know for sure and that this will have to be looked into.
 - 1675
 - c. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Look into the possibilities of merging 3200 with 3010. (Budget Plan, 3200; Take-Away Dinner Costs)

79. Page 6

- a. 3080; Lunch Lectures
- i. Sebastiaan remarks that 3080 is not listed in the table.
- 1680
1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Add 3080 to the Overview of General Expenses (Budget Plan 2022, 3080 Lunch Lectures)
- b. 3075; Member Passes

- 1685 i. Hannah wonders what is going to happen with the member passes and how exactly the board is planning to hand these out to the members, stating that the Kick-In is the best moment to hand these out.
- i. Ysbrand answers that he and Tristan have spent a day working on fixing it to try and get it to work before the Kick-In, but to no avail.
1. He adds that he does not know if there is a solid plan to reintroduce the member cards.
- 1690 ii. Ben says that the Promo from Proto WhatsApp group could be used to promote it once the cards are available again, as well as a note or popup at the OmNomCom.
1. Hannah adds that this is also a good opportunity to remind people to link their passes to the OmNomCom as she has met a lot of members who have not done so yet.
- 1695 a. Ellis adds that during the Protopolis tour in the study-related Kick-In it is explained how to link your pass to the OmNomCom, so most members know it is an option.
- 1700 b. Hannah adds that it is still nice to do so for the people who do not attend the study-related Kick-In.
- c. 3110; Attaining New Board
- i. Hannah states that it would be nice to have a bit of transparency regarding where exactly the money is going for attaining a new board, as this is something people who have not done a board year may not know.
- 1705 i. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Add some text explaining what the money is used for when attaining a new board (Budget Plan 2022, 3110 Attaining New Board)

80. Page 7

- 1710 a. 3160; Committee Members Budget
- a. Sebastiaan remarks that he does not think the Dies and the DIYCie are the best examples for committees that need committee clothing, stating he believes committees like the KICC and the Camp Committee need it more.
- 1715 b. Sebastiaan asks whether or not there is an overview of the committees that need committee clothing budget, as he believes the Symposium Committee, Acquisition Committee and some other committees could benefit from this as well.
- i. Ben agrees, stating that the board is still discussing what committees need (to use) the clothing budget more than others.
- 1720 1. He adds that the committee market still needs to happen, so not all the committees are made yet.
- ii. **AP Board 12.0:** Discuss what committees need clothing budget (and how badly they need it) and create an overview of this. (Budget Plan 2022, 3160 Committee Members Budget)
- 1725 c. Hannah suggests just leaving out the examples here, replacing it by “certain committees”.
- i. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Look into what committees are best to use as an example for committees that need committee clothing, and whether or not naming examples is even necessary here, then adjust this in the budget plan. (Budget Plan 2022, 3160 Committee Members Budget)
- 1730 d. Hannah remarks that it states on line 158 that the committee budget cap is going away, and asks whether there will still be a cap for committee clothing.

- i. Ben answers that there will still be a cap of €15,- per person, but that the €75,- cap is going away to make it more fair for committees with a different amount of members than seven.

1735

81. Page 9

a. Income Statement Committees Overview

- i. Peter asks why the [...] TIPcie is spelled with a capital letter C, explaining that he has already brought this up to previous treasurers multiple times in the past.

1740

- 1. Ben: Moving on...
- 2. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Change the spelling of TIPcie in the Income Statement Committees Overview and 4120 to not anger the old farts. (Budget Plan 2022, Income Statement Committees Overview)

1745

- ii. Sebastiaan asks why the Culture Committee is getting €200,- more besides not even using up their budget in the past three years.

- 1. Ben explains that the Culture Committee is similar to the First Year Committee when it comes to organising events, and that he increased the budget to encourage the committee to organise bigger events.

1750

- 2. Sebastiaan asks whether or not the Culture Committee will actually be encouraged to use this budget.

- 1. Sterre answers that she will make sure to encourage them.

- b. Peter suggests adding some text regarding the decrease from the 4430 SportCie result from €-400,- to €-1800,- to explain that this is due to the money from the NPO to prevent demonising of the SportCie and provide transparency.

1755

- i. Ben says that he believes this is one of the numbers that was already changed by Martijn.

- ii. Sebastiaan explains that this has indeed already been changed, and that in Martijn's document it now says €+626,-.

