

General Member Meeting 38



02/09/2020, 19:30 @Zilverling A-138 (SmartXp Lab)

Minutes by Jesse Visser

Editor's note: A digital presence list and a recap of all action points given during this GMM can be found at the end of this document.

1. Opening

- 5 1. The 38th General Member Meeting of S.A. Proto is opened at 19:31 on the 2nd of September 2020.

2. Announcements

- 10 1. COVID-19 measures during the GMM
- a. One person at the microphone
 - 15 i. One person can wait for the microphone, if the waiting space is full, stay seated
 - ii. Please use the standard measures implemented by the Dutch government; sneeze/cough in elbow, 1.5m distance, etc.
 - iii. No “brassen”
 - 1. If the candidate board leaves something unprotected, it is fine to “bras”.
But do not run after the “gebraste” items and keep 1.5-meter distance at all times.
 - iv. Limit of 5 people in the Protopolis, keep that in mind during the break.
2. Everybody present at the GMM speaks Dutch, so the GMM is continued in Dutch.
- a. *Editor's note: As you can read the minutes will still be written in English.*
- 20 3. Frank Lammers and Daan van der Veen saw some people in the hallway who found a camera hanging from the ceiling that was not meant to be there.
- a. This camera was not familiar to facility management.
 - i. The camera has been taken to the Hal B front office.
 - 1. Here the camera can be picked up by the original owner, who will most probably receive a harsh talking to or punishment.
 - a. Laura Kester suspects the camera to be owned by Putin.
- 25 4. Daniela van Meggelen announces that a new GMM Bingo card (See [Appendix A](#)) has been made and shared with the attendance of the GMM.

3. Quorum

- 30 1. At the start of the GMM, a total of 25 votes were counted.
- a. Melvin van der Ende has authorised Daan van der Veen to vote in their place.

4. Fixing the agenda

- 35 1. Agenda point 6: Documents received
- a. The financial documents of Foundation ICE have been sent in too late and will not be voted on during this GMM. They will be voted on during the next GMM.
 - b. Audit letter has been sent in and will be discussed last, before the yearly financial report 2019-2020
- 40 2. Frank Lammers remarks that it seems like the approval of the SBZ Loan Proposal influences the approval of the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020.
- a. This is not the case. It is discussed before to give some context to a small part of the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 but does not influence its approval.

5. Previous minutes

- 45 1. It turns out Frank Lammers has not read the GMM minutes.
- a. For this he is shamed by the GMM.
- 45 2. Daniela van Meggelen wants to say sorry for the number of comments she gave on the documents.
- a. This was in part because she was at a drink while she was reading the documents.
- 50 3. The GMM wants to know when they will receive an update on the progress of previous action points.
- a. This will happen during this GMM, while discussing the previous minutes.

GMM 36: Half-Yearly GMM of Board 9.0 (for Approval)

- a. *Voting: approval of Minutes of GMM 36 on 2020-09-02*
 - i. Vote passes unanimously.

GMM 37: Half-Yearly Financial GMM of Board 9.0 (for Approval)

- 55 a. Page 7
- i. Peter van der Burgt would like the following addition as explanation to line 298.
 - 1. “Because we are a bigger association now than we were when this budget was made and furthermore there are more ‘parasitic’ drinkers.”
 - 2. **AP Hannah Ottenschot (38.01)** Add the requested additional explanation by Peter van der Burgt to line 298 of the minutes of GMM 37.
- 60

b. Action Points

65

- i. Some Action Points have been cancelled due to COVID-19 this is also mentioned in the minutes as status of the Action Point.
- ii. Any unfinished Action Points given to board 9.0 will be transferred to board 10.0 once they are charged.
- iii. **33.23** Board 9.0: Check together with the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee if the layout of the Proto website can be improved.

70

- 1. Has been discussed with the committee, but no clear plans have been made to improve the layout of the website's home page.
 - a. This was a low priority issue for the committee and will be picked up by a member of the committee once they find the time for it.

75

- iv. **33.28** Board 9.0: Look into the possibility of creating a checkbox for Protographers which shows if they get food compensated at the event or not.
 - 1. Change in policy has made this Action Point redundant.

80

- v. **34.05** Sanne Bouman: Look into putting a cap on certain reservations for hardware.
 - 1. A sheet has been made summarising which items have reservations and how expensive these items are.
 - a. This sheet also shows which items no longer need reservations.
 - b. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.02)** Add the reservation sheet to the audit folder.

85

- vi. **36.07** Manouk Hillebrand: Look into an open event for Proto's Symposium.
 - 1. Due to COVID-19 the symposium has been cancelled so this action point no longer applies.

90

- vii. **36.13** Manouk Hillebrand: Look into making summaries and tests on the Dokuwiki accessible to non-members as well.
 - 1. This has been discussed within board 9.0 and was decided against.
 - a. Because as an association we want to have something to offer our members that our non-members do not have.

95

- viii. **36.14** Manouk Hillebrand: Look into the summary database from Yasmin Salce and Ruben van den Berg that Peter has access to and see if these can be added to the DokuWiki.
 - 1. Has been done.
 - a. Some things have been added, others were less useful.
- ix. **36.17** Board 9.0: Look into distinguishing between different career events in upcoming surveys, be it the yearly survey or as a suggesting for the next board.
 - 1. Has been done.

- 100 x. **36.22** Board 9.0: Look into possible problems caused by EROs not being present from 18:00 till the starting time of an activity during the evening and think of a solution.
1. This has not been discussed with the EmergenCie due to COVID-19.
 2. It is noted that until 18:00 there are still EROs present at the service desk of Hal B.
- 105 xi. **36.24** Renske Mulder: Talk to the EmergenCie about the necessity of food compensation during activities other than drinks regarding the adjustments to the Food for Helpers rule.
1. This has been done, although no one has given a reaction.
 2. There is no conclusion to this action point, but because it does not seem to be a priority to the EmergenCie this action point will not be transferred to Board 10.0.
- 110 xii. **36.27.2** Audit committee: Decide if an evaluation on the (connection between board and) Audit committee needs to be added to the yearly report
1. Sanne Bouman has asked the Audit committee, but a meeting was not deemed necessary.
- 115 xiii. **36.37** Board: Ask the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee to look into tracking the number of participants that visit drinks.
1. Has been discussed, but no satisfying method was found that was worth the time of the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee to implement.
- 120 xiv. All the current action points that came out of GMM 37 have been finished. Except for:
1. **37.04** Sanne Bouman: Arrange a sticker to be put on Proto's cash register to show whether or not the amount of cash money inside has changed between Audit Committee meetings.
 - a. This because the cash register could not be reached due to COVID-19, as the university buildings were closed at the time.
 - 130 i. This action point will be transferred to Jonathan Matarazzi.
 2. **37.14** Board 9.0: Make sure Proto's Homey is used.
 - a. This will be implemented during the coming year.
 - b. ITO has given Proto access to a specific IOT network and Proto will be a first test case for this network.
 - 135 i. Mostly because no other association would think of implementing such things.

