

Minutes by Max Liebe Editor's note: A digital presence list and a recap of all action points given during this GMM can be found at the end of this document.

# Opening

1. The 48th General Member Meeting of S.A. Proto is opened at 19:45 on 8 March 2023.

## Announcements

2. The GMM will be held in Dutch.

## Quorum

- 3. At the start of the GMM, a total of 33 votes are counted.
  - a. 0 are present online.
  - b. Jesse Visser is authorised by Maxim de Leeuw.
  - c. Famke van den Boom is authorised by Ruben Koole.
  - d. Philippe Tuinman is authorised by Peter van der Burgt.

# Fixing the Agenda

4. The previous action points are added.

## **Previous minutes** GMM 46: Change of Boards from 11.1 to 12.0

- 5. Page 1
  - a. Quorum
    - i. Sander remarks that Maartje's name is wrong and that the authorisation names are flipped.
    - ii. **AP Max Liebe:** Correct Maartje's name and flip the authorisation names (Minutes GMM 46, Quorum)
  - b. Minutes GMM 44; Page 3
    - i. Imke wonders what the state of the Treasurer introductory documents is.
      - 1. Ben answers that he will finish these documents before the new candidate has been picked, somewhere this module.
- 6. Page 2
  - a. Minutes GMM 44; Page 4
    - i. Imke asks what the state of the member passes is.
      - 1. Ellis answers that it is listed, but only in the Financial Report.
      - 2. Rachel confirms this.
      - 3. Ysbrand explains that the printer is working once again.
      - 4. Imke says that it would be nice to include something about this in the Semi-Annual Report.

- 5. **AP Max Liebe:** Add a paragraph in the Semi-Annual Report about the current state of member passes.
- 7. Page 5
  - a. Minutes GMM 44; Page 11
    - i. Hannah asks what happened to the zero years picnic, and whether it will be organised again this year.
      - 1. Rachel answers that it was not discussed among the board yet.
      - 2. She adds that there is already a WhatsApp group for the zero years, and that the picnic will likely be organised again this year as it was successful last time.
      - 3. Hannah remarks that she thinks this is nice and would suggest organising it again.
  - b. Minutes GMM 44; Page 17
    - i. Hannah asks what happened to the Minor Experience Guide and whether something will still be done with this.
    - ii. Ysbrand says he does not know what this is about.
    - iii. Ellis explains that it is an overview of which minor you can follow from which bachelor, and that due to criticism towards the university this was picked up by Proto.
      - 1. She adds that Board 11.0 already decided not to do anything with it and did not pass any information along to Board 12.0.
    - iv. Hannah says she understands, and that it is probably fine.
- 8. Page 11
  - a. Policy Plan 2022-2023; Page 7
    - i. Hannah references a previous statement made about Max's workload due to having a lot of high effort committees and asks how the workload is and whether Sterre has needed to take over anything.
      - 1. Max answers that it is fine and that he does not believe anything needs to be moved to Sterre.
- 9. Page 13
  - a. Policy Plan 2022-2023; Page 11
    - i. Imke asks whether the weekly overviews were sent in the WhatsApp groups, seeing that she was not in any year groups for the first half.
      - 1. Rachel answers that this was sent along with a link to the Promo from Proto WhatsApp group.
      - 2. Sterre adds it was sent in the year groups of CreaTe and I-Tech, but that she forgot to send it a few times.
      - 3. Imke gives a thumbs up.
- 10. Page 15
  - a. Policy Plan 2022-2023; Page 11
    - i. Imke references a statement made about how GraphiCie members that don't give feedback on other posters are not considered active and asks whether something was done with this and what the current state is.
      - 1. Max answers that feedback is still being given quite often, adding that he did notice that some people give more feedback than others.
        - a. He adds that he is planning on giving some people a heads up about their lack of feedback soon.
        - b. Imke wants to confirm Max does not believe any consequences are necessary right now.
        - c. Max confirms this.

- 2. Ellis says she noticed feedback is significantly better than last year and that the new people are doing a pretty good job.
- 11. Page 22
  - a. Policy Plan 2022-2023; Page 19
    - i. Imke references an action point for Sterre to look at promoting societies, adding that she did not see anything about this in the Semi-Annual Report and wonders if anything was done with it.
      - 1. Sterre answers that she worked on this and requested a poster for promoting societies at the GraphiCie.
      - 2. Ellis adds that the poster was accepted today.
      - 3. Imke says okidoki.
- 12. The minutes of GMM 46 are accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 19:59 by the GMM.

### GMM 47: Financial Report 2021-2022 and Revised Proposal Partial Board Restitution

13. There are no remarks about these minutes.

Sarah comes in, the quorum goes to 34.

14. The minutes of GMM 47 are accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 20:01 by the GMM.

## **Previous action points**

- 15. Remarks action points GMM 46
  - a. Ellis remarks that action point 46.01 has been done.
  - b. Rachel remarks that action point 46.04 has been done.
  - c. Rachel remarks that action point 46.19 has also been done as the Interaction Lab donated some monitors.
  - d. Rachel remarks that contact has been established with the Interaction Lab for action point 46.30.
  - e. Imke remarks that action point 46.57 should have been done and adds that she will check this.
    - i. **AP Imke Verschuren:** Check whether action point 46.57: "Look into the withdrawals made by Unit4 regarding the bookkeeping software and determine the possibilities regarding a memorial booking for this." has been done.
  - f. The HYTTIOAOAc budget plan cannot be simplified, so action point 46.71 will be cancelled.
- 16. Remarks action points GMM 47
  - a. A lot of action points from Martijn are done by now but have not been updated yet.
    - i. **AP Rachel den Otter:** Check with Martijn van Ooijen whether his action points from GMM 47 have been done.
  - b. Rachel asks whether action point 47.17 is already done.
    - i. Jonathan answers that it is almost finished, but that his voice is totally gone.
    - ii. He adds that he will sing the song at the end of the GMM.

## **Documents received**

### Revised Annual Financial Report 2021-2022

17. Martijn has sent the revised Annual Financial Report 2021-2022 as requested by the previous GMM. Any comments should be sent to Martijn or Ben. The document was already approved by the previous GMM, and therefore does not need to be voted on.

### TIPcie Mini Financial Report 2022-2023

- 18. Sebastiaan explains he made a small overview of the TIPcie's expenses and balances. In summary, the TIPcie is doing well on the financial side.
- 19. 1. TIPcie Account of 2022-2023
  - a. Sebastiaan points to the total and explains that TIPcie has made €595.78 too much, which will be spent on cheaper beer.
  - b. Philippe wants to confirm that the money that goes to Stichting Borrelbeheer Zilverling is not listed here.
    - i. Sebastiaan confirms this, and states that it is listed in the Semi-Annual Financial Report.
    - ii. Philippe recommends still adding it here for clarity.
      - 1. Sebastiaan disagrees, stating that it is not TIPcies money, but rather just Proto's.
  - c. 1.7; Liquidity
    - i. Jonathan remarks that it is weird that €1000 is removed from the TIPcie account for liquidity.
      - 1. Ben explains that the money does stay in the account and adds that Sebastiaan did it like this to show that TIPcie has €1000 of intended liquidity.
      - 2. Sebastiaan confirms this, adding that it is a bit weird but was done to demonstrate that this surplus of €1000 is actually liquidity.
      - 3. Ellis suggests improving the explanation for this at 1.7.
      - 4. **AP Sebastiaan van Loon:** Improve the explanation of the liquidity (TIPcie Mini Financial Report 2022-2023, 1.7 Liquidity)
    - ii. Jonathan asks whether it is necessary to have a separate liquidity for TIPcie, as it is part of Proto anyway and Proto has enough liquidity.
      - 1. Ben answers that he believes it is nice to have a separate liquidity in case the TIPcie messes something up, as it means that it won't directly hurt the liquidity of Proto and gives TIPcie members a sense of responsibility.
      - 2. He adds that while it is indeed the same bank account, for bookkeeping purposes it is a bit more useful to have it this way.
      - 3. Sebastiaan adds that it is easy for the committee to accidently spend a lot of money, as the withdrawal is only made at the end of the month. Therefore, having a separate liquidity that the committee needs to pay attention to and can use can help.
  - d. 1.8; Total
    - i. Sebastiaan explains that the spike in this graph is the graduation drink. The profits from this drink were spent on the gilets for the drafters.
    - ii. The line got flatter in the last few months, which means that the beer costs are calibrated well.

- iii. Philippe asks whether Sebastiaan knows why there is a higher income in January than in September.
  - 1. Sebastiaan explains this is the current TIPcie balance, not the income.
  - 2. He adds that as SBZ has a better contract with Grolsch now than last year, a lower net loss is generated on drinks.
- e. 2.7; Total
  - i. Sebastiaan says that there may be more money for decorations because of the graduation drinks.
- 20. Sebastiaan thanks Peter van der Burgt, Jur van Geel, Imke Verschuren, Ben Ligthart & Ysbrand Burgstede for helping with the document and providing feedback.
- 21. Rachel reminds everyone that consuming alcohol during the GMM is prohibited and adds that there will be a drink after the GMM.

### Letter from the Audit Committee

- 22. Imke reads the letter.
  - a. Rachel thanks Imke for reading the letter.
  - b. Philippe asks why [an inaudible word] is not "justified", as it is more formal.
    - i. Imke answers that this is not a remark related to the context, and should be sent over email.

## Agenda Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023

- 23. General remarks
  - a. Ellis notes that throughout the document capitalisation is quite inconsistent, adding that Proto is spelled without a capital letter four times.
    - i. Rachel answers that this is not related to the content, and therefore should be sent over email.
    - ii. She adds that too much time was spent looking at the association logo that the board forgot that Proto should be spelled with a capital letter.
- 24. Page 5
  - a. 1.3; Other Responsibilities
    - i. Imke says that she does not believe the remark about EEMCS trip is correct, as Proto is still financially responsible, meaning that careful attention still needs to be paid to whatever happens in this committee.
      - 1. Rachel explains that what is meant here is that she does not need to attend all of the EEMCS trip meetings and does not pick up any action points, meaning that the level of responsibility is quite different than a normal committee.
      - 2. Imke remarks that she does not think this is correct behaviour, and believes that Proto should give a good example [implied: by attending the meetings and taking an active role] as the other associations are also usually not present at the meetings.
      - 3. Rachel explains that this year the choice was made to individually give associations responsibilities for the EEMCS committees to make sure that these committees do not have people who are all semi-responsible and do not feel obliged to join the meetings. This

is why Abacus was made head responsible and why all other board responsible contact this board responsible from Abacus, to make sure that there is an end responsible that makes sure the committee keeps running well.

- 4. Imke feels a way needs to be found to make sure that everyone feels responsible and involved with the committee, not just one person.
- 5. Laura says that this system was discussed before, and that the current system works well because otherwise nobody feels responsible for the committees.
- 6. Rachel says she believes the system has worked well so far as there is one person overseeing everything well.
- 7. Sterre adds that the system also worked well for Oktoberfest.
- 8. Imke says okay.
- ii. Ellis notes that "mental health" is not divided among someone, and asks why this is the case.
  - 1. Rachel answers that this falls under MentOS, which being an OS committee is not listed here.
- iii. Ellis recommends not adding the asterisks for the changing of the social media responsibility, instead, it should probably be explained in text below the table.
  - 1. **AP Max Liebe:** Remove the asterisk for social media, and explain the change of responsibility below the table (Semi-Annual report 2022-2023, 1.3 Other responsibilities)
- b. 2.1.1; Opening Hours
  - i. Ellis notes that the report only talks about how the trial of the new opening times was received, without stating what the current opinion about them is. She asks what people think of them.
    - 1. Rachel answers that she feels most people like them.
    - 2. Thumbs are raised in the GMM.
- c. 2.1.2; ProtOpeners
  - i. Imke says that "will possibly" does not mean much, and asks whether this just means "will".
    - 1. Ben answers that this means "will", adding that some first years are already being recruited.

- a. 2.1.3; Cleaning
  - i. Laura asks what can be concluded from the new cleaning system.
    - 1. Rachel explains that there is indeed a new system for cleaning, where every week someone else has the responsibility to clean Protopolis and the balcony. She adds that she believes this works well, but that sometimes a bit more attention should be paid to the fridge.
    - 2. Laura recommends evaluating this system.
    - 3. **AP Board 12.0:** Evaluate the new cleaning system and conclude how well it works.
- b. 2.1.5; Alcohol in Protopolis
  - i. Famke wonders where the reflection from the alcohol input session is.
    - 1. Sterre says she does not remember a reflection about this input session was promised.
    - 2. Famke recommends evaluating this new policy.

- 3. Sterre answers that it is certainly a possibility to evaluate the policy, adding that she has heard mostly positive reactions so far.
- 4. **AP Board 12.0:** Evaluate the new alcohol policy.

