

General Member Meeting

Written by: Daniela van Meggelen



25/09/2018, 12:45

@Hal B 2B

Present

Jonathan Juursema	12:40
Harald Eversmann	12:40
Thijs Dortmann	12:40
Kevin Vogelzang	12:40
Peter van der Burgt	12:45
Emiel Steegh	12:45 (through Skype)
Philippe Tuinman	12:45
Jeroen Ritmeester	12:45
Daniela van Meggelen	12:45
Frank Lammers	12:45
Abel Gerritse	12:45
Tijmen Smit	12:46
Stijn Slebos	12:46
Sarah Hoekstra	12:46 (through Skype)

1. Opening

The general member meeting is opened at 12:45.

5

2. Announcements

Maxim is absent because he has to work. Emiel is sick and therefore also not there physically. However, Emiel is present via Skype.

10

3. Quorum

There are 15 people who are eligible to vote, three of which are authorized.

- Xadya and Sarah have authorized Jonathan.
- Dennis has authorized Harald.

A clarification on the voting system is given.

15

- If you vote yes, you agree with the topic that is voted on.
- If you vote no, you disagree with the topic that is voted on.
- If you vote blank, you disagree with the way of voting, you want to increase the threshold, or you want to keep the current situation.
- If you abstain from voting, you do not have an opinion on the topic.

20

4. Fixing the agenda

There are no additional points that should be added to the agenda.

5. Documents Received

25

a. Primary Membership Fee Interaction Technology Students

Peter gives a small summary on the subject. The most important part is that ordinary members pay 10 euros per year as membership fee, while extraordinary members pay 5 euros per year. Ordinary members are members that have studied or are studying Creative Technology. However, since this year, Proto has a master study as well. They are not ordinary members yet, but this year the statutes will hopefully be

30

changed so they are also ordinary members in the next college year. This document is there to enable the board to treat Interaction Technology students as ordinary members when it comes to the membership fee. This means that they will pay 10 euros a year. They will not have voting rights in this year.

35

Jonathan asks if this is a vote for temporarily increasing the membership fee for Interaction Technology students, which is the case.

40

- Kevin wonders what will happen if this matter will not be changed in the statutes. Peter responds that these GMM results will be followed for the current year. Next year there should then be another voting.
- Kevin notes that there is nothing said about their voting rights.
 - Peter responds that it is impossible to give Interaction Technology students voting rights until the statutes have been changed. The board believes that Interaction Technology students have plenty of other ways to express their opinions, via the Master committee and the evaluation committee.

45

- Jonathan adds that the board is allowed to split members into groups when it comes to collecting the membership fee, and that these groups are undefined. This is also okay according to OS, where Interaction Technology students are noted as primary (ordinary) members at Proto. This is also the case with the EEMCS-faculty. The minimum tariff for primary members is set at 8.50 euros (with a maximum of 10 euros).

50

- Jonathan (on behalf of Xadya) says that it's weird that there is a voting on increasing the membership fee of people that cannot vote on this topic, and that they do not get all rights, duties, and privileges.
- Frank concludes that this document is actually necessary, to follow the rules set by OS. He then wonders what happens if the document is voted against..
 - Jonathan suggests setting the membership fee for Interaction Technology students at 8.50 as some sort of compromise.

55

- 60 o Daniela suggests contacting OS about this matter. Frank thinks it's a good idea to do this anyway. **(AP Board)**

Voting for accepting the document sent in, increasing the membership fee for Interaction Technology students:

- 65 - In favour: 8
 - Against: 2
 - Blank: 1
 - Refrain from voting: 4

70 *The document "Primary Membership Fee Interaction Technology Students" is accepted and therefore the membership fee for Interaction Technology students will be increased.*

6. Previous minutes and discharge Quinten Tenger

a. GMM 07/03/18

General notes:

- 75 ● Kevin mentions that in these minutes he has authorised Laura and she asked a question on behalf of him. After that, she asks another question based on the answer given, but that is not on behalf of Kevin. In the future, this matter will be taken into account, where follow-up questions will be noted down as asked by the person present at the general member meeting.
- 80 ● Thijs wonders how questions related to these minutes will be answered, as the person that has written these minutes is absent.
- o Peter responds that the board has talked about this and that questions and remarks will be forwarded to board 7.0. General questions can also be answered by the current board.
- 85 o Sarah volunteers to answer questions if possible.

Page 2: At point P12, Frank had asked if the board has thought of giving gifts to alumni. He wonders if something has happened with this action point, as the board then responded that they would think about it. Peter responds that he has given a small present to Creative Technology graduates during the bachelor ceremony, which people liked.