Editor's note: The review of the minutes of GMM 37 are shortly disturbed by Corona Panic™ as people are trying to navigate to the front of the room.

140

1. **37.18** Sanne Bouman: Correct the Half-yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 and upload it to the DokuWiki.

a. The revised Half-yearly Financial Report has not been uploaded at the time of GMM 38, because there were some troubles uploading files to the DokuWiki.

145

2. **37.19** Board 9.0: Make sure to mention that helpers at activities can make use of the food for helpers budget more leniently.

a. This has not been done, because there have been no activities since the action point was set due to COVID-19.

i. This has been discussed with board 10.0 and they will mention it to the helping committees.

150

xv. Peter van den Burgt was wondering about a discussion during GMM 37 about the number of primary and secondary members on the website being off and if this problem has been fixed.

155

1. The Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again has thoroughly researched this supposed issue and found that there were no issues with the systems.

a. The only conclusion that could be made is that the association had a significant drop in primary members at the start of the academic year of 2019/2020.

160

b. It is true that there was an issue on the website with showing the member type on user's dashboards, but this was not related to the counting of primary and secondary members and has since been fixed.

165

2. The discussion about the number of primary members was started because Didi Oude Veldhuis from BOZ came to board 9.0, because she found the number of primary members deviated a lot from previous years.

170

a. There is some unclarity if we sent an Excel sheet or a number of primary members to BOZ.

i. It should not be allowed to send an Excel sheet with our member data to any third party.

- 175
1. According to Jur van Geel this should not be a problem, because BOZ has access to the same data through the student administration.
 - a. Furthermore, they should have received a list of primary members and student numbers to combat fraud in member counts.
 - b. Sanne does not recall sending an Excel sheet with member information to BOZ.
- 180
3. In conclusion board 10.0 should again check the primary member count and contact BOZ on the procedure for the primary member count and funding.
 - a. **AP Board 10.0 (38.03)** Contact BOZ on the procedure for receiving funds per primary member.
- 185
- b. *Voting: approval of Minutes of GMM 37 on 2020-09-02*
 - i. Vote passes unanimously

6. Documents received

Letter from the Audit Committee

- 190
- a. Peter van der Burgt reads the letter from the audit committee to the GMM.
 - i. The GMM enjoyed it.

7. Yearly report 2019-2020 (for Approval)

1. General Remarks

- 195
- c. Peter van der Burgt, as a committee member, would have liked to see the committee pieces earlier through WhatsApp or another channel.

This also goes for the Policy Plan 2020-2021
 - d. Line numbering is missing

Maartje Huveneers was convinced that this was in order, but apparently something went wrong.
 - e. Maxim de Leeuw noticed the document is called “Yearly report 9.0 2019-2020 - first draft” and wonders if Board 9.0 is really that good at writing that they only needed one draft.
- 200
- ### 2. Page 9
- b. Daniela van Meggelen wonders what the “stuff” is that was thrown out according to 2.1.3 Cleaning.

- 205 i. Maartje Huveneers explains that this refers to real “meuk”, mostly trash and objects that will not be missed.
- c. Page 10
- 210 i. Daniela van Meggelen wonders to whom the number of activities in quartile three and four would have been overwhelming as mentioned in 2.2.1 Activity Distribution.
1. Does this refer to board 9.0 or the members?
- a. According to Maartje Huveneers this refers both to the board and the members.
- b. Daniela van Meggelen also wonders if it would be advised to
- 215 organise that many activities during quartile three and four again.
- i. Maartje Huveneers advises against this, although board 9.0 has not personally experienced this, because most of the activities were cancelled due to COVID-19.
- ii. Daniela van Meggelen notes that the sentence structure of 2.2.2 Board Activities
- 220 suggests that all the named activities are organised every Wednesday.
1. Daniella van Meggelen would advise to mention that these activities are organised on different weekly ProtoNights.
- iii. Maxim de Leeuw remarks that “picnic” should be spelled “picknick” because Picnic would refer to the online supermarket.
- 225 1. *Editor’s note: ‘Picnic’ is the correct English spelling, ‘picknick’ is the Dutch spelling.*
2. Maartje Huveneers exclaims that any spelling mistakes should be emailed to secretary@proto.utwente.nl.
- iv. (Mr.) Philippe Tuinman notes that in 2.2.2.1 EEMCS Workshops activities with
- 230 (“EeeeWI”) EEMCS are mentioned but not evaluated.
1. Maartje Huveneers replies that this will be added to the document.
- a. **AP Board 9.0 (38.04)** Add evaluations of the EEMCS Workshops to the yearly report 2020-2021
- v. (still) Philippe Tuinman wonders if the EducaCie or the members could give
- 235 input on what kind of EEMCS workshops they would like to be organised.
1. Manouk Hillebrand explains that it is not desirable to have all our members give input on the EEMCS workshops, because it should be organised by a cross-section of all the EEMCS members. This is why members of each EEMCS board are in the EEMCS workshop
- 240 committee.

2. Manouk Hillebrand also mentions that last year there were mostly Officers of External Affairs involved in the organisation of the EEMCS workshop, because the workshops are organised in collaboration with companies.

245 3. Page 11

- b. Daniela van Meggelen wonders what the additional workload is that made the committee spotlights less of a priority as mentioned in 2.2.3.4 Spotlight on Committees
 - i. Renske Mulder explains that this is mostly because it was not a high priority for her and kind of disappeared in her Trello backlog.

- 250
1. Daniela replies that it reads like there was a sudden surge in workload, which does not appear to be the case.

- c. Daniela van Meggelen wonders if there are any details to back up the claim that the news articles on the website are well-received as mentioned in 2.2.3.4 Spotlight on Committees.

- 255
- i. Except for the positive feedback received during GMM 36 about the [“Help, a General Member Meeting!” news article](#), there are no clear statistics or feedback about how the news articles are received.

4. Page 12

- b. Frank Lammers wonders if the drop in WhatsApp promotion after the COVID-19 measures were implemented, as mentioned in 2.2.4 Event Promotion, is because of the lower number of activities or if there is another reason.

- 260
- i. Maartje Huveneers replies that this is indeed due to the lower number of activities organised during this time.

- ii. Frank Lammers advises to remove “mainly” from this sentence to make it clearer.
- 265

- c. Frank Lammers also wonders why the CreaTe staff was not in favour of using the ProtoNights for Professional Development.

- i. Manouk Hillebrand explains that this was mainly because Thea de Kluijver did not want students to come to the university in the evening for Professional Development.
- 270

1. Although her opinion might have changed now because evening lectures are becoming normal with the limited physical space at the university due to COVID-19.