- a. 2.2.2; Activity Distribution
  - i. Ellis asks why CRITEEC is not listed at non-Proto activities.
    - 1. Rachel explains that CRITEEC is a separate category on the website, adding that this overview is a copy of the date on the website.
  - ii. Ellis wonders how the board is planning on balancing the categories of activities, without forcing committees to organise certain activities.
  - iii. She adds that you cannot simply ask the TIPcie to organise a "creative" activity to balance it out. She also asks whether the board is planning on organising these activities themselves.
    - Rachel answers that she believes that since some committees are more broadly oriented, like the First Year Committee and the Culture Committee, it is fair to ask these committees to organise activities in a certain category. She adds that the board was not necessarily planning on organising activities themselves to balance the categories.
    - 2. Hannah says she believes it greatly matters which top category should be sacrificed in order to organise more "creative" activities, and asks whether this was considered.
      - a. Rachel answers that a decision for which categories to reduce activity count for was not made yet.
      - b. She adds that activities of the "fun" and "serious" category are very broadly labelled right now, so it might give a skewed picture.
      - c. Hannah emphasises she would be disappointed if more creative activities would mean less activities of the "serious" label.
      - d. Rachel agrees.
  - iv. Hannah remarks that while the text talks about a high attendance rate for parties, the attendance rate was not kept track of, so this cannot be said for sure.
    - 1. Rachel agrees.
    - 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Remove the statement about parties having a high attendance rate (Semi-Annual Report, 2.2.2 Activity Distribution)
  - v. Philippe asks whether the plan is to keep promoting external activities like talks in the Vrijhof or Bedrijvendagen, as Proto's calendar is already quite full.
    - 1. Rachel says that if the calendar is too full, the amount of external activities will be lowered. She adds that currently these activities are only promoted if they are deemed interesting for Proto members.
    - 2. Philippe asks whether the Vrijhof gave some sort of feedback for how many people were referred by Proto, adding that if they did not give out such feedback there is not really much point in promoting the activities.
    - 3. Rachel says she does not know of such feedback.
    - 4. Philippe asks whether there was a question about it in the survey.
    - 5. Rachel says no.

- vi. Jelle Brouwer notes that the "chilling" category usually does not have many participants, and asks whether this category could be sacrificed to organise more creative activities.
  - 1. Ben explains that while not many people sign up for these kinds of activities, often people casually join because they are still working in the SmartXP. Therefore, the numbers here are a bit skewed and less than in reality.

- a. 2.2.4; Social Media
  - i. Famke notes that social media posts were made sporadically throughout the year, and asks whether there are any concrete plans and goals for what social media should be used for.
    - 1. Ben answers that there are no concrete plans to improve on how social media is used currently. For now, the goal is to keep the current flow of posts the same.
    - 2. He adds that he does want to pay more attention to awareness days like International Womens' Day, as well as making sure nice posts (mostly of members) are made each module.
    - 3. Famke suggests paying attention to the upload rate, like for example creating a schedule.
    - 4. She also suggests promoting activities in advance instead of only making posts when said activity is ongoing.
      - a. Ben agrees, adding that the decision was made to not promote everything through Instagram, only large activities.
      - b. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Look into creating a schedule for the Instagram posts, and try to promote activities more in advance through Instagram.
- b. 2.2.5; Promo WhatsApp Group
  - i. Imke says that there is no paragraph about event promotion in general, which would be nice to add as she feels event promotion has been a bit shaky sometimes the past few months.
    - 1. Rachel agrees and says that this will be added.
    - 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Add a paragraph about general event promotion (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2 Activity Policy)
- c. 2.2.6; December Month
  - i. Ellis says that the Christmas dinner was very cute. She notes that for doing creative promotion for big events, the GraphiCie would likely be willing to help seeing that big projects are likely more fun to work on.
    - 1. Imke asks whether there are any ideas for how creative promotion should be facilitated, adding that it likely requires a lot of effort to organise and check in on every so often.
    - 2. Ellis notes that in the Internal introductory documents there is a list of ideas for creative promotion that could be used as inspiration.
    - 3. Max says that aside from the December month, other methods of creative promotion have already been used, such as using ProTube or the Homey for ProtoTrip, as well as a committee that wanted to change out the "holidies" poster in the Zilverling back entrance hallway.
    - 4. Imke says that this could also be put in the report.
    - 5. Max agrees.

 AP Max Liebe: Add a few examples of other creative promotion that was done throughout the year (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.2.6 December Month)

- a. 2.3.2; Board Accessibility & Member Input
  - i. Imke feels that the first paragraph belongs in 2.1.2 ProtOpeners.
    - 1. Ellis disagrees as it concerns the accessibility for members to contact board members.
    - 2. Rachel disagrees as well.
    - 3. Ysbrand notes that this is also already talked about a bit in 2.1.2.
    - 4. Imke says okay.
  - ii. Ellis asks about the attendance numbers for Open Board Meetings, and asks whether it was cancelled due to the online registrations or physical attendance numbers.
    - 1. Rachel answers that two people registered online, with only one person physically showing up to the OBM.
    - 2. Ellis recommends clarifying that the OBM was cancelled due to a low physical attendance.
    - AP Max Liebe: Clarify that the Open Board Meeting was cancelled due to a low physical attendance, as opposed to being cancelled due to the online participant numbers. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.2 Board Accessibility & Member Input)
  - iii. Laura asks whether the plan is to continue hosting Open Board Meetings.
    - 1. Rachel says that this is still being discussed internally with the board and Board of Advisors, as its usefulness is debatable due to all the input sessions hosted and due to its accessibility restricting the choices of topics.
    - 2. Laura suggests explaining this here as well.
    - 3. Ellis adds that Board 11.1 struggled with this as well, adding that they mostly picked topics that were discussed a lot between their board, to also gain some outside input.
    - 4. She adds that in a worst-case scenario, the OBM is closed after half an hour, which is fine.
    - 5. She also refers to the Change GMM of 9.0 to 10.0, where a lot of critique was given to the idea of not hosting OBMs.
    - 6. Rachel thanks Ellis for the suggestion.
    - 7. **AP Max Liebe:** Explain what the plan is for hosting future Open Board Meetings (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.2 Board Accessibility & Member Input)
  - iv. Mats notes that the report states the international input session was a success, and that there are no plans to organise any more this year. He argues that it could be better to organise these routinely, as the international students who provide feedback can then provide topics to discuss as they come up in their daily life. He thinks this would work better than waiting for topics to come up among the board and then organising a session.
    - 1. Rachel asks whether he means that the board should provide more topics.
    - 2. Mats explains he means that these sessions should be held at a more frequent basis, like twice a year, to allow people to come up with topics themselves.

- Max explains that the topics that were discussed at the input session were very broad, one example being the topic "Protopolis". He adds that this meant that people were still mostly coming up with the topics themselves.
- 4. Mats asks whether there will still be more input sessions hosted.
- 5. Sterre says that for the next sessions more broad topics can be discussed.
- 6. Mats suggests still looking into organising more input sessions.
- 7. Hannah suggests looking at the FocOS sessions that are held every quartile for inspiration. Although these are normally meant for board members, it could also be interesting for other members.
- v. Philippe notes he believes that it can also be stated in the report that there are a lot of active international members, as this is not something that often happens.
  - 1. Rachel answers that this is also stated in 2.3.4, and that for anonymity reasons exact numbers were not allowed to be used.
  - 2. Ysbrand adds that he is not sure whether this can be credited to the board, as there are also a lot of international first years in general.
- b. 2.3.3; Active Members
  - i. Famke says that she is happy to see attention paid to the involvement of members in committees and asks whether there is any sort of conclusion for how well the goal of allowing as many people as possible to join a committee worked.
    - 1. Rachel answers that she believes the strategy to divide people among committees worked well, as it led to a lot of new active members.
    - 2. Famke remarks that this can also be stated in the report and is something to be proud of.
    - 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Explain that the strategy for dividing people among committees resulted in a high number of active members. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.3 Active Members)

- a. 2.3.6; Alumni
  - i. Laura notes that she is missing something about the graduation letter being added to the graduation folder of the bachelors.
    - 1. Tristan apologises.
    - 2. **AP Tristan van Marle:** Add a paragraph about the graduation letter (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.6 Alumni)
- b. 2.4; Educational Policy
  - i. Laura says she is missing something about the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam here.
    - 1. Ysbrand answers that this is not something he is actively working on.
    - 2. Laura notes that the VU is not really talked about anywhere in the report, and that if anywhere it fits in the educational policy.
    - 3. Ysbrand asks what she would like to see written down, as he is not sure what to write about it.
    - 4. Laura notes that aside from the Kick-In and camp, which will be together with VU students, she wonders if Proto is not being pushed into a corner when it comes to what Alma wants for the VU.

- 5. Ellis says that as far as she knows it will only really be relevant for the Kick-In and camp, where there will be more people attending as the VU students will be joining. She says that as far as she knows Proto is not really involved with anything else the VU is doing.
- 6. Max adds that Proto will also receive the regular financing for VU students attending, so that in the end it could mean that there is a bit more money for the Kick-In (and camp).
- 7. Laura asks what the plan is for involving VU students throughout the rest of the year, as they will be here in Enschede for about two days per week. She asks if the plan is for them to belong to Proto and become active there.
- 8. Ysbrand says that this is something that is still being investigated, as it also is not clear from the VU side what will happen with the study association there. He adds that this week it should become clear which association will be the study association for CreaTe in Amsterdam, with which a meeting will take place soon.
- 9. Hannah suggests adding a paragraph for the VU, as this is also an interesting update for the GMM to know, even though it is not an active focus right now. She suggests making clear that Proto will not be the study association in Amsterdam.
  - a. Imke agrees that a small explanation should be added, as it is quite a big and impactful topic.
  - b. Ysbrand says he will add a piece about the VU.
  - AP Ysbrand Burgstede & Max Liebe: Add a paragraph about the current state of Proto regarding the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.4 Educational Policy)
- 10. Laura gives props for not letting Proto get pushed into a corner on this matter.
- 11. Imke references W.S.G. Isaac Newton, stating that they had some negative experiences with their VU collaboration.
- ii. Imke says she is missing a paragraph about educational material, adding that she guesses something happened to the sketching sets that is worth writing down.
  - 1. Philippe adds that StudyStore is bankrupt.
  - 2. Ysbrand explains that they went bankrupt and will not deliver any more books.
  - 3. He adds that a new book contract is currently being worked on, which will be relevant starting next year. As Proto is currently still under contract, promoting alternative ways to get this educational material is not allowed.
  - 4. He adds that only five books were sold in the second half of last year.
  - 5. Imke suggests putting this in the report.
  - 6. **AP Max Liebe & Ysbrand Burgstede:** Add a paragraph about the educational material. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.4 Educational Policy)
- c. 2.4.2.1; Educational Mail
  - i. Laura asks Ysbrand what the plans are for the educational mail, referencing the report that states that he did not believe it was necessary to send it out up until now and maybe can be used for the minor deadline.

- 1. Ysbrand answers he believes the educational mail is important for big updates, like the minor deadline.
- 2. He adds that smaller updates can be sent out over WhatsApp.
- 3. Laura asks whether he will brainstorm about what to put in the educational mail with the EducaCie.
  - a. Ysbrand says he will.
  - b. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede:** Brainstorm with the EducaCie about what to do with the educational mail.
- 4. Ellis remarks that while the report states that Ysbrand does not feel there is a need for the educational mail, the questionnaire has quite some people who do want to receive more things over educational mails. She also suggests that the questionnaire should have more questions about this change in educational mail frequency, seeing as it was quite a big change.
- 5. Jesse adds that in his year he felt that people were very interested in the educational mail, especially to see what has happened with their feedback.
- 6. He adds that he does think most of the educational information should come from one source.
- 7. Ellis says that Louis in his year received a lot of positive remarks regarding what was done with the educational feedback. She explains that Louis sent out an information mail regarding the sign up for the minors, because this information was not clearly explained by the study itself.
- 8. She adds that she also thinks it is nice to have all the information in once space, whether that is with Proto or on Canvas. She thinks two canvas pages are only confusing.
- 9. Ysbrand explains that he sent out some information over WhatsApp, as he felt that this was not as big enough topic to use the educational mail for.
  - a. Jesse says that he does not believe WhatsApp to be the ideal solution as it will not reach everyone.
  - b. Ellis remarks that if you are going to send the WhatsApp message anyway, also put this message over email.
- 10. Imke asks whether an introductory email was sent out at the start of Ysbrand's year, and asks whether he thinks this is important or not.
  - a. Ysbrand says that he is not sure about whether he sent this email.
  - b. Imke remarks that it is good to investigate this.
  - c. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede:** Find out whether an educational introductory email was sent at the start of the year, and consider whether this was or would have been valuable.
- d. 2.4.3; Education Committee (EducaCie)
  - i. Famke asks whether Ysbrand has already found someone from I-Tech for the EducaCie.
    - 1. Ysbrand answers that there was a person at the second committee market who showed interest towards joining the committee.
  - ii. Jelle Brouwer remarks that the university sends out a form at the end of the module, and asks whether sending out an educational form from Proto would maybe be a bit too much and could lead to confusion.