90

Page 8: Frank notices that there are some things mentioned in the minutes that should have been added to the half-yearly report. This concerns the DIYCie missing as a committee, and a piece dedicated to the EEMCS faculty. He isn't sure if these things have been added to the half-yearly and the yearly report. The same goes for a more elaborate version of the half-yearly survey, as Xadya mentions in l. 201-202. Furthermore, it is not certain if there have been more workshops and company visits, as mentioned in l. 208-209. Finally, Frank wants to know if there are any updates on the brainstorm for the promotion of activities (as mentioned in l. 226).

95

100

- Philippe responds that the brainstorm is being planned. The remainder of questions and/or pieces missing cannot be answered by the current board. As these minutes weren't finished when the yearly report was written, there was no possibility of seeing what was missing.
- 105 ● Jonathan suggests that the previous board sends a small update on these remarks for the next GMM. It can't be added to the yearly report, as that has

been approved already. The current board will give an update in their half-yearly report, as they have taken on some of these matters already.

- **AP Peter:** Ask the previous board if they can send a document in which they will answer the questions that were asked during this GMM.

110

Sarah does a thumbs up via Skype.

- Flip suggests discussing this document when the minutes of the change of boards GMM will be discussed.

115

Page 10: In section 3.1.4 something is said about the Tower of Shame that would be created by the Handyman committee. Frank asks why this tower is not there.

- Daniela answers that it is an open project that hasn't been taken on by anyone yet. Emiel adds that a design has been made, but it hasn't been constructed yet. This project will be continued when the new committee has been formed.

120

Page 11: Frank notices that there is a Dutch line in I.309 - 312. This should be removed. Furthermore, the remark written in these lines should be implemented in the half-yearly report. 'Better than other associations' is not very nice to other associations.

125

Page 13: In I. 357, it is said that Sarah would ask Creative Technology students what minor program they followed and how they experienced it. Frank wonders what came out of this.

130

- Sarah admits that she has asked some people, but she has forgotten what they said. She will ask around in Nijmegen what people thought of their minor.

Page 15: In section 3.7.1 it is said that members do not want more green designs, but this advice was not followed. Frank says that these kinds of things can be avoided if minutes are finished earlier.

135

Page 16: In I. 464 it is said to let the First Year Committee organise some standard activities that are now being organised by the Activity Committee Extraordinaire. Frank wonders if something will be done about this.

140

- Philippe responds that there was a brainstorm last week, and that recurring activities will be made more special this year. Furthermore, the lasergaming event was supposed to be organised by the First Year Committee. However, it wasn't organised because there was already another activity.

145

Page 19: Jonathan notices that Frank asks a question in the Survey, but the answer is not written down. Daniela says that he has answered his own question.

- b. *Voting: approval of the minutes, and discharge Quinten Tenger as board member of board 7.0 of S.A. Proto.*

150

The voting for approving of the minutes, and discharging Quinten Tenger as board member of board 7.0 will be done anonymously.

- If you are in favour, tear the word 'yes'
- If you are against, tear the word 'no'

- 155
- If you vote blank, leave the paper intact
 - If you choose to refrain from voting, keep the paper with you

The results are:

- 160
- In favour: 14
 - Against: 1
 - Blank: 0
 - Refrain from voting: 0

The minutes of 07/03/18 have been accepted with aforementioned changes. Quinten Tenger is discharged as board member of board 7.0 of S.A. Proto.

7. Any other business

165 There is nothing else to discuss.

8. Survey

Peter: Is there an After-GMM drink?

- Peter: No.

170 Abel: Is it an idea to add an AP list at the end of the minutes?

- Peter: Do you want them to be discussed during the announcements?
- Jonathan: When the minutes are being discussed is better.

Tijmen: Will there be MoADs?

- 175
- Peter: Yes, something went wrong with the MoAD that should've been there yesterday. But there will be MoADs this year!

Stijn: Should Proto members be called 'Prototypes'?

- Frank: They are 'Protons', right?
- Stijn: No those are the small icons, right?
- Frank: No, those are 'Protons'.

180 Frank: I made a poem based on an object. Do you want to hear it?

- Peter: Yes.
- Frank: The chairman said yes, so I will cite it.

*"A pen, a pen
a pen with ink
I scratch with it *Frank scratches*
This makes me zen."*

185

Frank: Well, that was it.

Harald: Is a survey the same as a 'rondvraag'?

- Jonathan: Yes, that is the same.

190

Kevin: I have a lot of questions, but no.

Frank: Chairman, what is the point of life?

- Peter: I am trying to figure this out myself.

Daniela: When does this stop?

- Jonathan: Not on its own.

195

9. Closing

The general member meeting is closed at 13:30.

Action Points

GMM no.	Responsible	Action Point	Status
29	Peter	Ask the previous board if they can send a document in which they will answer the questions that were asked during this GMM.	Open
29	Board	Go to OS to discuss the membership fee issue regarding primary and secondary members, where Interaction Technology students are primary members according to OS, but secondary members according to the Proto Statutes.	Open