2. Frank Lammers is happy to hear that it is not that the CreaTe study programme does not want to collaborate for Professional Development, but that it is merely because they are not in favour of evening activities.
- 275

- a. Frank Lammers would like this to be specified in the Yearly Report 2019-2020.
- b. **AP Board 9.0 (38.05)** Specify why CreaTe staff does not want to organise ProfDev activities during ProtoNights in 2.2.5 ProtoNights of the Yearly Report 2019-2020.

280

5. Page 16

- b. Frank Lammers does not agree that the Proto LinkedIn has been revived as stated in 2.4.3 External Publicity Policy, because there have only been two posts in the last year.
- c. Frank Lammers would also like to see a graph of the number of contracts for external promotion that have been signed in the previous years.

285

- i. Mick Tijdenman proudly exclaims that he has already made such a graph.

- 1. Frank Lammers would like to see this in a LinkedIn post.

- d. Jeroen Ritmeester finds it contradictory that 2.4.1 Acquisition Committee mentions companies being hesitant to engage in collaboration while 2.4.4 External Support mentions that many companies have collaborated with Proto.

290

- i. Mick Tijdenman explains that the hesitation mentioned in 2.4.1 Acquisition Committee specifically refers to the COVID-19 period while 2.4.4 External Support describes the year as a whole.

295

- 1. Daniela van Meggelen asks about the difference in the number of contracts that were signed before and during the COVID-19 period.

- a. Mick Tijdenman answers that only five or six of the total of twenty-two contracts were signed during the COVID-19 period.

- e. Jeroen Ritmeester also wonders why the Customer Relationship Management system did not work this year, just like previous years.

300

- i. Mick Tijdenman answers that he did do some research into other Customer Relationship Management systems but did not find a satisfying solution.

- 1. Every system had its own problems, but most were just very expensive. Many systems were also bloated with features that are not needed for our associations, while missing key features that would greatly improve productivity.

305

- 2. In the end Mick Tijdenman settled on keeping track of the existing Google Sheet, that was used in previous years. He believes that this could be a viable solution if it keeps being handed down to the next Officer of External Affairs.

310

6. Page 17

- b. Peter van der Burgt, Jeroen Ritmeester and the rest of the GMM congratulate Mick Tijdenman with the €8,475 acquired in financial sponsorship.

i. Jeroen Ritmeester would also like this trend to be kept going by board 10.0.

315 7. Page 18

b. Daniela van Meggelen wonders what the OmNomCom reservations consist of because it is oddly specific.

i. Sanne Bouman explains that this consists of reservations for all the hardware of the OmNomCom which is divided over several years, making it an odd amount.

320 8. Several people exclaim on which page their next comment will be:

b. "Page 35"

c. "Page 20"

d. "Page 24"

e. "Also, page 24"

325 f. "I am Puck"

9. Page 20

b. Peter van der Burgt asks what Board 10.0 is planning on doing regarding point 2.6.3 Board Experience during COVID-19.

i. The GMM is very confused

330 ii. Jesse Visser asks if Peter van der Burgt has read the COVID-19 Policy Plan 2020-2021.

iii. Peter van der Burgt explains that he refers to the personal goals of Board 10.0.

1. He wonders if Board 10.0 will follow any courses or have other activities because of possible free time due to COVID-19.

335 a. Jesse Visser replies that none of the members of Board 10.0 plan on following any courses and that they do not believe they will have much extra free time.

340 i. Board 10.0 wants to put in the effort to keep the association active and they believe there is still a lot possible keeping in mind the COVID-19 measures.

BINGO

10. Page 24

b. Daniela van Meggelen thinks it is great that board 9.0 has organised activities regarding mental health.

345 c. Laura Kester ("Haar naam is Laura") wonders why during COVID-19 the plans regarding mental health could not be realised as mentioned 2.9.3 Mental Health while during this period mental health attention is extra important.

350 i. Manouk Hillebrand explains that during this time all meetings with Thea de Kluijver were cancelled and most attention went to adjusting education to the new COVID-19 measures.

1. Maartje Huveneers adds that before the COVID-19 period SACC was very interested in helping organise activities regarding mental health, but that during that period they were too busy helping students themselves.

d. Maxim de Leeuw wonders when last year's pre-ordered sweaters will arrive.

355 i. Sanne answers that they will come during the beginning of the coming academic year.

11. Page 25

b. Daniela van Meggelen likes the updates to the website.

12. Page 29

360 b. Daniela van Meggelen asks why the first Werewolves game night organised by the ACE did not succeed but another similar event by the board did.

i. Manouk answers that this was mostly an issue with timing, but also the change in format.

365 1. The first activity was a one-off event played during one evening. While the event organised later was in a real time format, spanning over two weeks.

2. Daniela van Meggelen would like to see a small explanation on why the second Werewolves game activity did succeed

370 a. **AP Board 9.0 (38.06)** Add an explanation about the success of the real time Werewolves activity to 2.2.2 Board Activities.

c. Peter van der Burgt wonders why the Board of Advisors has a paragraph in the committee chapter while the Audit committee does not. It was an action point of a previous GMM to decide if these committees should be included in this chapter.

375 i. Maartje Huveneers explains that board 9.0 asked the two committees if they would like to be included and the Audit did not see this to be necessary, while the Board of Advisors did not mind.

1. Peter van der Burgt asks if it should be included, because the Board of Advisors is a committee of the GMM.

380 a. Frank Lammers, as a member of the Board of Advisors, explains that it is best to add it in the yearly report because it is a part of the functioning of the association.

i. Peter van der Burgt asks if this should also be the case for the next Board of Advisors and Audit Committee.

385

1. Frank Lammers advises to keep them in the yearly report.

2. Manouk Hillebrand would also recommend keeping it in the yearly report.

390

3. **AP Board 10.0 (38.07)** Discuss with the Audit Committee and Board of Advisors if they would like to be evaluated in the yearly report.

b. Daniela van Meggelen wonders if it would be better to add the Board of Advisors and the Audit Committee to the chapter Other Organisation, because it is not a committee of the association, but the GMM.

395

i. Most members of the GMM think that would be very confusing.

13. Page 34

400

b. Daniela van Meggelen thinks the Weizen draft course was a very good idea and recommends Board 10.0 to organise it again. Even though it was not very well visited last year.

14. Page 35

405

b. Frank Lammers thinks the Integration Week Committee is a very good idea, but that it is weird that he and some other members did not hear of it before reading the GMM documents.

410

i. Sebastiaan van Loon explains that it is not meant for older years students. It is especially focused on integrating all new first years members with each other and the association. The activities will be promoted to these members first. If any spots on activities are still left after that the events will also be promoted to older years.