- 1. Ysbrand explains that these forms are sent out halfway through the module, so this is not a problem.
- iii. Ellis asks why the minor and master market have been split.
  - 1. Ysbrand answers that this was done based on feedback from the Board of Advisors. He adds that he believes it is possible to do it in one evening, and would have liked to do so this year, but due to time constraints the choice was made to split them to divide the workload.
  - 2. Ellis suggests putting a notice in the introductory documents about this costing a lot of time. She adds that she does not really see the point in a master market as for a lot of masters a CreaTe student needs to do a pre-master.
  - 3. Ysbrand says he will put this in the introductory documents, and apologises for starting on this so late.
  - 4. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede:** Put a notice about the minor/master market in the introductory documents, adding that this takes a while to organise but ideally is held as one event.
- e. 2.4.4; Educational Feedback
  - i. Hannah says she is happy with the feedback system, and asks whether the board thinks this should happen anonymously or whether to put the name of a student here.
    - 1. Ysbrand answers that the board will be able to see the name, but the rest of the people will not.
      - a. Hannah answers that she thinks this is a good way to do it.
    - 2. Hannah asks whether some guidelines will be made for posting on the feedback board, to prevent trolling and bashing teachers.
      - a. Ysbrand explains that a system will be put in place where the educational has to accept the post first before it is shown on the feedback board.
    - 3. Hannah asks how the members will be notified about the existence of this feedback tool.
      - a. Ysbrand explains that when the tool is actually finished, a large announcement will be made about it.
- 30. Marije Rekker comes in, the quorum goes to 35.
- 31. Page 12
  - a. 2.5.1.1 Financial Sponsorship
    - i. Laura and Jeroen simultaneously scream "holy sh\*t!".
      - 1. Jeroen asks Rachel to show an image that was sent over WhatsApp, and asks whether this image can be shown.
        - a. Rachel opens the image and shows it to the GMM.
        - b. [The image depicts an edited image of Nickelback singer Chad Kroeger singing "Photograph". The meme shows a graph and has changed "Look at this photograph" to "Look at this graph".]
      - 2. Jeroen notes that the total listed in the document is €11,409,- and adds that this is the first time an Officer of External Affairs has managed to collect over €10,000,- from sponsorships. He adds that this is insane.
        - a. A loud applause can be heard coming from the GMM.
        - b. Jeroen asks what Tristan's personal target was again.
          - i. Tristan answers that this is  $\in 12,000,-.$
          - ii. Jeroen says that this amount will definitely be reached.

- c. Imke remarks that during the change GMM Jeroen said that this goal would never be reached.
  - i. Jeroen sighs and says this is correct. He once again congratulates Tristan.
- ii. Ellis asks what the asterisk next to the total is about.
  - 1. Tristan explains that this is due to no lunch lectures having taken place yet, where money will be spent on lunch, like for example Subway sandwiches.
  - 2. Laura clarifies that the amount that is collected for lunch lectures from companies includes paying for lunch on Proto's end.
- b. 2.5.1.2; Creative Sponsorship
  - i. Laura references the last GMM where the question was asked whether a company month will be organised again, and asks whether this will happen again.
    - 1. Tristan answers that as was discussed back then it will not be a month, and will rather be more spread out this time.
  - ii. Hannah notes that it is always tricky to organise things for next year as Tristan will likely not be a board member anymore then. She asks how this will be transferred to the candidate board.
    - 1. Tristan answers that it is just a necessity to arrange companies before the summer break, and says that a plan can be created with the Acquisition Committee to decide what will happen here.
    - 2. Hannah asks whether the information surrounding these events will be clearly communicated to the candidate board.
      - a. Tristan confirms this.
- c. 2.5.3; Acquisition Committee
  - i. Laura asks what "the event in March" means.
    - 1. Tristan explains that the committee will start with setting up the company market in March, it is not supposed to be "an event in March".
- 32. Page 13
  - a. 2.6.1; Usage of Surplus Sponsorship
    - i. Imke notes that since it is sure there will be surplus sponsorship, the "is very likely" here looks weird.
      - 1. Rachel agrees.
      - 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Remove the "very likely". (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.6.1 Usage of Surplus Sponsorship)
  - b. 2.6.2; Partial activity restitution for board members
    - i. Sander notes that the GMA numbers are incorrect.
      - 1. **AP Max Liebe:** Correct the GMA numbers. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.6.2 Partial activity restitution for board members)
    - ii. Sander says that right now the partial restitution is just a document on the wiki, and asks whether this will be incorporated into the Static Policy Plan.
      - 1. Ben says that the choice was made to name it for the last time in a document as the restitution is still a new concept. He adds that incorporating this into the static policy plan will be passed onto the candidate board.
      - 2. **AP Candidate Board 13.0:** Look into adding the board restitution into the Static Policy Plan.
  - c. 2.7.1; Google Workspace

- i. Laura asks whether societies will be getting their own Google Workspace, noting that PlantSie has not managed to get into one yet.
  - 1. Sterre says that they will get a Workspace, and adds that most people are already added to it, except for the PlantSie.
  - 2. Laura remarks that this should be put into the report.
  - 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Explain that societies also receive a Google Workspace folder. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.7.1 Google Workspace)
- ii. Hannah asks whether all of the committees are already working with Google Workspace.
  - 1. Rachel answers that this is the case.
  - 2. Hannah asks whether it works well.
  - 3. Rachel confirms this, adding that the biggest pro is that a shared folder will not suddenly disappear when the owner's account is removed.
  - 4. Hannah asks whether it is easily transferrable to the next board.
  - 5. Rachel also confirms this.
- d. 2.7.2; Site and wiki contents
  - i. Mats suggests switching up the order on the "old boards" page on the website, as right now a user has to scroll for very long to reach the most recent boards.
    - 1. Imke notes that there is already an index menu so there is no need to scroll for so long, but she agrees nonetheless.
    - 2. Rachel says that this is a good idea.
    - 3. **AP Board 12.0:** Switch up the order of boards on the "old boards" page on the website to remove the need for scrolling for a long time to get to the most recent boards.

- a. 2.8; Other Policies/Miscellaneous
  - i. Imke notes the piece about society promotions can be put here as it is also mentioned here in the Policy Plan.
    - 1. **AP Max Liebe:** Move the text about society promotion to Other Policies. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.8 Other Policies/Miscellaneous)
- b. 2.8.2; Mental Health
  - i. Ellis asks whether there are any more plans for organising activities related to mental health.
    - 1. Rachel says there are plans to organise a Mental Health Day again.
    - 2. Ellis says this is nice.

- a. 2.8.3; Helper Committees
  - i. Ellis remarks that the use of WhatsApp polls was very nice.
- b. 3.1; The forming of committees
  - i. Sebastiaan notes that the report says a second committee market will be held on 16 February, and since this market has since happened, asks whether this led to all committees being filled.
    - 1. Tristan says this is mostly the case.
  - ii. Hannah does not understand how the ordered list for committees would prevent people from being denied or accepted twice.
    - 1. Sterre explains that if somebody were to sign up for two committees, instead of both committees maybe ending up denying

this person, one committee gets to pick first and then after the choice of this committee could be considered when picking members for the second committee.

- 2. Hannah says she understands, but recommends clarifying this in the report.
- 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify how the ordered list of committees can help to prevent people from being denied into a committee. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.1 The forming of committees)
- iii. Hannah asks how favouritism will be prevented if "how well someone fits into a committee" is also considered for whether they are accepted into a committee.
  - 1. Sterre answers that this is a last resort, and that the other two points discussed will mainly be used for deciding whether someone is accepted into a committee.
  - 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify that the criteria "how well someone fits into a committee" is only used as a last resort (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.1 The forming of committees)
- iv. Ellis notes that next time it would be nice if it is made clear how many people are still needed for each committee.
- v. Ellis asks whether there are still committees that are not full yet.
  - 1. Sterre answers that EducaCie and the Acquisition Committee are still looking for someone.
  - 2. Ellis asks whether there is a deadline for finding members for these committees.
  - 3. Sterre says this is just as soon as possible.
- vi. Ellis says that the ordered list was a good idea and should really be put into the introductory documents.
  - 1. Sterre thanks Ellis and says this will be incorporated into the introductory documents.
  - 2. **AP Sterre Kuijper:** Incorporate the ordered list system for dividing members across committees in the introductory documents for the Officer of Internal Affairs.
- vii. Sanders asks whether committees still have the space to organise activities, with all the activities that are currently already planned.
  - 1. Rachel says that a focus is being put on the larger activities and dates for next year. She adds that so far this has worked out well.
  - 2. Sander asks whether attention is being paid to make sure that all the big activities after each other is not too high of a workload.
  - 3. Rachel says this is being accounted for.

- a. 3.5; Camp Committee
  - i. Hannah asks whether the extra students from the VU can really be called a positive impact, as it is likely an increase of about twenty people. She adds that manpower really matters and wonders whether those people are really willing to do something with Proto.
    - 1. Ysbrand says he thinks the trend of getting less participants for camp will continue, so he thinks it does not matter much.
    - 2. Hannah thinks this cannot be assumed as truth, as this was the first year that camp had less participants than normal. She asks what will happen if this is not the case.

- a. Ysbrand says that he and Max still need to discuss what to do with this.
- b. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede & Max Liebe:** Decide what will happen if there is a great increase in people willing to sign up for camp due to the extra students from the VU.
- 3. Famke says that attention should not only be paid to the amount of participants, but also to how active these people will be at Proto.
- 4. Laura says she believes it is important to let the VU students bond with the UT students, as they will be here quite a lot during their time studying. She adds that the budget for the students from the faculty is for both the students and also meant to let them bond.
- 5. Mats agrees with Laura, and thinks that this year will actually have quite a big increase of people, so he recommends looking into a larger accommodation.
- 6. Rachel says that the board understands the concerns, but explains that it is also the request from the study, so there is not much choice here.
- 7. Sarah suggests getting more old farts in the Camp Committee to deal with the extra sign ups.
- 8. Ellis wants to confirm that the limit for the sign ups for camp is the accommodation.
  - a. Rachel confirms this.
  - b. Ellis says this means that it is not possible to arrange more spots on a short term.
- 9. Joris notes that it should be accounted for that the study subsidies are returning next year, which could lead to more students signing up.
  - a. Ysbrand explains that the forecast so far is that there will actually be less students, due to the university not actively promoting the study in foreign countries.
  - b. Joris says that the kinds of people signing up for the study could be quite different next year.
- ii. Hannah asks what exactly the faculty is expecting from Proto for camp and Kick-In regarding the VU students.
  - Max explains that the VU students will be attending their own introduction in Amsterdam, only attending the study-related part here in Enschede. He adds that the students should have a place here and should be divided in groups. The faculty also asked to make sure that the VU students will get a chance to mingle with the UT students. He also adds that the committee will also get budget for these students.
  - 2. He adds that the exact plans still have to be worked out with the Kick-In Committee CreaTe, but the programme likely will not have to be changed much.
  - 3. Hannah wants to confirm that this means that the only real change is that sign ups for the Kick-In and for camp are now also open for the VU students.
    - a. Max and Ysbrand confirm this.
  - 4. Hannah says she is curious about the meeting Max and Ysbrand will have with the study about this.

- iii. Ellis asks how well the amount of participants for camp can be accounted for, as there is not much known about the numbers and the location is not easily upgradable in terms of how many people can join. She suggests also discussing this with Alma and Didi.
  - 1. Ysbrand answers that Alma and Didi also do not have a lot of information about this yet as the signups are still ongoing. He adds that he wants to make the signups fair for all students.
- iv. Sebastiaan remarks that he does not agree that everything was done to promote the camp, as there was no poster or promotional video.
  - 1. Ysbrand explains that the Camp Committee did attend lectures to promote it.
  - 2. Famke notes that this can be included in the report, as she agrees that the lack of students was not the only reason for having less attendees for camp.
  - 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify that the Camp Committee attended lectures to promote the camp. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.5 Camp Committee)
  - 4. Hannah asks whether it is known why the amount of sign ups for this year's camp were so low. She adds that it is important to evaluate this for occurrences like this where the amount of sign ups is much lower than expected.
    - a. Sterre says that the first years she asked about it either were already going to camp.
    - b. Max says that one of the reasons he knows is that there was a Taste camp at the same time which nine people were attending. He adds that he is sure these people would have likely joined the Proto camp otherwise.
    - c. Ellis says that during the Kick-In some first years were probably scared away from camp due to the promotion referencing some alcohol things. She adds that she thinks the current first years are quite opposed to alcohol.
- v. Joris asks what the plan is for promoting camp towards the VU students.
  - 1. Rachel says this is still uncertain.
  - 2. Ysbrand explains that Maaike, the contact person between Proto and the VU, is working on this and adds that it will also be promoted over Canvas and the VU alternative if there is one.
- vi. Philippe asks why the VU students are not just being skipped for camp this year, as there is no certainty about the amount of students, there is no study association over there and the communication with the staff is difficult. He also asks why the university is so set on getting the VU students along for camp.
  - 1. Ysbrand says that since camp is financed from the Kick-In budget, this is not an option.
  - 2. Philippe remarks that it should still be discussed with the university, as even though there is extra budget there is just not enough manpower to handle all the students, especially if finding people for the committees is hard. He adds that while he agrees with having them over for the Kick-In, he thinks that camp has an entirely different goal and should therefore maybe not have VU students attending.