1760 82. Page 10

a. Income Statement Committees Overview

- i. Sebastiaan says that the total income 2022/2023 at the bottom should be €33,120 instead of €33,170 to let the calculations add up.

1765

- 1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Change the total income 2022/2023 at the bottom to be €33,120 in order to let the calculations add up. (Budget Plan 2022, Income Statement Committees Overview)

b. 4080; pLAN

- i. Sebastiaan remarks that with the current explanation it may seem that the budget that has been allocated in the Income Statement Committees Overview is also used for replacing items or buying new equipment, even though the reservation budget is used for this.

1770

- 1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Update the description of 4080 to make describe more clearly that the budget mentioned in the Income Statement Committees Overview is not used for replacing broken items or buying new equipment. (Budget Plan 2022, 4080 pLAN)

1775

83. Page 11

a. 4112; EmergenCie, 4360; Protography

- i. Sebastiaan remarks that it is stated here that EmergenCie and Protography can also make use of the food helpers budget.

1780

- 1. He adds that since this is not about what the money for EmergenCie/Protography is for, it might as well be left out.
- 2. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Remove the parts about being eligible for food helpers budget from 4112 and 4360 as this is redundant and

- 1785 confusing. (Budget Plan 2022, 4112 EmergenCie, 4360 Protography)
- b. 4242; Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee
- i. Sebastiaan remarks that the €100,- hardware reservation being mentioned here is also redundant as it is listed further down the document and irrelevant here.
- 1790 1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Remove the part about the €100,- hardware reservation from 4242 as it is redundant. (Budget Plan 2022, 4242 HYTTIOAOAC)
84. Page 12
- a. 4360; Protography
- 1795 i. Hannah remarks that it should be more clear that the board is responsible for purchasing a camera, not the Protography.
1. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Make it clear that the board is responsible for purchasing a camera, not the Protography. (Budget Plan 2022, 4360 Protography)
- 1800 85. Page 15
- a. 3600; OmNomCom
- i. Sebastiaan states he believes it is a terrible idea to pay off €400,- over ten years, stating that board 22 should not still have to deal with this.
- 1805 1. Maxim asks for clarification on how this statement is meant. He asks whether this should be interpreted as budgeting €40,- every year in order to pay off the cart over ten years, or whether it should be interpreted as writing off the cart in ten years to pay for a new cart.
- 1810 a. He adds that it should be looked at how long the cart is insured for, and after what amount of time it is deemed worthless.
- b. He adds that he believes the cart probably does not have value anymore after three years, despite still being helpful.
- i. Ben answers that this plan was indeed probably misinterpreted.
- 1815 c. Sebastiaan adds that the association will most likely also earn money from renting out beer benches, so that it will become less of a deal.
- ii. Tijmen notes that the cart is listed under OmNomCom, and wonders whether it belongs to the OmNomCom, he also asks where the yearly amount of €40,- can be found in the expenses.
- 1820 1. Ben answers that the renting out of the beer benches can be found in every policy plan in the expenses, and that the beer cart is not listed there yet as it has not been bought.
2. Tijmen clarifies that he means that there is no mention of either the fridge nor the beer bench cart in the Incoming Statement Overview. He asks why this is not included there as for each reservation there should be an expense listed as well.
- 1825 a. Maxim explains that on the one hand the value of the cart declines, while other on the other hand the reservation increases, cancelling each other out.
- 1830 b. [The next part of the recording is quite unclear. Although not much was said, it is apparent that there is still quite some confusion amongst some members, therefore the following