415

1. Frank Lammers still wonders why the existence of the committee is unknown by some members.

a. Manouk Hillebrand explains that the members of the committee were personally requested to join and that the committees helping organise events during the committee week were also involved.

b. If you had not heard of the committee it is probably because you are not an active member of the association or do not belong to a committee that was requested to help organise activities during the integration week

- 420 c. Maartje Huveneers also adds that it is not a very interesting committee to promote to the rest of the association because they already have enough members and are just organising activities for new first year students.
- 425 d. Manouk Hillebrand also explains that the committee is a last resort to replace the camp that cannot continue at the start of the year.
- e. Renkse Mulders adds that these members might also not have heard about the committee because of the lack of casual conversation and get-togethers after the closing of all campus buildings due to COVID-19.
- 430 2. Frank Lammers would have liked to see things like this in the weekly newsletter or communicated to the members in some way.
- a. Manouk Hillebrand advises board 10.0 to communicate anything that can be of interest to the members through the weekly newsletter or social media.
- 435 3. Sebastiaan van Loon would like to add that if there had been promotion to all members that the number of older years that would have liked to be involved would have been a lot more than desired by the committee.
- a. Manouk replies that it did not need to be promotion in that sense, but just an update on what the board was working on.
- 440 4. Harald Eversmann mentions that this is just like four years ago when the same discussion took place regarding the first years' camp. And that the committee should not get too worked up about it because the older years just want to be a part of everything.
- 445 c. Philippe Tuinman wonders when the integration week will take place
- i. Sebastiaan van Loon is not sure but thinks it is planned for the week of September 28th.
1. The GMM replies: "Da is!"
- d. Philippe Tuinman is confused why Sebastiaan van Loon just mentioned that the integration week is not for the integration of older members with the new first years, while 3.24 Integration Week Committee mentions that this is a goal of the committee.
- 450 i. Renske Mulder explains that this is mostly regarding the committee members of the organising committees, just like camp.
- e. Philippe Tuinman also wonders if the GraphiCie will be involved in the promotion of the integration week
- 455

- i. Sebastiaan van Loon answers that they will be asked to design a promotional image in the coming week.
- f. Ellis Dijkstra would like an addition to make clear if the committee will continue after this year.
 - i. Renske Mulder answers that this is completely up to board 10.0.
 - 1. **AP Board 10.0 (38.08)** See if the integration week should be kept as an activity and permanent committee.

15. Page 37

- b. Daniela van Meggelen asks why Protographers were not always requested by organising committees.
 - i. Sanne Bouman answers that this is up to the committees and that some committees did not often request Protographers even after many reminders from their board responsible.
 - ii. Maartje Huveneers also adds that board 9.0 found it hard to distinguish between events that should have a Protographer and that do not need one. Which resulted in not many activities having a Protographer.
 - iii. Manouk Hillebrand also adds that there were not a lot of active members in the Protography that reacted to the committee requests.

16. Page 48

- b. Frank Lammers wonders if the 10% of members that thought the board acts superior to members also left any specific feedback.
 - i. Maartje Huveneers answers that they did not.

17. Page 54

- b. Frank Lammers thinks that his additional comment to the survey was wrongly interpreted.
 - i. His comment was meant to note that “well organised” does not mean an activity cannot be fun. A well organised activity is not by definition a good activity.
- c. Philippe Tuinman also mentions that it would have been nice for members to be able to explain why they gave certain answers. For example, why they thought the board acted superior.

18. *Voting: approval of Yearly Report 2019-2020*

- b. Vote passes unanimously
 - i. **AP Board 9.0 (38.09)** Correct Yearly Report 2019-2020 and upload it to the DokuWiki.

490 **8. Current state of affairs SBZ**

1. Laura Kester wonders how long the discussion about this document will take because she really needs to pee but has comments about the next document.
 - c. Maartje Huveneers answers that this totally depends on the GMM
 - i. Laura Kester asks the GMM if they think this will take longer than five minutes.
 1. The GMM answers yes.
2. Maartje Huveneers explains that this document states that the SBZ Loan Proposal will only be approved if all GMMs of the SBZ associations approve the document. Because at least one of the SBZ associations has already denied the document it will not be approved. The GMM will still vote on the document to indicate the opinion of the GMM.
3. General remarks
 - a. A lot of people stand up to walk to the microphone.
 - b. Jur van Geel: “koffiedik kijken is een sport, maar dit is echt nog een stap verder.”
 - i. He is convinced that this document and the SBZ Loan Proposal is a lot of doom thinking and focuses on a lot of things that are very uncertain.

505 **9. SBZ Loan Proposal (for Approval)**

1. Jur van Geel advises the GMM to vote blank on the SBZ Loan Proposal.
 - c. He continues to explain that the document does not use any realistic or known costs and that it is unsure how much loss will be made the coming year.
 - d. He believes that Grolsch would never lower the hectolitre-discount for every company in the Netherlands that did not sell as much beer because of COVID-19 risking them to go bankrupt.
 - e. He does agree that SBZ has made some losses and will probably make more losses in the coming year.
 - i. He also agrees that we should not compensate that by increasing the beer cost.
 - f. He prefers to see concrete numbers before voting on the document and believes a blank vote will clarify to SBZ that we do not agree with the way the loan proposal is constructed.
2. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that the document is indeed very speculative and because of that speaks of margins instead of real costs.
 - a. He continues to explain that the reason the GMM needs to vote on this document now is because all SBZ associations have a GMM around this time and it is easier to do it now than to wait for every association to plan another GMM to vote on a new proposal.
3. Sebastiaan van Loon advises the GMM to not vote blank.

- 525 a. Jur van Geel replies that it is better to vote blank because that will mean we are approving the margins and costs presented in the proposal. Which the GMM might not agree with anymore when there is more clarity about the potential losses.
- i. Sebastiaan van Loon adds that a clear vote on the document will give our association a better position in future negotiations about the form of the payment being a loan instead of a donation.
- 530 ii. Sebastiaan van Loon continues to explain that the numbers presented in the loan proposal are the worst case scenario and if the GMM approves the document the payment can only end up being less.
1. Jur van Geel agrees, but still advises the GMM to vote blank, because approving the document means agreeing with the possible worst case scenario which we do not want.
- 535 2. Jur van Geel also adds that if the costs end up being very little it would be better to gift the amount instead of making it a small loan. Which is another reason why we need more clear information than is given in the proposal.
- 540 4. Sebastiaan van Loon notes that when the TipCie starts organising drinks again, even if the document is not approved, the beer cost will still be increased.
- a. Mick Tijdeman adds that because the situation is uncertain it is better to not make any more losses and increase the beer cost.