- 3. Ellis explains that the subsidy for camp is the same as the subsidy for the Kick-In. For all the other study associations, there is a camp during the Kick-In. She adds still investigating whether the VU students are required to be allowed to sign up for camp.
- 4. Rachel says that she understands the concerns about the VU, and that in the meetings with the study it will be made clear that not everything might be possible on Proto's end. She suggests discussing this matter later with the people who have an opinion about it.
- vii. Jelle Brouwer asks whether the signups for camp are first-come-first-serve or whether a percentage is reserved for each university, adding that he believes that if it is first-come-first-serve there is not a big difference, it will just be about who are more willing to join camp.
  - 1. Ysbrand says that this is still uncertain and will be looked into.
- viii. Ellis asks whether it might be a good idea for camp and Kick-In to have someone who does not help with the preparation, but is there during camp to help with things.
  - 1. Rachel says this could be a nice thing to consider, but she thought that this was not something to evaluate in the Semi-Annual Report.
  - 2. **AP Ysbrand Burgstede:** Look into whether it is nice to by default have someone in the Camp Committee who does not help with the preparations, but is there during camp to help out.
  - 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Look into whether it is nice to by default have someone in the Kick-In committee who does not help with the preparations, but is there during the Kick-In to help out.
- b. 3.6; Culture Committee
  - i. Hannah asks what made the previous activities from the Culture Committee "not cultural enough", and what can make activities cultural.
    - 1. Sterre answers that this entails that the culture from other countries will be involved more in activities.
    - 2. Hannah suggests not sticking to this too much.
    - 3. Sterre explains it came forth from the internationals input session.
    - 4. Jelle Brouwer asks whether it would be a good idea to create a separate committee focused on internationalisation. He adds that culture should not only be from a foreign country.
      - a. Max explains that this was already broadly discussed among the board and the members, adding that it was not sure whether there would be enough people willing to join such a committee. He adds that culture was once established with the goal to focus more on the cultural aspect.
    - 5. Jesse asks whether an Officer of Internationalisation was considered.
      - a. Max says this is pretty much just the person who is responsible for ICOS.
    - 6. Philippe asks who decided that culture was going to focus on this.
      - a. Sterre answers that this was decided by the board and by the committee.
      - b. Philippe suggests adding this to the report.
      - c. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify that the decision to have the Culture Committee focus more on internationalisation was made by the

board and by the committee. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.6 Culture Committee)'

- 36. Page 17
  - a. 3.9; DIYCie
    - i. Sander remarks that he feels a bit cheated as the macro keyboard workshop was planned at the same day as the DJ workshop, while the DJ workshop was already planned in October/November, causing some people to only attend the DIYCie workshop.
      - 1. Max answers that he believes the DIYCie workshop was already planned.
        - a. He adds that he wants to apologise for not telling Sander about it, adding that he thought Sander was aware of the DIYCie workshop being planned on that day as well.
      - 2. Sander says that he only found out about the DIYCie workshop when it was put on the website.
        - a. He adds that he heard from Peter that this date was specifically chosen as there was nothing else planned on that day.
      - 3. Max apologises and admits he should have told Sander about the DIYCie workshop.
      - 4. Sander says this can be made up for if Proto hosts another Electro-Shock event this year.
      - 5. Sterre says she will discuss it with the TIPcie.
  - b. 3.11; EEMCS trip
    - i. Imke asks why Inter-*Actief* was not chosen to be the financially responsible association for EEMCS trip.
      - 1. Ben explains that every EEMCS association said they were too busy, with everyone being quiet during the meetings.
        - a. He adds that this is the reason Ben decided to take the responsibility.
        - b. He also adds that Inter-*Actief* has been financially responsible multiple times before, whereas Proto has never been financially responsible. As Proto had sufficient liquidity, this was not a problem.
        - c. He also adds this was done to aid with the mental health of the board members from the other associations.
      - 2. Sebastiaan remarks that Inter-*Actief* is broke.
      - 3. Philippe says that since Inter-*Actief* has seven board members, they should have enough time to be responsible.
      - 4. Ellis says that she thinks it's unfair to by default let the association with the most money be the financially responsible party as it takes a lot of time, especially for the treasurer.
        - a. Ben adds that this was also a reason why he chose to let Proto be financially responsible.
      - 5. Imke suggests putting these reasons in the report.
      - 6. AP Max Liebe & Ben Ligthart: Explain why Proto chose to become financially responsible for EEMCS trip. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.11 EEMCS trip)
  - c. 3.12; EmergenCie

- i. Imke notes that there are two different amounts listed for how many people were recruited for the EmergenCie in the policy plan and in the semi-annual report.
  - **1.** Ben says he will make sure to check this.
    - **a.** Ben, 5 minutes later: I'm pretty sure it was eight.
  - 2. AP Max Liebe: Correct the amount of recruited members for the EmergenCie to eight. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.12 EmergenCie)
- **ii.** Hannah asks how the board all having ERO certificates and using the ERO poule less has been experienced, as she can imagine it could make the board feel obliged to be an ERO at an event.
  - 1. Rachel says she believes it is nice, especially for smaller events where there is a board responsible anyway and usually not a need to actively act as an ERO.
  - 2. She adds that for larger events where the board does not necessarily want to act as an ERO, the poule was used.
  - **3.** Hannah says she thinks this is nice but wonders if next year there might be a problem with not having enough EROs in the board to let this process flow as smoothly as this year.
    - **a.** Ben notes that drinks are a large part of Proto's activities, which the poule is already used for currently.
    - **b.** Sterre says that since the current board will still be members of Proto with an ERO certificate next year, she thinks this will not be a big issue.
    - **c.** Hannah clarifies she was just worried about this due to the sudden spike of new EROs thanks to the extra ERO courses Proto received.
      - i. She adds that time will tell whether this will be an issue.
- **iii.** Laura wonders what the plans are for recruiting new EROs to help during camp and canti, adding that she heard Marije ran along during the last camp.
- iv. She asks whether the plan is to do this next year as well, as Maxim and Wouter likely will not be around to be EROs anymore.
  - 1. Ben says that while there are no current plans, there should still be one or more canti this year where EROs can get more experience of being an ERO at a cantus.
  - **2.** Laura suggests thinking about it and setting up a plan for in the annual report.
  - **3. AP Ben Ligthart:** Make sure to have a plan for getting capable enough EROs to help during canti next year and write this down in the annual report.

- a. 3.16; Foundation ICE
  - i. Ellis asks whether enough people have been found to form a new ICE board, and if not what the plans are for getting enough people.
    - 1. Rachel says that so far there was only one person interested, adding that there are currently no plans for promoting it further this year.
    - 2. Ellis asks what will happen to the one person that was interested.
    - 3. Rachel says she is in a WhatsApp group with them, for when there will eventually be a new board.

- 4. She adds that other than that there is not much that can be done right now.
- 5. Imke remarks that it should be noted in the report that finding a new board for ICE will become Proto's responsibility.
- AP Max Liebe: Clarify that finding a new board for Foundation ICE will become Proto's responsibility. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.16 Foundation ICE)

- a. 3.19; Guild Of Drafters
  - i. Hannah asks how the introductory period for the Guild Of Drafters was experienced and whether it will be repeated next year.
    - 1. Sterre says it was received well and that after drafting at three drinks and the GoD drink the level of the drafters is good now.
    - 2. She adds that she will recommend the candidate board to do this at the start of the year again, as it is easy to do a large group at once then.
    - 3. Hannah asks whether there is some form of documentation for how such an introductory period should be held for next year.
    - 4. Sterre says there is currently no such document, adding that this can be made.
    - 5. Hannah recommends making this an action point.
    - 6. **AP Sterre Kuijper:** Create a document/logistics scheme for the introductory period of the Guild Of Drafters for next iteration.
  - ii. Ellis asks whether the Guild could have been at the second committee market, seeing as there are people with previous drafting experience.
    - 1. She adds that depending on the amount of people interested, they could either be recruited as drafters this year or put on an interest list for next year.
    - 2. Sterre says this that while this could have been a possibility, the current poule for drafters is quite big, so there was not a big necessity to recruit more drafters.
      - a. She adds that if somebody would want to become a drafter, they could always reach out to her.
  - iii. Imke says she is missing something about drinks lasting until 21:00.
    - 1. Phillipe asks whether this has been received well.
    - 2. Sterre says it is quite nice, especially the fact that the last round of drinks does not have to already take place at 19:00.
      - a. She adds that the only caveat is that drafting shifts take longer now, but as half-shifts have been reintroduced, this is not that big of a problem.
    - 3. **AP Max Liebe:** Add something about drinks taking place until 21:00 (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.19 Guild Of Drafters)
  - iv. Peter notes that TIPcie is spelled wrong.
    - 1. He adds that he also noted this at the previous GMM.
    - 2. Sterre apologises.
      - a. She then proceeds to throw Ben under the bus by highlighting the fact that the financial report miss-spells TIPcie a lot.
- 39. Page 20
  - a. 3.21; Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee
    - i. Ellis asks why money is being spent on a new host if the current host was already upgraded.

- 1. Ysbrand explains that the more expensive package from the current host did not help much, which led the HYTTIOAOAC to move to a different host that costs less.
- b. 3.22; InteracCie
  - i. Jesse asks when the I-Drinks were promoted.
    - Rachel says that these drinks are promoted via the Promo from Proto WhatsApp group, as well as usually also the I-Tech Community WhatsApp group.
    - 2. Jesse says he scrolled through the WhatsApp groups and could not find it.
    - 3. Other members in the GMM do seem to find it in their WhatsApp history.
    - 4. Rachel notes that aside from WhatsApp promotion it was also promoted by word of mouth by the InteracCie.
    - 5. Hannah notes that the promotion is on the late side, which leads to her not being able to attend them often.
    - 6. Rachel thinks this is good feedback and says that the InteracCie already tries to promote it as soon as possible.
    - 7. Hannah says that these kinds of activities they could easily be planned more in advance.
    - 8. Rachel says that the committee rather wanted more of these (low effort) activities. This led to them being planned quite soon in advance.
    - 9. She adds that since these activities did not have a high attendance, it might be better to look at planning less activities more in advance and promoting them better.
    - 10. Hannah says she thinks this would work.
- 40. There is a small break held at 22:01 to change the batteries of the microphone.
- 41. The meeting is resumed at 22:09.
- 42. Page 21
  - a. 3.26; ProdacCie
    - i. Ellis asks how often the ProdacCie plans on publishing the magazine.
      - 1. Sterre answers that the plan is to publish it every quartile.
        - 2. Ellis asks why it is not a friend group anymore.
        - 3. Sterre clarifies that it has become a committee now with people joining that were not necessarily part of the friend group.
        - 4. Ellis notes that societies do not necessarily have to be a friend group, adding that she does not necessarily disagree with it becoming a committee.
        - 5. Laura says that this also means that they receive budget for publishing now.
  - b. 3.27; Protography
    - i. Laura notes that there was no anonymous evaluation form sent out to the Protography, even though this should have happened with every helper committee.
      - 1. She thinks this is a shame, especially as the committee was not running smoothly at the start of the year.

- 2. She adds that most of the requests were quite late and asks whether there is a system for requesting photographers.
  - a. Sterre answers that other board members came to her if they needed photographers for an activity.
  - b. Laura asks whether this happens a week before the activity or when the activity is planned.
  - c. Sterre says she asked the board periodically whether there were any activities that needed photographers.
  - d. She adds that she also felt a lack of communication within the Protographers group, which she wants to improve by organising a teambuilding and photography workshop.
  - e. Laura agrees a bit, but notes that in December a message was sent out that did not get any replies, which also did not get a reminder message.
    - i. She adds that because of the lack of a reminder message and because Sterre did not personally message people, she felt initiative was lacking.
    - ii. Sterre agrees, adding that she ended up picking up photography slots herself due to the lack of responses, or she assigned someone randomly at an event.
  - f. Laura notes that there was never sent out a message about the Proto camera in the Protography WhatsApp group.
    - i. She adds that people heard about the camera from other people, and that the Protography was not asked for input on which camera to buy.
    - ii. Sterre says she told the new members about the camera and did not tell the older members as they all already had their own cameras.
      - A. She adds that part of the reason for buying the camera was to get people who did not own a camera to still become Protography members.
      - B. She admits she could have still notified everyone about it, though.
  - g. Laura still recommends looking sending out requests sooner to make it easier for people to plan for it.
  - h. **AP Sterre Kuijper:** Investigate improving the system for Protography for both requests and for getting people to take up these requests.
- ii. Ellis wonders how it works with editing pictures and putting them online.
  - 1. She asks whether people are given an SD card or have to bring them themselves.
  - 2. Sterre says there is a Proto SD card, but the usage of this is not really defined. Sometimes people bring their own SD cards, and sometimes people immediately put the pictures from the Proto SD card on their laptop.
  - 3. Ellis proposes splitting the people who take pictures and people who edit pictures into two separate groups.
    - a. Laura explains this was evaluated in board 11.1's year, and was not a great success.

- iii. Joris asks whether the photography workshop will be an event where non-Protography members can join as well.
  - 1. Sterre says yes.