- 1835 Action Point was added by the note taker to ensure everyone is on the same page.]
- 1840 i. **AP Ben Ligthart:** For 3600, clear up the part about beer benches to make sure it can be interpreted correctly, and look into improving the clarity as to why there are seemingly no expenses associated with a yearly reservation. (Budget Plan 2022, 3600 OmNomCom)
86. Appendices
- a. Appendix A; Budget Plan HYTTIOAOAC
- 1845 i. Sebastiaan asks why there are so many unexpected sources in this budget plan.
- 1850 1. He adds that with so many unexpected sources some of them could actually be expected to a certain degree, so he suggests rewriting it or wording it differently.
- 1855 a. Max explains that there are indeed a lot of unexpected sources, but also a lot of unexpected things that the HYTTIOAOAC could spend money on.
- 1860 b. Sebastiaan wonders if these are really unexpected, stating as an example that there is €50,- listed for unexpected peripherals, while the expected costs here are €0,-.
- 1855 c. Max explains that currently there are no peripherals necessary to be bought, but should something need to be purchased for e.g. ProTube then there is at least a source for it.
- 1860 d. Sebastiaan says he still wonders if there is a need to write everything out so explicitly, as it overcomplicates things.
- e. Max says he used the budget plan for previous years for reference, and that he will look into it.
- ii. Sebastiaan says that the realisation part can be left out, as it is not relevant for a budget plan.
- 1865 1. **AP Max Liebe & Ben Ligthart:** Try to simplify the HYTTIOAOAC budget plan by looking at what unexpected sources could be merged and by removing the part about realisation. (Budget Plan 2022, Appendix A – HYTTIOAOA Budget Plan)
87. Last page
- a. Martijn: Nice job Ben!
- 1870 i. *The crowd can be heard cheering loudly*
88. The Budget Plan 2022-2023 is accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 00:33 by the GMM.
- 1875 **Discharge and charge of the Board of Advisors (for Approval)**
89. Voting: discharge Daniela van Meggelen, Harald Eversmann, Maartje Huveneers, Philippe Tuinman, Puck Kemper and Sebastiaan van Loon as the Board of Advisors of S.A. Proto and charge Hannah Ottenschot, Femke Jansen, Renske Mulder, Sander Koomen, Sebastiaan van Loon and Laura Schep as the new Board of Advisors of S.A. Proto.
- 1880 The Board of Advisors is charged by the GMM at 00:34.

Discharge and charge of the Audit Committee (for Approval)

1885 90. Voting: discharge Imke Verschuren, Jur van Geel, Maxim de Leeuw, Peter van der Burgt and Sanne Bouman as the Audit Committee of S.A. Proto and charge Imke Verschuren, Jur van Geel, Maxim de Leeuw as the new Audit Committee of S.A. Proto.

1890 a. The vote for charging Martijn van Ooijen as member of the audit committee will take place during the next GMM.

91. The Audit Committee is charged by the GMM at 00:35.

Any other business

1895 92. Imke remarks that last year during the switch of boards a proof of concept for new ties was proposed which was voted on. She mentions that old ties were ordered and asks what the plans are for the new design.

a. Ellis says she sent out a mail a while ago where it was decided that the design of the old ties will continue to be used.

b. Imke remarks this was not discussed well with the whole association, meaning that this decision was made without the whole GMM having a say in it.

1900 c. She points to GMM 42 where this was voted about.

d. Laura says she believes this voting led to confusion among the members, and that the point was again brought up during the semi-annual GMM.

e. She adds that board 11.1 chose to not work with the new design due to lack of motivation and time which was communicated with the association.

1905 f. Imke says she was not present at the semi-annual GMM and did not see anything in the minutes about it.

g. She adds that this should be discussed again sometime.

h. Rachel says that this will be looked into.

1910 i. **AP Board 12.0:** Decide what to do with the new design that was made for the ties.

93. Sander mentions that currently on the “previous boards” page on the website the texts from the policy plans are used, and notes that it might be nice to let the boards write a new (reflection) piece that is a bit more professional.

1915 a. Laura says she would be willing to write a piece in the name of board 11.1, adding that she hopes this will be commonplace from now on.

b. Sander asks what will happen with the rest of the pieces.

c. Peter suggests texting people personally about this.

d. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into getting new texts for the previous boards page on the website, where previous board members can reflect about their year.

1920

94. Maxim notes that this GMM is the second most visited GMM in the history of Proto, with the charging of board 1.0 being the most visited.

a. *The crowd is amazed.*

Survey

1925 95. Peter asks if there will be a drink after the GMM.

a. Rachel says she believes there will be.

96. Peter notes that Sterre is having difficulty walking on high heels, and asks if during the drink some “gabber” music can be played so Sterre can “hakken op hakken”.

- 1930 97. Sander asks if the GMM knows that it is 8 September and that in 1504 on this date the statue "David" by Michelangelo was presented.
- a. He adds that this was the first statue of David, as there are multiple statues of him.
 - b. This is also the first statue where the Giant, Goliath, was purposely not depicted and was some kind of invisible obstacle.
 - i. He believes this resembles the upcoming board year for 12.0 as they will also be facing an invisible obstacle.
- 1935 c. He also says that even though David is a Jewish man, on the statue he is not circumcised.
 - i. Rachel says she did not know that, and will take it into account.