Editor's note: The discussion is shortly interrupted to give Puck Kemper a band-aid because she has
545 *somehow cut herself.*

5. Mick Tijdeman wonders what will happen when SBZ really makes a lot of losses.
- a. Jur van Geel explains that it is a foundation and can simply go bankrupt without costing the associations any money apart from the initial investments.
- i. Frank Lammers asks how much losses the association will make if SBZ goes bankrupt.
- 550 1. Sanne Bouman replies that this is only the initial loan of €2,000,-
- a. Mick replies that that is only the monetary value, the major loss will be emotionally.
- b. Jur van Geel also notes that the initial loan should be seen as spent money and will most likely never be paid back.
- 555 i. Maxim van Leeuw adds that in the past years the Audit committee of SBZ has not been successful in raising the liquidity of the foundation. Which means the foundation

- 560 will most likely not be able to pay back the loans to its
member associations.
1. Mick Tijdenman does not agree, because SBZ has
written a plan on how to pay back its loans.
- c. Thomas Rebel asks why the initial loan to SBZ has not yet been
565 paid back and if we should trust them to pay back any new loans.
 - i. Sanne Bouman explains that the initial loan is a buy-in for
the foundation to build up initial liquidity. She adds that
there are many reasons why the liquidity of SBZ has not
been enough to pay back any of the initial loans to its
member associations.
 - 570 ii. Mick Tijdenman also notes that it was never the intention to
try to pay back these initial loans, unless SBZ would stop
for some other reason than bankruptcy. It is more of a
deposit than an actual loan.
 2. Jur van Geel adds that we might also lose some value in a newly signed
575 contract with Grolsch when we establish a replacement for SBZ.
6. Frank Lammers wonders how expensive the beer will be in the worst case scenario.
 - a. Sebastiaan van Loon answers that one beer will cost around €1,- in the coming year.
 7. Jur van Geel also has the important note that if we end up with a new loan to SBZ he would
580 like to see a loan structure so we will not have a discussion every year on how SBZ will pay
back their loans.
 - a. Sebastiaan van Loon also agrees that most of the member associations of SBZ will
probably want their loans back and would therefore also prefer a clear loan structure.
 - b. Jur van Geel would advise to make the payment a donation, just so there will be no
need for discussion in the future.
 - 585 8. Daniela van Meggelen suggests splitting the vote of the document into the different suggested
options to get a clear opinion from the GMM on the different options.
 - a. Mick Tijdenman agrees and proposes to vote on the ratio of the payment between the
associations and the form of payment, being either a loan or a donation.
 - 590 i. Sebastiaan van Loon adds that only the form of payment is interesting for our
association because the ratio of the payment between the association is very
small and not very relevant.
 1. Peter van der Burgt advises to make the payment a donation even if it
ends up being the proposed €1,400,- because the association will
survive such a small impact.

- 595 a. Daniela van Meggelen would still like to split the voting into voting on the ratio of the payment and the form of the payment
- i. Maartje Huveneers agrees that the GMM will first vote on the form of the payment and then on the ratio of the payment to SBZ.
- 600 9. *Voting: The association gives a loan to SBZ for the losses made due to COVID-19.*
- a. 7 people vote in favour.
- b. 6 people vote against.
- c. 11 people vote blank.
- d. 2 people refrain from voting.
- 605 10. *Voting: The association pays 12.2% of the losses made by SBZ due to COVID-19.*
- a. 18 people vote in favour.
- b. 0 people vote against.
- c. 5 people vote blank.
- d. 3 people refrain from voting.
- 610 11. *Poll: Does the GMM prefer a donation over a loan to SBZ?*
- a. 6 people vote in favour.
- b. 1 person votes against.
- c. 14 people vote blank.
- d. 4 people refrain from voting
- 615 e. One vote is missing, but this is just an informal poll.

Editor's note: No real conclusion is reached as the GMM has voted blank on the document. Due to one or more of the SBZ member associations already voting against the document it is not that important if the document passes through our GMM. The consensus of the GMM is that we need more information before a loan or donation can be given to SBZ.

620 *A short bathroom break is allowed after this long winded discussion.*

10. Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 (for Approval)

1. General remarks

- 625 a. Peter van der Burgt wants to remind the GMM of the letter sent in by the Audit committee.
- i. He advices the members of the GMM to asks questions any time they think "hè?" while reading the financial report.

- 630 b. Daniela van Meggelen would like to see some more transparency in the financial documents. She would like to see some more details on the cash-flow of the association.
- i. Sanne Bouman is afraid that the documents will become less clear when more numbers are added to the tables. But it is definitely something to try.
- 635 1. Daniela van Meggelen advises to look at the financial documents of other associations to see if the financial documents could be improved.
2. Hannah Ottenschot suggests adding the settlements of the different committees as an appendix to the yearly financial report.
- a. Sanne Bouman replies that this might not be relevant for all committees but might work for some of the ones organising larger activities such as the Kick-In or Camp committee.
- 640 b. Manouk Hillebrand adds that if these settlements are added as an appendix it would be preferable to have them formatted in the same way.
3. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.10)** Look into the possibility of creating more transparency in the financial documents of Proto.
- 645 f. Mick Tijdenman plays: Boss Bitch by Famke Louise (“one of the Netherlands’ best poets”)
- i. “Ik zit in een meeting over money enzo...”
- g. Sanne Bouman wants to mention the errata of the yearly financial report 2019-2020. Maartje Huveneers reads them to the GMM as they had not been published on
- 650 time for the GMM.
2. Page 1
- a. Daniela van Meggelen noticed that the title page says “semi-annual” financial report instead of “annual”.
- AP Sanne Bouman (38.11)** Change the title of the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 from semi-annual to annual.
- 655
3. Page 4
- a. Laura Kester asks if the dash under the balance of 1550 Take Away Dinners on 01-08-2019 is just a zero.
- i. Sanne explains that a dash does not equal zero, but instead indicates that the
- 660 account did not exist yet at 01-08-2019.
1. Sanne does have to correct this because 1550 Take Away Dinners did already exist at that moment.

- 665
- a. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.12)** Change the dash under 1550 Take Away Dinners in to a zero in the balance sheet of the yearly financial report 2019-2020.
- b. Laura Kester wonders why no more money is reserved for the Lustrum reservation because the association has quite a lot of money left at the end of book year 2019-2020.
- 670
- i. Sanne explains that unfortunately there was not a lot of communication about this and that it will probably no longer be possible to allocate more money to the Lustrum reservation.
- c. Frank Lammers wonders why 1704 Reservation BonCie differs from the expenses in 4444 BonCie while the BonCie has not been active in the past year.
- 675
- Sanne Bouman explains that the BonCie has collected a total of €18.50 through OmNomCom donations while not spending anything.
- d. Laura Kester is surprised by how much merchandise is sold despite of COVID-19 and wonders what was sold.
- 680
- i. Sanne Bouman explains that most of this is sold before the COVID-19 period and that this amount also includes the pre-ordered sweaters, which have not yet been ordered.
- e. Daniela van Meggelen wonders why there are two different accounts for the reservations of the board weekend.
- 685
- i. Sanne Bouman explains that one is an assets account and the other is a liabilities account.
1. Daniela van Meggelen is still confused why one reservation has account number 1640 while the other has 1602.
- a. Sanne Bouman replies that this is indeed a mistake.
- 690
- i. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.13)** Fix the account number of the Reservation Board Weekend on the Liabilities side of the balance sheet in the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020.

