

Dear General Member Meeting,

With this letter, we would like to express some concerns regarding the handling of the proposal on partial activity restitution for board members as presented, discussed, and voted on during GMM 45 on June 28th, 2022.

During GMM 45, the voting procedure on this policy was rushed because of the fact that this meeting was planned in a lunch break and ended up taking longer than expected. This resulted in the board stopping the discussion of the document and continuing to the voting procedure. Additionally, what exactly was up for voting also became unclear, as the document was voted through "with aforementioned changes" but since the discussion was cut short, it is unclear what exactly those changes were going to be and whether or not there would be another moment where members could give input on the exact implementation.

We are of the opinion that this vote should not have taken place, but that instead another GMM should have been planned where the document could be further discussed and after there were no more new discussion points or questions, the document could be voted on. Since the document is not time-sensitive and is written and presented by members from both the current board and the candidate board, we feel this could have been planned in the new academic year without any issues.

We would like to emphasize that none of us disagree with the idea of board restitution itself, but that discussing the proper implementation of this piece of policy is important. We would therefore like to propose opening the document back up for discussion either during this General Member meeting, or if there is no time for it, discuss it at another GMM.

To start the discussion, some of the points that we feel were left unanswered are:

- We feel the current proposal is unnecessarily complex, is it possible to look into a simpler implementation where, based on the presented calculations, each board member would simply receive their share of the total amount (€110 in the case of six board members) without further need for calculations?
- What are the exact conditions for the board to be restituted?
- Why is the board restitution paid for specifically from the money raised by the Officer of External Affairs?
- Why was €660 chosen as the maximum amount to be restituted to a board?

Kind regards,

Sander Koomen, Hannah Ottenschot, Jeroen Ritmeester, Renske Mulder