1940 Closing

98. The 46th General Member Meeting of S.A. Proto is closed at 00:43 on 08-09-2022.

Presence list

99. The following people were present at the General Member Meeting

Who?	Time present:	Time absent:
Ruben Koole	19:10	00:43
Famke van den Boom	19:15	00:43
Peter van der Burgt	19:15	00:43
Jeroen Ritmeester	19:17	00:43
Sander Koomen	19:17	00:43
Tijmen Smit	19:19	00:43
Maxim de Leeuw	19:19	00:47
Jesse Visser	19:19	00:43
Sebastiaan van Loon	19:20	00:43
Imke Verschuren	19:20	00:43
Dennis Vinke	19:23	00:43
Frank Bosman	19:26	00:43
Jelle Gerritsen	19:28	00:43
Jochem Schaap	19:28	23:59
Puck Kemper	19:28	20:32
Reinier Algra	19:28	00:00
Mats van Braam	19:29	00:43
Bart Sprenkels	19:29	23:35
Luce Zoontjens	19:29	00:00
Lucas van Koppen	19:29	21:16
Joris Agtereek	19:29	23:59
Jelle Brouwer	19:29	23:59
Laura Schep	19:30	00:43
Ellis Dijkstra	19:30	00:43
Martijn van Ooijen	19:30	00:43
Louis van Maurik	19:30	00:43
Sarah Jansen	19:30	00:43
Rachel den Otter	19:30	00:43
Max Liebe	19:30	00:43
Ben Ligthart	19:30	00:43
Sterre Kuijper	19:30	00:43

Tristan van Marle	19:30	00:43
Ysbrand Burgstede	19:30	00:43
Bas van der Steenhoven	19:30	00:43
Renske Mulder	19:32	00:43
Hannah Ottenschot	19:32	00:43
Marije Rekker	19:32	00:01
Emma Burema	21:23	00:43
Thomas van Klink	22:04	00:43

Recap Action Points

1945

Recap previous action points

AP	Who?	What?
44.03	Laura Schep	Update the Wiki Documents to the ones with line numbering and add the letter from the Audit Committee.
44.25	Board 12.0	Add the Google Workspace to the general introduction file for the Candidate Board.
44.38	Martijn van Ooijen & Ben Ligthart	Look for the money from 1100 (General Register) in the archive in the storage closet.
45.01	Board 11.1	Add the reasoning behind the choices to the document about activity restitution
45.02	Board 11.1	Add the reasoning (and examples) behind the chosen limits, thresholds and percentages - to show that these numbers are our choice, but not the only choice - to the document about activity restitution.
45.03	Board 11.1	Explain where the €800 for ski trip stems from in the document about activity restitution.
45.04	Board 11.1	Clarify that there will be voted about the compensation in rule 99 of the document about activity restitution.

Recap current action points

AP	Who?	What?
46.01	Ellis Dijkstra	Clarify that this is about members who signed up for committees and did not follow up on their interest rather than dropping out of committees (Minutes GMM 44, Line 664).
46.02	Martijn van Ooijen	Add what happened with the left-over budget (Minutes GMM 44, Line 1021).
46.03	Martijn van Ooijen and Ben Ligthart	Look for the money from 1100 (General Register) in the archive in the storage closet.
46.04	Martijn van Ooijen and Ben Ligthart	Retrieve the money from the reservation from the Statutes back from the SU.