4. Page 5

- a. Laura Kester wonders if anyone has gone after all the income that still has to be received.
- 695
- i. Sanne Bouman answers that that is one of her action points from the last Audit committee meeting, so that will happen soon.
- b.

5. Page 7

- 700 a. Peter van der Burgt mentions that the third column in the reservations miscellaneous table should say 31-07-2020 instead of 31-01-2020.
- i. It is later noticed that more tables have this error.
 - ii. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.14)** Check the dates in the tables of the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020
- 705 b. Laura Kester asks why 1602 – 1770 are grouped in one paragraph because it is currently very confusing to read.
- i. Sanne Bouman explains that all these accounts are made for the same reason because there are things that must be paid in the book year 2020-2021 from the budget of book year 2019-2020. Therefore, they are grouped together.
 - 1. Laura Kester would still like to see them split up in some way to make
- 710 this part easier to read.
- a. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.15)** Split up the explanations of the assets and liabilities reservations in the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 to make it easier to read.
- 715 c. Daniela van Meggelen noticed that there are still open reservations from 2016 and wonders if anyone has already gone after these invoices.
- i. Sanne Bouman explains that some previous treasurers have gone after these invoices but were not successful.
 - ii. Sanne Bouman also explains that if these companies do not send an invoice they will expire after 5 years.
 - 1. This means that some reservations can be removed from the
- 720 Reservations Miscellaneous table.
- a. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.16)** Check if any of the Reservations Miscellaneous have expired and can be removed from future financial reports.
- 725 d. Sanne Bouman noticed that the Apple Developers License should not be in the reservations miscellaneous table, because it will be paid in the coming year.
- i. Jesse Visser also noticed that the Apple Developers License does not cost €100,- but \$99,-.
- 730 e. Maxim de Leeuw wondered what the reservation Sustainable Solution KICC 2018-19 was.
- i. After looking it up it turns out to be a reservation that was not used during the KICC 2018-19 but can still be used by the board or the KICC for sustainable solutions.

- 735 a. Laura Kester asks when the hardware of the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee needs to be replaced. So, when will the reservations be used.
- i. Sanne Bouman explains that the reservations will be capped when enough money for new hardware has been reserved.
 - ii. Jesse Visser adds that the Budget Plan of 2020-2021 has more clarity on what reservations for the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee will be needed for the coming years.
 - 1. He also explains that there have been some changes to the hardware of the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee and that there have been some changes to the reservations because of that.
- 740
- 745 b. Laura Kester wonders what the OmNomCom Random Reservation covers.
- i. Sanne explains that this reservation covers anything not covered by the other reservations.
 - 1. This could for example be a freezer drawer, because you would not want to take money from the reservation for a whole new freezer just to replace one drawer in the current one with the change of the whole freezer still breaking down.
- 750

7. Page 9

- a. Frank Lammers wonders why the Profit/Loss Summary table does not mention the Liquidity Increase at the end of the year.
- i. Sanne Bouman explains that this is because there is no separate account for liquidity, it is just a part of the overall balance of the association's bank account. In the same table you can see that in the end the liquidity of the association has increased by more than €7,000,-
- 755

8. Page 10

- 760 a. Frank Lammers asks why a lot of the printing costs made at the public Xerox printers were not invoiced by board 9.0.
- i. Maartje Huveneers answers that the costs were so low that the board did not see it necessary to invoice any of it.
 - 1. Frank Lammers advises board 10.0 to invoice any printing costs they make.
 - 2. Jur van Geel mentions that it might be possible to request a Xerox printing pass from LISA and connect this to the Proto bank account.
 - a. **AP Board 10.0 (38.17)** Look into the possibility of requesting a Xerox printing pass from LISA.
- 765
- 770 b. Frank Lammers wonders why only 21.99% of 3130 – Good Idea Budget is used, despite there being a lot of good ideas on the good idea board in the Protopolis.

- i. "Over there", Frank Lammers says while pointing at the Protopolis
- ii. Maartje Huveneers replies that most of the good ideas did not cost any money to implement. The good ideas that did cost money were not very practical and did not get implemented.

775

9. Page 12

a. Laura Kester does not understand why €920,- was spent on 3140 – Board Weekend while no board weekend was organised that year.

i. Sanne Bouman replies that this is a reservation made for the board weekend that will still happen the weekend after this GMM.

780

1. The board weekend that was planned earlier did also have some cancellation fees. These costs are determined unforeseen due to COVID-19.

2. Laura Kester would like these costs to be split up in the description of 3140 – Board Weekend, to make clear what part is unforeseen.

785

a. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.18)** Split up the cost of 3140 – Board Weekend in the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 to make clear what costs were unforeseen.

b. Ellis Dijkstra is very annoyed by the "visits" between the descriptions of account 3180 and 3190.

790

10. Page 13

a. Daniela van Meggelen wonders when the subsidy for the statute changes will be received from the Student Union.

i. Sanne Bouman notes that the Student Union often takes quite long to pay out subsidies and that there has not been an indication on when the subsidy will be paid out.

795

11. Page 14

a. Laura Kester recommend making a reservation for a future symposium because it can get quite expensive.

i. Manouk Hillebrand replies that the symposium does not need much more money than they get normally.

800

b. Frank Lammers notes that the SportCie was one of the view committees that could organise activities during the COVID-19 period and he wonders why they did not do so.

Maartje Huveneers replies that at first the board and the committee preferred to not organise any activities for the safety of the members. Later they tried to organise some activities in collaboration with other organisations, which turned out to be very difficult due to the COVID-19 measures.

805

12. Page 17

- 810 a. Laura Kester misses a plan on what will happen with the DIYCie materials if one of the activities cannot be held.
- i. Sanne Bouman replies that there is a very high change that the activity will be held so there is no need for an alternative plan for the materials.
- 815 1. *Editor's note: By now the activity of DIYCie 1.0 has finally taken place, after 3 years of planning and postponing. It was a lot of fun and everyone enjoyed it a lot!*

13. Voting: approval of Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 on 2020-09-02

- a. Vote passes unanimously
- i. **AP Sanne Bouman (38.19)** Correct Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 and upload it to the DokuWiki.

820 **11. Break**

1. Because there has already been a break and there will also be a break after the change of boards this break is skipped.