- a. 3.29; ProtoTrip
  - i. Max wants to note that this part is quite inaccurate, seeing as ProtoTrip was cancelled due to a lack of sign-ups.
    - 1. He adds that the committee is planning on still organising something like a day out somewhere during the year.
  - ii. Jelle Gerritsen asks whether the text about ProtoTrip can be changed to be relevant and reflect the current situation.
    - 1. He also suggests evaluating this with the committee to see what caused the lack of sign-ups, especially as the promotion strategy seemed quite strong.
    - 2. Ellis says that since this happened after the original date of the report, it should be written in the annual report, not in the semi-annual report.
    - 3. Max agrees and says that he will incorporate this in the annual report.
  - iii. Philippe suggests the lack of sign ups might have had something to do with the IceCie, or just in general the fact that it is an expensive period, which should be accounted for in the evaluation.
    - 1. Ellis says she does not think the ski trip had anything to do with the sign-ups, as there were only five people from Proto signed up for the trip.
    - 2. Sebastiaan says he thinks the lack of sign ups was due to the surf trip.
    - 3. Imke recommends asking people who did not go on the trip why they did not join for the evaluation.
    - 4. Sterre says this was also already discussed with the board, where the conclusion was that it might not have been totally attributed to the surf trip, as there was a surf trip last year as well.
      - a. She also adds that on ProtoTrip usually quite some first years join as well, and this year there just are not as many active first years as usual.
      - b. Max adds that there were zero first years signed up for ProtoTrip, and only three second years.
  - iv. Ellis says she thinks it was a good idea to give the ENTROPcY a heads up as the committee size was quite small.
- b. 3.30; SkiCie
  - i. Ellis asks Ben what the SkiCie is.
    - 1. Ben says he will change it to IceCie.
      - a. [Editorial note: it's okay Ben, I'll do it.]
    - 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Change SkiCie to IceCie (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.30 SkiCie)
  - ii. Ellis notes that it is fair to clarify here that a lot of people from Drienerlo joined via Proto, while only five members who were already Proto members joined.
    - 1. **AP Max Liebe:** Clarify that there were only five people who were already a member at Proto, and that the rest of the people who joined the ski trip came from Drienerlo. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.30 SkiCie)

- iii. Ellis remarks that she would have liked to see this evaluation piece.
  - 1. Ben says he sent it to Ellis and that she also gave feedback about it.
  - 2. Ellis is confused.
  - 3. Philippe asks whether the IceCie has already been evaluated.
    - a. Ben says it will be evaluated tomorrow.
- c. 3.32; SurfSea
  - i. Phillipe asks why the Surf Trip was promoted so early in advance.
    - 1. Sterre says this is because the committee was very enthusiastic about it.
    - 2. Phillipe notes that he thinks it was unfairly featured on the website, as he believes often other events deserved more attention, especially because of things like the ski trip or ProtoTrip being before that.
    - 3. He recommends investigating clearly how many people you want to sign up for the trip and how far in advance this should be promoted to not be a nuisance for other activities or trips.
    - 4. Sterre says that the surf camp organisation required some numbers for how many people were joining far in advance to arrange tents and other stuff.
    - 5. She adds that another reason was the fact that the trip would become cheaper if more people joined.
    - 6. Philippe says he recommends putting some restrictions on signing out to make sure the amount of sign ups can grow but not shrink.
    - 7. Sterre says that currently there is a fixed number of sign-ups, with room for more people.
  - ii. Joris says he thinks that since the surf trip and ProtoTrip are both far from the Netherlands, this could have led to people not signing up for both, whereas since surf trip was in Texel last year, this could have led to people willing to sign up for both.
    - 1. Rachel agrees.

- a. 3.34; TIPcie
  - i. Hannah asks why there is nothing written down about the drafters' gilets.
    - 1. Sterre says they have been bought and crocheted, and that besides this, pins have been bought.
  - ii. Sander asks about the current state of cleaning up after drinks, seeing as it was difficult to get people to help with cleaning up and might discourage people from drafting.
    - 1. He adds that he asked Louis about this, who said that he was going to look into it, but has not heard anything back yet.
    - 2. Sterre says she did not receive any negative feedback about this in real life or in the form.
    - 3. She also says that she feels this is going fine currently, as in general the members seem to be more aware of the fact that drinks need to be cleaned up well.
      - a. Sebastiaan agrees with Sterre.
    - 4. Ellis notes that since the TIPcie has a lot of money now, they could look into doing something similar as Inter-*Actief* currently has, where they thank the people who helped with drafting, ERO-ing and cleaning up by giving them free drinks.

- i. Sterre asks whether this is just a one-time occurrence or after every drink.
- ii. Ellis says this is the case after every drink.
- iii. Laura asks whether this isn't just the same as drinking the beer left in the tap after a drink ("leidingpils").
- iv. Ellis answers that it is pretty much the same, except that the beer stays connected to the tap for langer.
- v. Sebastiaan says this is already happening sometimes.
- iii. Ellis notes that there were communication problems between TIPcie and the board, and asks what these problems were.
  - 1. Sterre explains that these were usually small details that came with arranging a drink, where the communication went via multiple people causing some things to become chaotic.
  - 2. She adds that there is now a WhatsApp group with the board and TIPcie to communicate these problems if they arise.
  - 3. She also notes that sometimes for a drink people prepared too much, causing some things to be done by both the TIPcie and a committee or the board, adding that this is also being improved.

#### b. 4; Societies

- i. Ruben asks where the PetSie society is, as it is listed on the site.
  - 1. Ellis explains that the PetSie was scrapped in her year.
  - 2. Rachel explains that on the website there is a club with all the pets of Proto. This is fun to have on the website, but it is not really a society.
  - 3. Jonathan notes he thinks it is weird that there is a question mark after Luxovis, as he believes this is a real fish.
- c. 4.1; Han Solo
  - i. Famke says she thinks it is nice that Han Solo has a direction now but asks what will happen to make the Discord livelier.
    - 1. Max explains that he believes the core problem of the Discord currently is that everyone who joins must be verified manually to become a member and fully use the Discord, which he is looking into to create a system to do this automatically.
    - 2. He adds that he also thinks the logo is a bit unprofessional and together with the abundance of channels could scare people away from using it.
    - 3. He also adds that Han Solo has planned an activity that will take place on the Discord, also to show that it exists.
    - 4. Rachel adds that it is nice to have something like this at the start of the year, as a lot of first year students do not have a room yet in Enschede, so having an online community where they can join is nice.
    - 5. Philippe suggests looking into doing something online with the upcoming first years.
- d. 4.2; PlantSi
  - i. Laura says there is still an activity coming for the PlantSi.
    - 1. Rachel says this can be included in the report.
    - 2. **AP Max Liebe:** Add that PlantSi is still planning on organising an activity this year. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 4.2 PlantSi)

#### 45. Page 26

a. Appendix A; Results semi-annual survey

- i. Famke says she is surprised that more than 50% of the people who filled in the form were third year students or older, and asks whether there are any plans for getting more input from first years and second years as she feels that is the input that is most important.
  - 1. Sterre says she thinks this can be due to there being less active first and second years in general.
  - 2. Rachel says that new methods indeed need to be found to get more people to fill in the evaluation form.
  - 3. Famke suggests giving out cookies to people for filling in the form, attending lectures or maybe even asking Alma for budget for this.
  - 4. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into ways of getting first and second years to fill in the evaluation form.
- b. Ellis remarks that a lot of things in the form are not that relevant for what board 12.0 has done, while other things are missing that should have been evaluated. She mentions the new opening times, drink times and educational mails as an example. She feels this is a missed chance to evaluate the things that actually were changed by board 12.0.
  - i. Rachel agrees that this evaluation form was made too hastily and that more of board 12.0's policy plan points should have been evaluated.
  - ii. She adds that this will be the plan now for the annual evaluation.
  - iii. Sterre says that this might also partly be due to board 12.0 not really knowing what they could get out of the evaluation form.
    - 1. Ellis says this should have been asked about if that was the case, adding that even a simple WhatsApp message to the Board of Advisors or other active members would have sufficed.
    - 2. She recommends putting something about this in the introductory documents for the Officer of Internal Affairs.
- c. Ellis notes that the answers for the open questions are not in the appendix, even though she gave a lot of feedback in the form here. She remarks that now there is not a way to know that anything will be done with this feedback.
  - i. Rachel explains that these answers have been hidden on purpose for anonymity.
  - ii. She adds that they were used to write the analysis in Appendix B.
  - iii. Ellis asks whether the current plan is to request one poster for multiple drinks, instead of separate ones for each drink.
    - 1. Sterre says that the TIPcie will internally discuss whether drinks need a separate poster, or whether they can be incorporated into one poster for multiple drinks.
- d. Ellis asks whether the plan is to keep the opening times the same, as for example on Monday people tend to not show up until 11 AM.
  - i. Rachel says this can be discussed in private another time.
  - ii. Ellis says it would be nice to still get an update about this.
  - iii. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into changing the opening times for Monday to a later time, and also inform Ellis about the final decision.
- e. Hannah says that she understands the decision to keep out the answers for the open questions, but thinks that these answers can often provide interesting topics to discuss. She mentions as an example the fact that some people filled in that they are not sure whether they are an active member, which she is curious about as to why people are not sure.

- i. Ysbrand says that this is a difficult matter, as there were just a lot of responses where based on the response you could quite easily deduct who submitted that response.
- ii. He adds that he still thinks the responses should be left out, and that coming to a conclusion based on these responses is a better way to deal with them.
- iii. Hannah suggests writing a summary to show that something is being done with these responses, so people can see their feedback is being taken seriously.
- iv. Sterre says that this was the intention, but that some things could have been discussed and written down more specifically.
- v. Ellis notes that it is pretty much impossible to see who made which comment now.
- vi. Rachel says that often with a single response it is still quite easy to see who made said comment.
- vii. Hannah suggests adding a question about whether people find it OK that their answers are being incorporated into the report and shown during the GMM.
- viii. Laura notes that in their year the decision was made that these answers should be left out during the GMM.
- ix. Rachel says she understands that some people would like to see their feedback incorporated into the report, and adds that next time it will be worked out in more detail in the analysis.
- f. Sanne asks whether the board thinks the length of the survey scares away people, or whether the survey itself scares away people anyway.
  - i. Rachel thinks it is a bit of both.
  - ii. Sanne wonders whether there could be an option for the survey to be shorter initially, with optional additional questions for those who want to fill them in.
    - 1. Rachel says this is a good point, and seeing as the survey is being changed quite a bit already for the annual survey it can be looked into. Noting that the only risk is that less feedback will be given overall if people choose to not fill in the longer version.
    - 2. **AP Rachel den Otter & Sterre Kuijper:** Look into making the annual survey have a variable length to lower the bar and time required for filling it in.

- a. A.1.1; Education
  - i. Hannah thinks the percentage of people filling in 1 or 2 for the two questions on this page is still quite high, and wonders whether this is because people fill it in for the study, and not for Proto.
    - 1. Ysbrand says this number is the same as last year, adding that he did not really look into it.
    - 2. Hannah recommends looking into it a bit more.
    - 3. Ysbrand says that this survey does not really provide enough data to come to a conclusion about this.
    - 4. He adds that for the annual survey he will look into this a bit more to see why people filled this in.
    - 5. Hannah stresses that it is good to look into this, also for the CreaTe staff in general.
    - 6. Philippe thinks that one out of 50 people filling in 1 is not a big deal.
    - 7. Hannah suggests adding something like "by the Officer of Educational Affairs" in the next survey, or an open question so people can provide a better explanation.

8. Joris suggests it could have also just been a first year who has no idea what Proto even is.

#### 47. Page 36

- a. A.4; Activism
  - i. Hannah notes that 10% of the people gave a 2 for the question whether the board puts in enough effort for getting people to become active members.
    - 1. Rachel says that since there were no specific comments about this, the board does not really know why this is the case.
    - 2. Ben says that there was one person who told him that after the committee market there was not really a good way to come in contact to join a committee. He adds that Sterre followed this person up.
    - 3. Hannah suggests also altering the survey to let people explain their concerns about this.

#### 48. Page 44

- a. A.7; Activities
  - i. Hannah notes that a lot of people think there are too many drinks.
    - 1. Sterre says that she has also heard from someone that they wanted more drinks.
    - 2. She adds that she does not think TIPcie organised more drinks themselves, but acknowledges that maybe the mobi-tap was used more often this year, but usually this was done for activities that were not really supposed to be drinks.
    - 3. Hannah thinks she feels that she have heard people complain about this before.
    - 4. Sebastiaan notes that in the graph above seven people wanted to see more drinks organised, so it is quite divided.
    - 5. Hannah wonders whether an input moment could be held to evaluate this, adding that maybe themed drinks can draw away attention from other activities.
    - 6. Imke adds that organising a lot of "special" drinks can take away their special feeling.
    - 7. **AP Board 12.0:** Evaluate the current amount of drinks, and think about whether there should maybe be organised less themed drinks as they can draw away attention from other activities and make other themed drinks feel less special.

- a. B.4; The Board
  - i. Hannah wonders what the board's vision is regarding helping people with their well-being.
    - 1. Rachel agrees with the survey, she thinks the board does not necessarily need to be involved with the members' well-being.
    - 2. She adds that if certain things can be set up for well-being, for example with MentOS, she does like helping out in that regard.
    - 3. Hannah wonders if it is then useful to evaluate, if nothing will be done with it anyway.
- 50. Rachel notes that the hammer has been brassed and says that that happens to the best.
  - a. Imke notes that Rachel is not one of the best.

#### i. Disjointed crowd

- 51. She has found another hammer, offered to her by the likes of the statue Ingrid.
- 52. The Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023 is accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 22:55 by the GMM.

#### Вгеак

The GMM is resumed at 23:19

### Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023

- 53. There are no general remarks made before discussing the document.
- 54. Page 1
  - a. An air fryer "ding" sound can be heard in the background
    - i. Multiple people agree this should be called the "bitter bell"
- 55. Page 3
  - a. 2; Balance
    - i. 1502; Emballage
      - 1. Sander asks what happened to create a difference of almost €600.
      - Ben answers that he looked into this with the Audit Committee, as €486.08 for emballage is incredibly unrealistic.
      - 3. He adds that when emballage was received from the Makro, it was declared on 1502 Emballage, but when it was returned it was declared on 3600 OmNomCom Result, which caused the difference.
      - 4. He adds that he fixed this in the book keeping, but then landed on a negative emballage, which is also wrong. After the next OmNomCom stock count he will know how much emballage there is and will correct this in the book keeping.
      - 5. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Correct the amount for the emballage. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1502 Emballage)
    - ii. 1550; Take Away Dinners
      - 1. Famke notes that €1400.83 is quite a lot for takeway dinners.
        - a. Ben answers that the €1376.53 is the amount that was listed when he started his financial year.
        - b. He adds that he does not know where this amount came from.
        - c. Sanne remarks that this amount was €0 when Martijn started his financial year, meaning that this €1376.53 is likely all takeway dinner costs that still need to be paid off by members.
          - i. She adds that it is probably not worth the effort to trace back who should pay what back, as the costs came from order more than half a year ago. She suggests moving it to "Expenses by previous boards".
          - ii. Ben answers that he does not believe Martijn made an error of over €1300, but that this is instead due to not declaring something on the correct source.
        - d. He adds that he will make sure to follow up on this.