46.05	Board 11.0	Discuss if the board wants to add that more committees could have been delegated to other people (YR, Page 6).
46.06	Board 11.0	Add that the board organised a picnic and made a WhatsApp group for students of Year 0 (YR, 3.2.2)
46.07	Laura Schep	Add the original target goal (YR, 2.5.1.1).
46.08	Martijn van Ooijen	Change that the left-over budget goes to the liquidity, not to the candidate board (YR, Line 596).
46.09	Martijn van Ooijen and Ben Ligthart	Discuss with HYTTIOAOAc why the withdrawal during the summer vacation was declined.
46.10	Board 11.0	Add that there is being worked on all the function documents, not only on the documents for the Treasurer and Officer of Educational Affairs (YR, 2.7.1)
46.11	Ellis Dijkstra	Add how the communication between the board and Foundation ICE went (YR, 2.7.5)
46.12	Board 11.0	Add that the KICC and CampCo get FOBOS because they have educational value (YR, 2.7.7).
46.13	Ellis Dijkstra	Adjust that Foundation ICE did arrange enough companies on location (YR, 2.7.5).
46.14	Martijn van Ooijen	Add that the request from the Dies Committee was also late (YR, 3.8).
46.15	Martijn van Ooijen	Add that the DisCo also helped with Electroshock (YR, 3.8).
46.16	Martijn van Ooijen	Clarify that the mentioned DisCo party is the party with the DisCo theme (YR, 3.8).
46.17	Martijn van Ooijen	Rephrase that the DisCo should expect the request from the Dies rather than making it one out of those two parties (YR, 3.8).
46.18	Max Liebe	Add an asterisk to Foundation ICE. (Policy Plan 2022, 3.3 Other Responsibilities)
46.19	Board 12.0	Investigate the possibilities of purchasing (a) new monitor(s) for on the desk in Protopolis so Alfred's monitors do not have to be used as much.
46.20	Board 12.0	Look into what (large) activities can be organised earlier into the year to prevent an overload of large activities in the last quarters of the year.
46.21	Max Liebe	Clarify that board 12.0 is planning to organise something every ProtoNight, and will keep Protopolis open otherwise. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.2.6 ProtoNight)
46.22	Board 12.0	Discuss how the responsibility for ProtoNights will be handled.
46.23	Board 12.0	Look into the feasibility of showing posters digitally on the screens in Protopolis.
46.24	Max Liebe	Clarify what the plan is for integrating the upcoming first years. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.1 Member Acquisition)
46.25	Max Liebe	Look into integrating 4.3.2 into the static policy plan. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.2 Board Accessibility)
46.26	Max Liebe	Write something down about open board meetings in 4.3.2. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.2 Board Accessibility)
46.27	Board 12.0	Arrange a location for the Active Member Weekend before the end of the first module.

46.28	Max Liebe	Remove the part about GraphiCie members having to give feedback in order to be considered active members. (4.3.3 Active Members)
46.29	Max Liebe	Add a requirement for OmNomCom Committee members to be considered active members (Policy Plan 2022, 4.3.3 Active Members)
46.30	Board 12.0	Contact or visit the HCI/Interaction Lab (staff) in Cubicus and look at how this space resembles the SmartXP, as well as the possibilities for Proto promotion.
46.31	Ysbrand Burgstede	Look at how other study associations have implemented their version of a public study feedback platform and decide whether and how it should be implemented for Proto.
46.32	Ysbrand Burgstede	Make sure to actively promote the anonymous EducaCie feedback email.
46.33	Max Liebe	Add some text explaining that there are plans to organise the company month again this year (Policy Plan 2022, 4.5.5 External Publicity Policy)
46.34	Max Liebe	Update the static policy plan on the wiki to the most recent one.
46.35	Board 12.0	Check if the university has released/updated a covid plan that the board should use in case of a new wave.
46.36	Max Liebe	Remove the restriction for societies having to organise at least one activity per year. (Policy Plan 2022, 4.8.4 Societies)
46.37	Sterre Kuijper	Rewrite the piece on the wiki about societies, stating that societies should always create a budget plan for every activity, to exclude free activities.
46.38	Sterre Kuijper	Add that societies can be given budget on a case-to-case basis to the societies document on the wiki.
46.39	Ben Ligthart	Clarify how societies are financed. (Budget Plan 2022)
46.40	Sterre Kuijper	Look into ways of promoting societies. (E.g. hanging up a permanent poster in Protopolis) (Policy Plan 2022, 4.8.4 Societies)
46.41	Board 12.0	Look into ways of spending the remaining NPO budget for 2022.
46.42	Board 12.0	Come up with a system to divide the people who signed up to an interest list for a committee into committees.
46.43	Max Liebe	Mention that the CampCo rejected older activists in favor of newer activists (for evaluation purposes). (Policy Plan 2022, 5.1 The Forming of Committees)
46.44	Board 12.0	See if it would be possible to plan something for Dies in the week of the cantus.
46.45	Max Liebe	Adjust the second half of the DIYCie text to say that the WLED workshop is an initiative by two people who are willing to help, not current DIYCie members. (Policy Plan 2022, 5.9 DIYCie)
46.46	Max Liebe	Clarify that ENTROPcY organises one activity per year (Policy Plan 2022, 5.13 ENTROPcY)
46.47	Max Liebe	Rewrite the first section to be factually correct. (Policy Plan 2022, 5.17 GraphiCie)
46.48	Max Liebe	Clarify the success of the teambuildings for GoD last year and that these will also be held this year. (Policy Plan 2022, 5.18 Guild of Drafters)
46.49	Max Liebe	Look into how to make sure that time constraints are not an issue during the monthly pLAN tournaments.