12. COVID-19 Policy Plan 2020-2021 (for Approval)

- 825 1. General remarks
- a. Puck Kemper notes that a virus is a fluid as water and the measures around COVID-19 will have to change throughout the year.
2. Page 4
- a. Frank Lammers wonders if it is allowed for Protopeners and board members to make tostis for other members.
- 830 i. Imke Verschuren replies that it was not the intention to create a “tostifeut” and it is therefore not allowed to make tostis for other members.
1. Frank Lammers asks if the GMM agrees with privatising the tosti iron.
- a. The GMM is fine with this.
- b. Frank Lammers wonders why the government Corona tracker app is not used to keep track of the people in the Protopolis.
- 835 i. Imke Verschuren explains that not every member will have the Corona tracker installed and the board cannot enforce this either.
3. Page 5
- a. Frank Lammers really hopes the association can take back the boardroom.
- 840 4. Page 7
- a. Peter van der Burgt misses the TIPCie in the committee division.
- b. Philippe Tuinman wonders why DIYCie 1.0 is in category two instead of one.

i. Jonathan Matarazzi answers that the committee is ready for their activity and does not need many in person work moments anymore.

845

c. Sanne Bouman wonders why the Audit Committee is in category two instead of one.

i. Imke Verschuren replies that this is because the Audit committee should be able to function without being physically on campus, unlike for example the Handyman.

850

1. Sanne Bouman mentions that the Audit committee often prints the bookkeeping to be able to better collaborate during meetings.

a. Board 10.0 agrees and will move the Audit committee to category one.

i. **AP Board 10.0 (38.20)** Move the Audit committee to category one in the COVID-19 Policy Plan.

855

d. Manouk Hillebrand wonders why the Activity Committee Extraordinaire is in category three. Because this committee is very creative and would function better if the members can brainstorm in person.

i. Imke Verschuren replies that many committees would function better in person, but that this categorisation is made to not let every committee meet on campus at the same time. There is simply not enough room.

860

e. Hannah Ottenschot wonders why the Acquisition and EducaCie are in category two instead of three.

i. Imke Verschuren replies that Acquisition has introduced a work moment which made the committee a lot more productive.

865

ii. Jesse Visser replies that the EducaCie meetings can be very long and complicated and it can be beneficial for the members to be present on campus.

1. Manouk Hillebrand mentions that the EducaCie functions just as well online.

2. Ellis Dijkstra mentions that most contact with teachers and staff happens through email anyway.

870

3. Jur van Geel also mentions that the staff and teachers will not be present on campus very much.

iii. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that both these committees are function specific and therefore more essential to running the association.

875

1. Hannah Ottenschot replies that many committees would benefit from an in-person work moment and that this is not a good reason to give the Acquisition or EducaCie more priority over other committees.

2. Hannah Ottenschot also does not agree that function specific committees should have more priority over other committees.

- 880 iv. Board 10.0 agrees and will move the Acquisition and EducaCie to category three.
1. **AP Board 10.0 (38.21)** Move the Acquisition and EducaCie to category three in the COVID-19 Policy Plan.
- 885 f. Frank Lammers still wonders how Board 10.0 will integrate the first years with all the older years students. This is not only a question for the board but also the other members and committees.
- i. Imke Verschuren replies that the first years are very actively seeking social interactions and the association will try to be there for the new students to connect them and integrate them with other members.
- 890 ii. Jesse Visser mentions that the first years have already found the Protopolis and are actively coming by to get coffee and say hi.
- iii. Peter van der Burgt mentions that the do-groups could also help integrate students with the association.
- g. Daniela van Meggelen wonders if board 10.0 is planning on visiting lectures
- 895 i. Jesse Visser replies that most lectures will be online and that the board plans on visiting some of these.
- h. Philippe Tuinman wonders why the Integration Week Committee is in category three, despite being such an important committee this year.
- i. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that although the committee is important, they do not require physical meetings and can function perfectly fine with online meetings.
- 900
5. *Voting: approval of COVID-19 Policy Plan 2020-2021 on 2020-09-02*
- a. Vote passes unanimously
- i. **AP Board 10.0 (38.22)** Correct COVID-19 Policy Plan 2020-2021 and upload it to the DokuWiki.
- 905

13. Policy Plan 2020-2021 (for Approval)

1. General remarks.
- a. Peter van der Burgt again mentions he would have liked to see the committee pieces earlier through WhatsApp or another channel.
- 910 b. Philippe Tuinman likes the addition of clickable links in the document.
2. Page 5
- a. Philippe wonders what board 10.0 looks like, because there are no pictures included in the Policy Plan.
- i. **AP Board 10.0 (38.23)** Add pictures of the board members to the board member introductions in the Policy Plan 2020-2021.
- 915

3. Page 8

a. Laura Kester wonders what the view of Board 10.0 is on the number of committees of the association.

920 i. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that this is mentioned in the committee chapter of the Policy Plan and that Board 10.0 has considered disbanding some committees but concluded that all current committees have added value to association and should be kept.

b. Philippe Tuinman notes that Sebastiaan van Loon has taken on a lot of committees and thinks this is a bad idea.

925 i. Imke Verschuren replies that Board 10.0 has considered this but concluded that some of the committees are only active for a short period during the year which do not overlap, lowering the workload substantially. The other board members are also able to take over any committees if the workload is too much.

930 ii. Sebastiaan van Loon also mentions that quite some of his committees, such as Symposium or ENTROPcY are self-sufficient or not very active and do not need a lot of steering by a board responsible.

1. Manouk Hillebrand wants to note that Sebastiaan van Loon should not wait too long with organising the next symposium and that it should not be regarded as a low effort committee.

935 c. Maxim de Leeuw wants Board 10.0 to communicate to Sjoerd Visser who the Health and Safety (ARBO) responsible is.

4. Page 9

a. Philippe wonders who will update the opening hours on the website.

940 i. Imke Verschuren replies that this will be done by the person opening the Protopolis.

b. Hannah Ottenschot recommends including the Board Meetings in the standard opening hours of the Protopolis. To make sure members know that they cannot come to the Protopolis during board meetings.

945 c. Ellis Dijkstra wonders if the Protopolis will always be open on Wednesdays until 22:00, even when there are no members around.

i. Jesse Visser answers that this will not be the case and that this mostly counts for ProtoNights.

5. Page 10

950 a. Philippe wonders if the secretary function training will include a workshop in active minute taking. As was discussed during a previous GMM.

i. This was also an action point of Hannah Ottenschot and which would have been communicated to Jesse Visser.

1. Jesse Visser replies that he is not sure if Hannah Ottenschot has talked to him about this point.

955

a. Hannah Ottenschot replies that she has thought about it and decided it was not needed.

b. Jesse Visser notes that he is not sure on how to implement this in the training, because writing down what someone says is very different from following along with a heated argument during a committee meeting.

960

i. Mick Tijdeman would like to volunteer to roleplay an argument during a committee meeting for the secretary function training.

ii. Maartje Huveneers also recommends using a recording of an actual committee meeting to practice with.

965

ii. Philippe Tuinman would still recommend including an active minute taking workshop in the secretary training.