- e. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Find out what caused the high amount for the Take Away Dinners and correct this in the book keeping. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1550 Take Away Dinners)
- iii. 1602; Reservation Direct Withdrawal
  - 1. Jonathan asks what this reservation is about, as the amount seems to have remained the same and should probably be used for something.
    - a. Ben answers he believes this was an error from last year that still needs to be resolved in the book keeping.
    - b. Jonathan asks whether there is any certainty about what withdrawal this is about and what the issue is.
    - c. Ben answers he is not completely sure, noting that this €25.58 is likely a difference between a withdrawal's received income and the amount that should have been received.
    - AP Ben Ligthart: Resolve the issue in the book keeping regarding the Reservation Direct Withdrawal (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1602 Reservation Direct Withdrawal)
- iv. 1640; Reservation Board Weekend
  - 1. Ellis notes that the amount listed here is quite random and asks why this is the case.
    - a. Ben answers that this is the same amount as last year, which he copied.
    - b. Ellis notes that she thinks this amount was this in her year because board 11's board weekend still had to be paid off.
    - c. Ben answers that he is not sure and that this could be the case.
- v. 1662; Reservation Statutes
  - 1. Peter remarks that this amount has been listed here for four years now and should definitely be collected from the Student Union, as otherwise it might not be possible to still collect it when the statutes are renewed.
    - a. Ben answers that he already worked on this, having filled in a new request form that was accepted by the SU in the spring break.
    - b. Philippe asks whether there is an expectation for when this amount will be paid out by the SU.
      - i. Ben answers that the SU did not give an estimation, but that he believes it should be paid out somewhere in the next month.
      - ii. Philippe asks what the term of payment is for Proto's invoices.
      - iii. Ben answers this is officially two weeks, but he is also fine with a month.
      - iv. Philippe suggests emailing the SU if this amount has not been paid after a month, as it has been outstanding for four years now.
      - v. [Editorial note: This amount has been paid out now, therefore an action point is not given here.]
- vi. 1810; Reservation Licenses

- 1. Ellis asks what this reservation is about.
  - a. Ben answers that the description is quite vague, and that Maxim also brought it up during when the budget plan was discussed.
  - b. Jonathan says he believes the amount is for the iOS App Store license.
  - c. He adds that as the app is not being worked on at the moment, this reservation could be removed until it is necessary again.
  - d. Ben says he indeed remembers now, and will get rid of this reservation.
  - e. Jonathan suggests moving it to "Expenses by previous boards".
  - AP Ben Ligthart: Remove the reservation for the Apple Developer license (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1810 Reservation Licenses)
- vii. 2210; Expenses to be paid
  - 1. Sebastiaan notes that because there is a comma here instead of a period, the amount listed here is not considered for the total, which means the total at the bottom is wrong.
    - a. Ben answers that this is correct and that the result should therefore be a bit lower.
    - AP Ben Ligthart: Fix the comma at 2210 Expenses to be paid and make sure the Total liabilities and Result current financial year are correct. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 2210 Expenses – to be paid)

- a. 1100; General Register
  - i. Ellis remarks that is not specified here which bank account the deposit concerns.
    - 1. Ben answers that this concerns the regular, non-savings bank account.
    - 2. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Clarify that the deposit went into the regular bank account, and not into the savings account. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1100 General Register)
- b. 1210; To be received debtors
  - i. Ellis notes that there are a lot of invoices here, but that it is not clear whether they have been actively pursued.
    - 1. Ben answers that he still needs to pursue the YER Personal Branding Lunch invoice.
    - 2. He adds that the MOD03 Networking Drink needs to be collected from the university.
    - 3. He adds that the Cofano facebook post should be removed, as they likely are not going to pay.
    - 4. He adds that for the DIYCie sponsoring, he still needs to go to the administrative office of the university.
    - 5. He adds that Q42, Capgemini and McKinsey just have not paid yet.
    - 6. He adds that Daedalus has been sent an invoice.
    - 7. He adds that the NPO funds have been requested, but have not paid out yet.

- 8. Ellis says that it is nice Ben has been actively going after these invoices. She suggests that for clarity it could be nice to also note this in the table next time.
- ii. Sebastiaan notes that this table is also incorrect because of a comma instead of a period for the YER Personal Branding Lunch.
  - AP Ben Ligthart: Fix the comma for the YER Personal Branding Lunch amount to make sure the total adds up correctly. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1210 To be received – debtors)
  - 2. He adds that despite this missing €75, there is still a big difference between the total listed in this table and the 1210 Income to be received listed in the Balance table.
    - a. Ben answers that this is due to some invoices from previous years not having been added yet and that he only found out about this last minute.
    - AP Ben Ligthart: Correct the large gap between the amount in the Balance table and the total for 1210 Income – to be received. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1210 Income – to be received)

- a. General remarks
  - i. Laura asks whether there are any more plans for the remaining NPO funds.
    - 1. Ben answers that these funds will be used for Symposium, Summer Sounds and possibly for a day out for ProtoTrip.
    - 2. He adds that it could also be used for some activity at the end of the year, like a barbecue.
    - 3. Laura asks whether an amount will remain for board 13.0.
      - a. Ben answers that about half of all the total funds will remain for board 13.0.
      - b. Laura remarks that this was listed nowhere in the Semi-Annual (Financial) Report, and suggests adding this for clarification.
      - c. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Add a small explanation about how half of the NPO funds will be passed onto board 13.0 (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023)
- b. 1502 Emballage
  - i. Ellis wants to clarify that having a negative emballage means that more was paid for emballage than was received.
    - 1. Ben answers that this means that there is currently negative emballage in Protopolis, which is not possible.

- a. 2230; To be paid
  - i. Ellis asks for clarification on what this source is about.
    - 1. Ben answers this was a mistake made on Martijn's part regarding the Makro deliveries.
    - 2. He adds that the delivery was accidently declared on the wrong source, which was not noticed by the Audit Committee. This is why the source is still listed here.
    - 3. He adds that during the last Audit Committee meeting this mistake was corrected and the amount was moved to the correct source.
  - ii. Ellis asks why this source exists in the first place.

1. Ben answers that he is not sure, and that it was likely created by a previous treasurer for unknown reasons.

#### 59. Page 7

- a. 1601; Reservation Miscellaneous
  - i. Famke remarks that the invoices from 2017 should have been paid by now, as they are now six years old.
    - 1. Ben says he agrees, and adds that he has already reached out to T Point, who have not responded yet.
    - 2. He adds that the Subway agreed to leave it be, as they lost the receipts.
  - ii. Sebastiaan remarks that the total of the table is incorrect, and that somewhere there is €300 missing.
    - 1. Ben says that he does not know where this comes from.
    - AP Ben Ligthart: Find out why the total is €300 higher than it should be and fix the amounts in this table and the balance table (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1601 Reservation Miscellaneous)
  - iii. Jonathan asks why the amount for the 2019 Dies codices is negative.
    - 1. Imke answers that she believes this was already discussed at a previous GMM and that it is because too much was paid to them.
    - 2. Jonathan suggests if T point is going to be contacted for their invoices anyway, this should be accounted for as well to make sure we do not overpay.
    - 3. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Contact T point about the invoices that should still be paid by us, and also ask them about the €82.70 that was likely overpaid for the 2019 Dies codices.

#### 60. Page 8

- a. 1630; Reservations Liabilities
  - i. Sebastiaan notes that the reservations for the pLAN are also discussed here, even though that should have the number 1708.
    - 1. Ben agrees.
    - 2. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Separate the explanation for the pLAN reservation into a separate paragraph about 1708. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1630 Reservations Liabilities

#### 61. Page 10

- a. Expenses & Income as of 31-01-2023
  - i. Sebastiaan notes that the (Expected) Result financial year is different from the one stated in the Balance.
    - 1. Ben says that this is likely due to some of the other tables being wrong.
    - 2. He adds that he will check over this, but that the two numbers could still vary a bit due to the book keeping system being a big tool where small things can quickly go unnoticed.
    - AP Ben Ligthart: Find the cause for the (Expected) Result financial year being different from the result stated in the Balance table. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, Expenses & Income as of 31-01-2023)

- a. Reservations
  - i. Sebastiaan notes that the total here is also not the same as in the balance table.

- 1. Ben explains that this is due to "current reservation budget" concerning the total of all the reservations that has been acquired over the years, whereas the Balance table concerns what was allocated for the reservations this year.
- 2. Sebastiaan suggests clarifying that this concerns the total for all the reservations, instead of what was allocated for them this year.
- 3. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Clarify that "current reservation budget" is the total of every reservation, instead of being what was allocated for them in this book year. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, Reservations)
- ii. Ellis wants to confirm that the €100 reservation for the HYTTIOAOAC was not processed yet.
  - 1. Ben confirms this.
- iii. Jesse says that he believes the reservation for the HYTTIOAOAC should be higher, as it should be around €500.
  - 1. Sanne says that this is due to HYTTIOAOAC having two different reservations, one for hardware and one for software.

- a. 3.1 Income
  - i. 3510; Sponsoring
    - 1. Ellis notes she finds it funny that the % is 111.69%.
    - 2. She asks why the prediction is €12,000 and not higher, as this amount has already almost been reached.
      - a. Tristan explains that the costs for the lunch dates still need to be subtracted from this, meaning the total should be more around €10,000.
      - b. He adds that because of this it would make sense that the total does not greatly surpass €12,000.
      - c. Ellis wonders about this as €10,000 in about half a year would logically mean that the end result will be way higher.
      - d. Ben explains that most companies spend their promotion budget in the first half of the year, meaning that the amount of sponsoring received greatly decreases in the second half of the year.

- a. 3.2.1 Expenses General
  - i. 3000; Fee OS
    - 1. Ellis is curious about what happens with the fee that is paid to OS.
      - a. Hannah says that this is used for the budget plan of OS.
      - b. Ellis says she cannot recall what happened to it in her board year.
      - c. Hannah explains that since OS just uses it for their general budget, it is difficult to say exactly what it is used for.
      - d. Ellis understands.
  - ii. 3010; Food / drinks
    - Sanne wonders how the board is planning on still spending about €850 on food & drinks when a lot less was spent in the first half of the year.
      - a. Ben explains that this is likely a very broad estimate, and that currently it is just nice to have the budget in case it is necessary.

- iii. 3072; Birthday Cakes
  - 1. Ellis wants to confirm that birthday pints are not included here, and are instead marked as TIPcie losses.
    - a. Ben confirms this.
    - b. Ellis wants to confirm that this is not a problem for the TIPcie, due to the committee already making a good profit.
    - c. Ben also confirms this.
    - d. Sterre adds that if this would be a problem, TIPcie could account for it separately. At this point though, there does not seem to be a need for it.
- iv. 3120; Activities by the Board
  - 1. Laura asks what this €500 will be spent on.
    - a. Ben explains that about €300 still needs to be subtracted from this as it was used to pay for the wine at the Christmas dinner.
    - b. He adds that the remaining €200 could be spent on chill nights and snacks.
- v. 3130; Good Ideas Budget
  - 1. Laura asks what the remaining €200 will be spent on.
    - a. Ben answers that this will be spent on soccer cards, as it was an initiative by a few members.
    - AP Ben Ligthart: Clarify that the remaining €200 for Good Ideas Budget will be spent on soccer cards. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 3130 Good Ideas Budget)
- vi. 3535; EEMCS Activities
  - 1. Jonathan remarks that it would have maybe been better to put the income that was received for the EEMCS activities from last year elsewhere, as right now the table does not give a good overview of how much was spent on these activities this year.
    - a. Ben agrees, and says that this could be moved to "expenses by previous boards"
    - b. Jonathan adds that this could also mean playing quitte instead of marking it as a loss/profit.
    - c. **AP Ben Ligthart:** Move the money that was received for the EEMCS activities from last year to a different source, to give a better overview of how much was spent from the EEMCS activities budget this year. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 3535 EEMCS Activities)
- 65. Page 14
  - a. 3075; Member Passes
    - i. Ellis notes that member passes are not mentioned in the Semi-Annual Report and also not here. She also asks whether there are already new member passes printed for people.
      - 1. Ben answers that this is the case.
      - 2. Ellis asks whether discounts like for Bertus Workel will be using the pass again instead of the Proto account.
      - 3. Tristan confirms that they are already using the passes for this again.
    - ii. **AP Max Liebe:** Add a small paragraph about how member passes are operational again in the Semi-Annual Report.
- 66. Page 17
  - a. 3.2.2; Expenses Committees