46.50	Sterre Kuijper	Look into applying a structured method of keeping track of everyone's availability for Protography.
46.51	Sebastian van Loon & Ben Ligthart	Add the TIPcie budget plan to the general budget plan.
46.52	Sebastian van Loon	Submit the TIPcie budget plan as a document for the next GMM.
46.53	Board 12.0	Decide what to do with the new design that was made for the ties.
46.54	Board 12.0	Look into getting new texts for the previous boards page on the website, where previous board members can reflect about their year.
46.55	Ben Ligthart	Correct the Activities with Other Associations cell to state the correct amount instead of €31.62. (Budget Plan 2022, Overview of General Expenses)
46.56	Ben Ligthart	Update the numbers at the bottom of the Incoming Statement Overview to state the correct values. (Budget Plan 2022, Incoming Statement Overview)
46.57	Audit Committee	Look into the withdrawals made by Unit4 regarding the bookkeeping software, and determine the possibilities regarding a memorial booking for this.
46.58	Ben Ligthart	Add a bit of explanation as to why the amount for 3070 is now higher than before. (Budget Plan 2022, 3070 Representation)
46.59	Ben Ligthart	Look into the possibilities of merging 3200 with 3010. (Budget Plan, 3200; Take-Away Dinner Costs)
46.60	Ben Ligthart	Add 3080 to the Overview of General Expenses (Budget Plan 2022, 3080 Lunch Lectures)
46.61	Ben Ligthart	Add some text explaining what the money is used for when attaining a new board (Budget Plan 2022, 3110 Attaining New Board)
46.62	Board 12.0	Discuss what committees need clothing budget (and how badly they need it) and create an overview of this. (Budget Plan 2022, 3160 Committee Members Budget)
46.63	Ben Ligthart	Look into what committees are best to use as an example for committees that need committee clothing, and whether or not naming examples is even necessary here, then adjust this in the budget plan.
46.64	Ben Ligthart	Change the spelling of TIPcie in the Income Statement Committees Overview and 4120 to not anger the old farts. (Budget Plan 2022, Income Statement Committees Overview)
46.65	Ben Ligthart	Change the total income 2022/2023 at the bottom to be €33,120 in order to let the calculations add up. (Budget Plan 2022, Income Statement Committees Overview)
46.66	Ben Ligthart	Update the description of 4080 to make describe more clearly that the budget mentioned in the Income Statement Committees Overview is not used for replacing broken items or buying new equipment. (Budget Plan 2022, 4080 pLAN)
46.67	Ben Ligthart	Remove the parts about being eligible for food helpers budget from 4112 and 4360 as this is redundant and confusing. (Budget Plan 2022, 4112 EmergenCie, 4360 Protography)
46.68	Ben Ligthart	Remove the part about the €100,- hardware reservation from 4242 as it is redundant. (Budget Plan 2022, 4242 HYTTIOAOAC)

46.69	Ben Ligthart	Make it clear that the board is responsible for purchasing a camera, not the Protography. (Budget Plan 2022, 4360 Protography)
46.70	Ben Ligthart	For 3600, clear up the part about beer benches to make sure it can be interpreted correctly, and look into improving the clarity as to why there are seemingly no expenses associated with a yearly reservation. (Budget Plan 2022, 3600 OmNomCom)
46.71	Max Liebe & Ben Ligthart	Try to simplify the HYTTIOAOAC budget plan by looking at what unexpected sources could be merged and by removing the part about realisation. (Budget Plan 2022, Appendix A – HYTTIOAOA Budget Plan)