- i. 4120; TIPcie
  - 1. Sander notes that the numbers from the TIPcie Mini Financial Report do not match the numbers here, and asks why.
    - a. Ben explains that the budget in this table is only meant for stuff like decorations, whereas the TIPcie Mini Financial Report also concerns the TIPcie account and other stuff.
- ii. 4240; ProtoTrip
  - 1. Sanders notes that ProtoTrip went way over budget, and since it is was cancelled wonders what will happen to this amount.
    - a. Ben explains that while it was a "big oof", the hotel has been cancelled free of charge.
    - b. He adds that the bus did have some cancel costs associated with it, but this was still less than the total committee budget.
      - i. Ellis asks how high these cancel costs will be.
      - ii. Max explains that the cancel costs were around €400,
        - meaning that the committee will have about €100 left.
- iii. 4260; First Year Committee
  - 1. Jonathan asks what will happen with the FYC budget, and suggests making events cheaper or free to make sure the budget is spent.
    - Ben explains that a lot was already spent on the last activity from the FYC, adding that it will likely mostly end up being spent.
- iv. 4360; Protography
  - 1. Jonathan notes that there is no budget for the Protography anymore, and wonders what will happen to the photography workshop.
    - a. Philippe says that this will be given by the board.
    - b. Ben explains that Alfred has the same cameras as Proto, which can be borrowed.
    - c. He adds that the only costs that will be made for this is a thank you gift for the person or people giving the workshop.
    - d. Jonathan asks whether there is enough expertise within the association to give a quality workshop.
      - i. Sterre says she has been looking into this, adding that she is looking for external people to give the workshop.
      - ii. Jonathan notes that making this a paid job is not a crazy idea.
      - iii. Ellis asks if this workshop will be only for Protography members or a general workshop.
        - A. Sterre answers that this will be a general activity.
- v. 4470; InteracCie
  - 1. [Editorial note: this question was asked for both the FYC and InteracCie at once. The question has been repeated here for clarity.]
  - Jonathan asks what will happen with the InteracCie budget, and suggests making events cheaper or free to make sure the budget is spent.
    - a. Ben explains that InteracCie will be discussed in the Proposal Budget Spending.
- vi. 4280; Culture Committee

- 1. Famke notes that the Culture Committee has already gone over their budget, while also making every event free.
  - a. Ben explains that the bingo night has not been included in the description, which was a paid event for which the entry fees still need to be collected.
  - b. AP Ben Ligthart: Explain that the activity fees from the bingo night have not been collected yet, causing the Culture Committee to go over budget (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 4280 Culture Committee)

#### 67. Page 21

- a. General remarks
  - i. Jonathan is happy to see Broto represented in a financial document.
- 68. Page 22
  - a. 3.2.4 Miscellaneous
    - i. 3600; Results OmNomCom
      - 1. Sander wonders how the result of the OmNomCom can be so high if a lot of stuff is supposedly stolen.
        - a. Ben explains that this is due to the second OmNomCom stock count not having happened yet.
        - b. Sander asks whether or not this could be accounted for.
        - c. Ben answers that he does not see a good reason to account for this right now, as there is neither a big loss or big profit made on the OmNomCom.
    - ii. 9510; Expenses previous years
      - 1. Jonathan asks about the invoices that still need to be paid, and wonders what these are.
      - 2. Ben answers that these are invoices from previous years that weren't sent out yet.
        - a. Jonathan blames Sebastiaan for this.
- 69. General remarks (after discussing the document)
  - a. Philippe notes that there were still quite some mistakes that needed fixing and asks what the timeline for these fixes will be.
    - i. Ben answers that these fixes will be displayed at the next GMM.
    - ii. Philippe suggests putting a deadline on these fixes as it could take a while otherwise.
  - b. Rachel notes that she has learned a new lesson, namely that the "slaghout" can also be brassed. Therefore she will use her notebook.
- 70. The Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023 is accepted with the previously mentioned changes at 23:57 by the GMM.

## Proposal Budget Spending 2022-2023

- 71. General remarks
  - a. Peter notes that if there is a surplus, that's nice and it should be spent without hesitation.
  - b. Jonathan asks whether all committees were asked for input on spending the surplus.
    - i. Rachel says this did happen.
- 72. Page 1
  - a. 2. Proposal

- i. Laura notes she likes the idea of buying new chairs, but thinks that €600 is on the low side for four chairs of good quality and with good adjustability.
  - 1. Ben answers he researched this and explains that the €600 is just an indication, adding that there were quite some good chairs to be found for around €150, which will be field-tested in the IKEA.
  - 2. Laura notes that good chairs are usually more around the €300 mark, suggesting that attention should be paid to arm and back rests.
  - 3. Jonathan remarks that the chairs should be Protopolis proof.
  - 4. Jesse asks whether the chairs in the EEMCS storage were already looked at.
    - a. Ellis answers that these chairs are junk and all broken.
  - 5. Phililppe asks whether Michel has been asked about buying cheap chairs through the university.
  - 6. He also suggests asking Elsbeth from the secretarial about this.
    - a. Ben answers that there was already a plan to go to consult Michel about the chairs in boardroom, as those are not Proto's anyway.
    - b. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into consulting Michel for buying new chairs for in Protopolis.
  - 7. Laura suggests that if the chairs are more expensive than expected, only two should be bought instead of four. Then later it could be looked into to buy more.
    - a. Jonathan remarks that there is still about €3000 that has not been allocated in this proposal yet, adding that this could be used to pay for the chairs as well.
    - b. Ben adds that since there is no need for spending any budget on the computer monitors anymore due to the free screens from Interaction Lab, this budget could also be used for the chairs.
  - 8. Hannah says that within the Bastille a lot of furniture is swapped around all the time, and suggests also asking OS or SU whether these probably relatively OK chairs could be bought for cheap.
    - a. Jonathan adds that student teams also usually have quite a lot of furniture left over.
- ii. Ellis notes she does not agree with spending €750 on an I-Tech event where CreaTers are not allowed to join. She believes this is weird as there is not much focus in the Policy Plan on master students, and master students usually do not attend the Proto events because they find CreaTe students too young.
- iii. She adds that most I-Tech students do not even attend the I-Tech events, and that the InteracCie has not come up with a great idea for an activity yet.
- iv. She suggests reserving around €300 first, and then reconsidering a higher amount when plans for the activity have been made.
  - 1. Jesse says he agrees, adding that if the event is only for I-Tech people it could maybe also be organised in collaboration with the study.
  - 2. He adds that these events actually do attract I-Tech students, in contrast to a lot of InteracCie events.
  - 3. Ellis says she just finds €750 too much.

- 4. Ben notes that the InteracCie currently only has a budget of €100, which is the reason so much money went to the event in the proposal as they currently cannot organise much more than a drink or a pool night.
- 5. He adds that even setting up a few food trucks could already be nice and provide the committee with something bigger to organise than usual.
- 6. He also adds that this activity could attract more I-Tech students to the Proto community.
- 7. Jesse wonders if just spending a lot of money on the activity would serve this purpose.
- 8. Ellis remarks that this is kind of like rewarding a group that is not present a lot with a free big activity, adding that this could still mean they do not show up for further activities.
- 9. Jonathan remarks that people who do not study I-Tech usually profit more from being a member at Proto than I-Tech students, so giving them something back is only fair.
- 10. He adds that Proto has enough money and that this event does not hurt the financial wellbeing of Proto a single bit.
  - a. Ben agrees and argues that just because it is the first time such an event is organised, it does not mean less budget should be allocated.
- v. Sebastiaan wants to clarify that this proposal is unordered, and that everything that is listed in the proposal will happen.
  - 1. Ben confirms this.
  - 2. Ellis asks whether all the money is already there or whether it is a predication.
    - a. Ben explains that all the money is already there, with the expectation that the EEMCS subsidies will be paid out soon.
  - 3. She adds that she still believes €750 to be too much.
    - a. Ben answers that it is just a reservation, and that it does not necessarily mean everything will eventually be spent.
    - b. Ellis suggests sending out a poll to see how many people would be willing to attend the activity.
    - c. Hannah adds that it is currently assumed that CreaTe students are not allowed to come to the activity, and suggests that if attendance is not high these should be allowed to come as well.
- b. Ilse suggests buying a new tent, which would especially help out the ACE since the current tent is junk. She adds that it also removes the need for arranging tents at a different association.
  - i. Ben answers that this is a good idea and that there is probably a possibility for is since there is still money left over.
  - ii. **AP Board 12.0:** Look into buying a new tent with the leftover surplus.
- c. Jonathan wonders whether the amount allocated for smartification is a bit too high, seeing as it would mostly only be spent on two smart plugs.
  - i. Max explains that it would likely be more than two smart plugs, adding that there are already four screens that would need to be controlled with smart plugs, and also optional extras like the old board overview board and the flashing lights.

- d. Sanne believes that the amount for the OmNomCom NUC is way too high, seeing as the HYTTIOAOAC already has a reservation for hardware.
- e. She also adds that she heard from Jesse that a PC of €600 is overkill, and that a €80 Raspberry Pi would also be fine.
  - i. Jesse agrees.
  - ii. Ben explains that at the time the proposal was made this was not clear yet, which is why €600 was chosen as the amount.
  - iii. Jesse remarks that since the HYTTIOAOAC already has a reservation of €500, this can be used to buy a Raspberry Pi, meaning that there is no need to allocate surplus to it.
    - 1. Max notes that some of this reservation will likely be needed to cover the costs of the new hosting company.
    - 2. Jesse agrees, and adds that if money was to be spent on it it's probably better to buy a new touch screen instead.
    - 3. Max says he will discuss this during a HYTTIOAOAC meeting.
- f. Laura asks about why there is a reservation for computer screens, if new screens have just been picked up from Interaction Lab.
  - i. Ben says that this was already discussed.
- 73. Page 3
  - a. Protopolis: Nintendo Switch
    - i. Famke what the idea is for the Nintendo Switch, as Protopolis should also be a place to have a fun conversation, not only a place for people to play video games.
      - 1. Ben explains that this was also discussed within the board, and that the conclusion was to mostly use it for events like the GameBreak, pLAN events or something like a TIPcie Mario Krat event.
      - 2. He adds that a full policy has not been worked out yet, but that the ambiance in Proto is being kept in mind.
      - 3. Famke remarks that Astatine has a policy where the Switch cannot be used during the break.

#### 74. Page 4

- a. Thank you snack OmNomCom & ProtOpeners
  - i. Ellis notes that she thinks the thank you snacks for OmNomCom & ProtOpeners members is a cute idea, but wonders whether the one-per-week limit should also be put in place for non-board members.
    - 1. Ben answers that this was not considered yet, and that the limit was put in place because as a board member there are already other benefits like the board restitution, and board members are also expected to have multiple ProtOpener slots per week.
    - 2. He adds that more input on this scenario, being the limit for non-board members, would be appreciated.
    - 3. Ellis suggests that an alternative could be to give people a free beer at the Monday drink.
      - a. Ben says he will consider it.
    - 4. Jonathan suggests not putting too many restrictions in place, as the amount was already calculated for how many slots there are, and it would only be extra work putting these restrictions in place and checking them.
      - a. Ben remarks that this amount was calculated based on a board with six people, so it could be different for boards with

less people. He adds that this is up to the next boards to look into.

- 5. Ellis notes that the length of the ProtOpener shift could be accounted for as well.
- ii. Philippe notes that board members also receive money in the form of board restitution, and wonders whether this is maybe a bit skewed.
  - 1. Ben answers that in the first draft of this document board members were not eligible for a free snack, but after discussing it with the Board of Advisors it was changed as it did not concern a large amount of money.
  - 2. Philippe is fine with it, but suggests considering it as these things should fall under the function of being a board member, which could lead to difficult principal issues.
  - 3. Ben compares it to being an ERO for an activity, where board members are also eligible for the €7,50 helper restitution.
  - 4. Ellis feels like being an ERO is quite a bit different than sitting in the association room, as being an ERO is sometimes a necessity, while being a ProtOpener is not.
  - 5. Ben agrees, but feels like there is also a difference between receiving a €7,50 restitution and receiving a €0,50 cookie.
  - 6. Sterre agrees with Ellis, but says that some things like drafting are a bit questionable in regards to belonging to your function as a board or not.
  - 7. Sebastiaan believes that one cookie per board member per week does not make that big of a difference.
    - a. Ellis agrees, but just feels like it is not a necessity.
- 75. General remarks (after discussing the document)
  - a. Jonathan asks what the conclusion is for the buying new chairs.
    - i. Ben answers that he will research this a bit more, but that the money allocated for the computer screens will now likely be used to buy four better chairs.
    - ii. Jesse suggests not going to the IKEA for these chairs, and instead looking at either buying it through the university, or going to a proper office store and buying quality chairs.
  - b. Ellis suggests gathering info on how many people would be attending the InteracCie event with a poll first before making any plans for this event.
    - i. Ben agrees.
    - ii. Rachel says that this can be considered.
    - iii. **AP Rachel den Otter:** Look into how many people would be willing to attend the I-Tech event before spending the €750 on it.
- 76. The Proposal Budget Spending 2022-2023 is accepted at 00:25 by the GMM.

### Charging of Sanne Bouman as member of the Audit Committee

- 77. Voting: charge Sanne Bouman as member of the Audit Committee of S.A. Proto.
- 78. Sanne Bouman is charged as a member of the Audit Committee by the GMM at 00:26.

# Any other business

- 79. Ellis notes that in the financial report it said that the leftover money from the Kick-In was used for camp after being discussed with the faculty, adding that this is incorrect as the plan was already made to use any leftover money from the Kick-In for camp regardless.
- 80.
- 81. Jonathan explains that he wrote a song for Ysbrand on the melody of Frans Duits by Frans Duijts and Donnie together with Jeroen.
- 82. He adds that while the song is not finished yet, the chorus is already finished. He warns the audience for his and Jeroen's singing skills.
- 83. A recording is played where Jonathan and Jeroen can be heard singing the song.
- 84. [Editorial note: for the sake of completeness, I have added the lyrics below. While they are in Dutch, they do not add any significance to what was discussed at this GMM and therefore I have left them untranslated.]
  - Hij eet een beetje ijs, Brand, ijs Hij drinkt een beetje Brand Hij eet een beetje ijs en Brand Ja ijs, Brand, ijs Hij drinkt een beetje Brand Hij eet een beetje ijs en Brand
- 85. Jonathan promises to deliver the full song during the change GMM.
  - a. Rachel thanks Jonathan for his performance.
- 86. Hannah notes that in the previous GMMs, Frank Lammers did a "thing", which would range from tricks with cups to magic tricks etc.
- 87. She adds that this privilege was then handed to Maxim de Leeuw, but since Maxim has not been present a lot at recent GMMs, she proposes to hand over this privilege to Jonathan.
  - a. Jonathan says he is completely fine with this.

## Survey

i.

- 88. Jesse asks Rachel whether she could open her WhatsApp, as he made something beautiful for Max and Ysbrand.
  - a. Rachel opens her WhatsApp, and is presented with the following image:



- b. Imke notes that the z in "capitalize" should be an s, due to the guidelines in the static policy plan.
- c. Jesse responds with "your mom!".
- 89. Sanne says that she and Jesse made some additional minutes as there is always a chance there is some trouble with the recording. She asks whether these could be archived.

- a. [Editorial note: while these minutes will not be added to this document, they will be archived in a separate document]
- 90. Philippe says that everyone from the board has nice socks and asks whether this was coordinated.
  - a. Rachel sarcastically says that this was indeed very coordinated.
- 91. Philippe compliments Rachel for guiding the GMM in a fun way, which made it less dusty.
  - a. Rachel thanks Phillipe and says that this is nice to hear.
  - b. Imke says: "no Rachel, you are nice to hear!".
  - c. Rachel notes that it can still be improved by not having everything being brassed away.
- 92. Marije asks about page 42 of the semi-annual report whether the board thought about why a lot of people filled in they did not feel welcome in Protopolis.
  - a. Rachel says that this was not explicitly discussed after the survey but adds that this is always being investigated.
  - b. She adds that the new open layout of Protopolis was done to help facilitate conversations among people.
  - c. She also names the do-group tours in Protopolis during the Kick-In as an example to get people into Protopolis.
  - d. Jonathan notes that the youth is reached with TikTok.
- 93. Jonathan asks whether the plate with snacks is already empty and whether he can take another one.
  - a. Ben says that this is fine.
  - b. Tristan does not agree, however.
  - c. Jonathan ends up getting a snack anyway.
- 94. Sander says that there is not a lot of fun stuff that happened on the 9<sup>th</sup> of March, however, he does have one fun fact.
- 95. He asks if anyone knows how Tokyo came to be the technologically advanced city it is today.
  - a. Ellis suggests it likely has to do with something that happened on 9 March.
  - b. Sanders responds that on 9 March 1945 the deadliest bombardment of the Second World War happened.
  - c. The crowd is shocked and laughing at the same time.
  - d. Sander notes that the bombardment was deadlier than the two atomic bombings combined.
  - e. He adds that on the night of 9 March 1997 Biggie Smalls was shot dead.
- 96. Joris asks whether the afterparty will be.
  - a. Rachel says that it will be where Joris's heart is placed. [Implied: Protopolis]

# Closing

97. The 48th General Member Meeting of S.A. Proto is closed at 00:40 on 09-03-2023.

## **Presence list**

98. The following people were present at the General Member Meeting

Who?Time present:Time absent:		• •	•			
	Who?			Time present:	Time absent:	

Sebastiaan van Loon	15:30	00:40
Ilse de Haan	19:26	21:06
Jelle Gerritsen	19:27	00:40
Sanne Bouman	19:27	00:40
Ellis Dijkstra	19:27	00:40
Jelle Brouwer	19:27	00:42
Reinier Algra	19:28	00:00
Jeroen Ritmeester	19:28	23:16
Jesse Visser	19:28	00:40
Joris Agtereek	19:29	00:40
Emma Burema	19:29	22:03
Ashley Braaksma	19:29	00:40
Madelon Gorter	19:29	00:40
Luce Zoontjens	19:29	00:40
Bart Oude Voshaar	19:29	00:40
Rachel den Otter	19:30	00:40
Max Liebe	19:30	00:40
Ben Ligthart	19:30	00:40
Sterre Kuijper	19:30	00:40
Ysbrand Burgstede	19:30	00:40
Tristan van Marle	19:30	00:40
Sander Koomen	19:31	00:40
Imke Verschuren	19:31	00:40
Laura Schep	19:32	00:40
Famke van den Boom	19:32	00:40
Philippe Tuinman	19:33	00:40
Hannah Ottenschot	19:33	00:40
Jonathan Matarazzi	19:33	00:40
Maud van der Hall	19:39	00:40
Mats van Braam	19:39	00:40
Sarah Jansen	20:02	00:40
Marije Rekker	20:54	00:40

## Recap current action points

		•
AP	Who?	What?
48.01	Max Liebe	Correct Maartje's name and flip the authorisation names (Minutes GMM 46, Quorum)
48.02	Max Liebe	Add a paragraph in the Semi-Annual Report about the current state of member passes.
48.03	Imke Verschure n	Check whether action point 46.57: "Look into the withdrawals made by Unit4 regarding the bookkeeping software and determine the possibilities regarding a memorial booking for this." has been done.
48.04	Rachel den Otter	Check with Martijn van Ooijen whether his action points from GMM 47 have been done.

48.05	Sebastiaa n van Loon	Improve the explanation of the liquidity (TIPcie Mini Financial Report 2022-2023, 1.7 Liquidity)
48.06	Max Liebe	Remove the asterisk for social media, and explain the change of responsibility below the table (Semi-Annual report 2022-2023, 1.3 Other responsibilities)
48.07	Board 12.0	Evaluate the new cleaning system and conclude how well it works.
48.08	Board 12.0	Evaluate the new alcohol policy.
48.09	Max Liebe	Remove the statement about parties having a high attendance rate (Semi-Annual Report, 2.2.2 Activity Distribution)
48.10	Ben Ligthart	Look into creating a schedule for the Instagram posts, and try to promote activities more in advance through Instagram.
48.11	Max Liebe	Add a few examples of other creative promotion that was done throughout the year (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.2.6 December Month)
48.12	Max Liebe	Add a paragraph about general event promotion (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2 Activity Policy)
48.13	Max Liebe	Clarify that the Open Board Meeting was cancelled due to a low physical attendance, as opposed to being cancelled due to the online participant numbers. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.2 Board Accessibility & Member Input)
48.14	Max Liebe	Explain what the plan is for hosting future Open Board Meetings (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.2 Board Accessibility & Member Input)
48.15	Max Liebe	Explain that the strategy for dividing people among committees resulted in a high number of active members. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.3 Active Members)
48.16	Max Liebe	Add a paragraph about the graduation letter (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.3.6 Alumni)
48.17	Max Liebe	Add a paragraph about the current state of Proto in regard to the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.4 Educational Policy)
48.18	Max Liebe	Add a paragraph about the educational material. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.4 Educational Policy)
48.19	Ysbrand Burgstede	Brainstorm with the EducaCie about what to do with the educational mail.
48.20	Ysbrand Burgstede	Find out whether an educational introductory email was sent at the start of the year, and consider whether this was or would have been valuable.
48.21	Ysbrand Burgstede	Put a notice about the minor/master market in the introductory documents, adding that this takes a while to organise but ideally is held as one event.
48.22	Max Liebe	Remove the "very likely". (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.6.1 Usage of Surplus Sponsorship)
48.23	Max Liebe	Correct the GMA numbers. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.6.2 Partial activity restitution for board members)
48.24	Candidate Board 13.0	Look into adding the board restitution into the Static Policy Plan.

48.25	Max Liebe	Explain that societies also receive a Google Workspace folder.
48.26	Board 12.0	(Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.7.1 Google Workspace) Switch up the order of boards on the "old boards" page on the website to remove the need for scrolling for a long time to get to the most recent boards.
48.27	Max Liebe	Move the text about society promotion to Other Policies. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 2.8 Other Policies/Miscellaneous)
48.28	Max Liebe	Clarify how the ordered list of committees can help to prevent people from being denied into a committee. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.1 The forming of committees)
48.29	Max Liebe	Clarify that the criteria "how well someone fits into a committee" is only used as a last resort (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.1 The forming of committees)
48.30	Sterre Kuijper	Incorporate the ordered list system for dividing members across committees in the introductory documents for the Officer of Internal Affairs.
48.31	Max Liebe & Ysbrand Burgstede	Decide what will happen if there is a great increase in people willing to sign up for camp due to the extra students from the VU.
48.32	Max Liebe	Clarify that the Camp Committee attended lectures to promote the camp. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.5 Camp Committee)
48.33	Ysbrand Burgstede	Look into whether it is nice to by default have someone in the Camp Committee who does not help with the preparations, but is there during camp to help out.
48.34	Max Liebe	Look into whether it is nice to by default have someone in the Kick-In committee who does not help with the preparations, but is there during the Kick-In to help out.
48.35	Max Liebe	Clarify that the decision to have the Culture Committee focus more on internationalisation was made by the board and by the committee. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.6 Culture Committee)
48.36	Max Liebe & Ben Ligthart	Explain why Proto chose to become financially responsible for EEMCS trip. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.11 EEMCS trip)
48.37	Max Liebe	Correct the amount of recruited members for the EmergenCie to eight. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.12 EmergenCie)
48.38	Ben Ligthart	Make sure to have a plan for getting capable enough EROs to help out during canti next year, and write this down in the annual report.
48.39	Max Liebe	Clarify that finding a new board for Foundation ICE will become Proto's responsibility. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.16 Foundation ICE)
48.40	Sterre Kuijper	Create a document/logistics scheme for the introductory period of the Guild Of Drafters for next iteration.
48.41	Max Liebe	Add something about drinks taking place until 21:00 (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.19 Guild Of Drafters)
48.42	Sterre Kuijper	Investigate improving the system for Protography for both requests and for getting people to take up these requests.
48.43	Max Liebe	Change SkiCie to IceCie (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.30 SkiCie)

48.44	Max Liebe	Clarify that there were only five people who were already a member at Proto, and that the rest of the people who joined the ski trip came from Drienerlo. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 3.30 SkiCie)
48.45	Max Liebe	Add that PlantSi is still planning on organising an activity this year. (Semi-Annual Report 2022-2023, 4.2 PlantSi)
48.46	Board 12.0	Look into ways of getting first and second years to fill in the evaluation form.
48.47	Board 12.0	Look into changing the opening times for Monday to a later time, and also inform Ellis about the final decision.
48.48	Rachel den Otter & Sterre Kuijper	Look into making the annual survey have a variable length to lower the bar and time required for filling it in.
48.49	Board 12.0	Evaluate the current amount of drinks, and think about whether there should maybe be organised less themed drinks as they can draw away attention from other activities and make other themed drinks feel less special.
48.50	Ben Ligthart	Correct the amount for the emballage. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1502 Emballage)
48.51	Ben Ligthart	Find out what caused the high amount for the Take Away Dinners and correct this in the book keeping. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1550 Take Away Dinners)
48.52	Ben Ligthart	Resolve the issue in the book keeping regarding the Reservation Direct Withdrawal (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1602 Reservation Direct Withdrawal)
48.53	Ben Ligthart	Remove the reservation for the Apple Developer license (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1810 Reservation Licenses)
48.54	Ben Ligthart	Fix the comma at 2210 Expenses – to be paid and make sure the Total liabilities and Result current financial year are correct. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 2210 Expenses – to be paid)
48.55	Ben Ligthart	Clarify that the deposit went into the regular bank account, and not into the savings account. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1100 General Register)
48.56	Ben Ligthart	Fix the comma for the YER Personal Branding Lunch amount to make sure the total adds up correctly. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1210 To be received – debtors)
48.57	Ben Ligthart	Correct the large gap between the amount in the Balance table and the total for 1210 Income – to be received. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1210 Income – to be received)
48.58	Ben Ligthart	Add a small explanation about how half of the NPO funds will be passed onto board 13.0 (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023)
48.59	Ben Ligthart	Find out why the total is €300 higher than it should be and fix the amounts in this table and the balance table (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1601 Reservation Miscellaneous)

48.60	Ben Ligthart	Contact T point about the invoices that should still be paid by us, and also ask them about the €82.70 that was likely overpaid for the 2019 Dies codices.
48.61	Ben Ligthart	Separate the explanation for the pLAN reservation into a separate paragraph about 1708. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 1630 Reservations Liabilities
48.62	Ben Ligthart	Find the cause for the (Expected) Result financial year being different from the result stated in the Balance table. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, Expenses & Income as of 31-01-2023)
48.63	Ben Ligthart	Clarify that "current reservation budget" is the total of every reservation, instead of being what was allocated for them in this book year. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, Reservations)
48.64	Ben Ligthart	Move the money that was received for the EEMCS activities from last year to a different source, to give a better overview of how much was spent from the EEMCS activities budget this year. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 3535 EEMCS Activities)
48.65	Ben Ligthart	Clarify that the remaining €200 for Good Ideas Budget will be spent on soccer cards. (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 3130 Good Ideas Budget)
48.66	Max Liebe	Add a small paragraph about how member passes are operational again in the Semi-Annual Report.
48.67	Ben Ligthart	Explain that the activity fees from the bingo night have not been collected yet, causing the Culture Committee to go over budget (Semi-Annual Financial Report 2022-2023, 4280 Culture Committee)
48.68	Board 12.0	Look into consulting Michel for buying new chairs for in Protopolis.
48.69	Board 12.0	Look into buying a new tent with the leftover surplus.
48.70	Rachel den Otter	Look into how many people would be willing to attend the I-Tech event before spending the €750 on it.