1. **AP Jesse Visser (38.24)** Look into including an active minute taking workshop in the secretary function training.

970

b. Sanne Bouman wonders how a group session for the treasurer function training would work, because before most treasurer trainings were given one-on-one.

i. Jonathan Matarazzi has not thought about this too much yet but will be sure to figure something out.

1. Sanne Bouman would recommend keeping doing one-on-one treasurer function trainings.

975

c. Philippe Tuinman thinks it is great that Board 10.0 wants to draw attention to the DokuWiki and the How To Committee 101 page.

6. Page 12

980

a. Mick Tijdeman wonders how the member acquisition during the Kick-In went, compared to what was planned and described in 4.3.1 Member acquisition.

i. Imke Verschuren replies that the association has already gained around 90 new members during the Kick-In, which is pretty much all first years that were present during the Kick-In.

985

b. Hannah Ottenschot is worried that Board 10.0 is focussing too much on the first year students and that the older year students are being forgotten.

i. Hannah Ottenschot adds that she is not sure on how to fix this, but it is important for the board to think about reintroducing the older year students to the association and integrate them with the new members.

1. Sebastiaan van Loon is also not sure on how to fix this issue.

- 990
2. Jesse Visser replies that the committees are very important to keep the older years active within the association.
 3. Hannah van Ottenschot suggests keeping in touch with the older years and make sure they keep coming to activities.

7. Page 16

- 995
- a. Frank Lammers would like to see a Proto podcast.
 - i. Puck Kemper recommends asking the board of Daedalus how they have organised their podcast.
 - ii. Laura Kester adds that she would also love to listen to a Proto podcast.
 - iii. **AP Board 10.0 (38.25)** Look into the possibility of starting a Proto podcast.

1000 8. Page 17

- a. Frank Lammers notes that other committees have a whole committee for the book sales.
 - i. Jesse Visser is aware of this and will ask for help if the workload is too much.
- b. Philippe Tuinman wonders why CRITEEC is spelled with two E's and what the second E stands for.

1005

 - i. Jesse Visser does not know what the second E stands for but is pretty sure it should be there.
 - ii. Jeroen Ritmeester replies that he is the one that created the name and that back then no one really liked it. He adds that the committee was never officially named CRITEEC, but no one is sure what the official name is anymore.
- c. Laura Kester notes that the CRITEEC has been inactive for quite a while and wonders if they will become active again.

1010

 - i. Jesse Visser replies that he is not sure, because the CRITEEC has not updated anyone on their activities.

1015 9. Page 19

- a. Mick Tijdeman wonders why the collaboration with other association as mentioned in 4.5.4 External promotion policy should be limited to only EEMCS.
 - i. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that if other associations are open to collaboration, he does not mind contacting them.

1020 10. Page 20

- a. Sanne Bouman notes that the distribution of surplus sponsorship as mentioned in the static policy plan has been quite ineffective in previous years. She wonders if Jonathan Matarazzi has another distribution in mind.
 - i. Jonathan Matarazzi replies that he does not.

- 1025 1. Sanne Bouman asks if Jonathan Matarazzi is planning on putting any of the money in the good idea budget, like previous years.
- a. Jonathan Matarazzi replies that if the surplus sponsorship put in the good idea budget is not being used it could better be distributed over other accounts.
- 1030 i. Sanne Bouman recommends creating a different distribution than mentioned in the static policy plan for the usage of surplus sponsorship.
1. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.26)** Create a different distribution for the usage of surplus sponsorship.
- 1035 2. Maartje Huveneers notes that putting this extra money towards the Lustrum will not work because the surplus sponsorship will only be known at the end of the year.
- b. Thomas Rebel suggests creating a committee to enforce sustainability.
- i. Jesse Visser replies that it is probably more effective to make the committee responsible or another person enforce sustainability within each committee.
- 1040 ii. Daniela van Meggelen recommends creating a workgroup to research sustainable solutions for the association. But keep the enforcement of these solutions to the board responsible.
- c. Mick Tijdeman wonders if board 10.0 will not only look at the sustainability but also the ethics of the products used by Proto.
- 1045 i. Imke Verschuren replies that this was indeed the intention.
- d. Philippe Tuinman recommends to also clearly communicate with the committees that they should keep sustainability in mind when organising activities.
- e. Peter van der Burgt wonders what the policy is for food for helping committees.
- 1050 i. Imke Verschuren replies that this will be the same as was discussed during the previous GMM. Board 10.0 will be more lenient and any committees helping at an activity during dinner time will receive compensation for food.
- f. Renske Mulder wonders what type of achievements are meant in the last sentence of 4.7.2 Social media.
- 1055 i. Imke Verschuren replies that these are achievements like when members participated in the Dutch Design Week or started their own company.
- g. Renske Mulder is also worried there will be too much promotion on the social media channels.
- i. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that there will be a healthy balance of “fun” posts and promotion.
- 1060

- 1065
- a. Philippe Tuinman wonders if Board 10.0 will do committee introduction.
 - i. Sebastiaan van Loon answers that he has not thought about that yet but will investigate the possibility.
 - ii. Maxim de Leeuw suggests making small video introductions to share on social media for example.
 - b. Thomas Rebel wonders what will happen to the plants in the Protopolis now that the BonCie has been discontinued.
 - i. Imke Verschuren replies that if the board members are okay with watering the plants, they will try to keep them alive. She also adds that Board 10.0 will not actively look for new BonCie members.
- 1070

12. Page 24

- 1075
- a. Sanne Bouman wants Board 10.0 to keep the possibility in mind that the DIYCie 1.0 activity can still not happen this year.
 - i. Jonathan Matarazzi replies that the chances are very high that the activity will finally happen.
 - ii. Sanne Bouman suggests that in case the activity can still not continue the parts can be sold to members.
 - iii. Philippe Tuinman suggests that the parts can also be brought to the members or picked up at the SmartXp so the activity can be held online.
 - 1. The committee would not prefer this solution, mainly because many of the participants do not possess the right equipment to fully assemble the project.
 - b. Renske Mulders is confused by the second condition for a request for the DisCo. She does not get why the minimum amount is €30,- because it could also be that a committee would want to organise a very basic party without budget.
 - i. Imke Verschuren replies that these types of parties are not what the DisCo is meant to organise and that this minimum of €30,- is set to make sure the DisCo members can be proud of the parties they organise.
- 1080
- 1085

13. Page 27

- 1090
- a. Thomas Rebel wonders how the drafters will be protected from COVID-19 during drinks.
 - i. Sebastiaan van Loon replies that Board 10.0 has written a separate document regarding COVID-19 regulations at drinks which describes a floorplan, walking routes and other measures against COVID-19.
 - 1. Puck Kemper adds that if it is needed, she can also look over the document because she has quite some experience organising drinks at the Vesting Bar.
- 1095

2. *Editor's note: There is now also a COVID-19 plan from SBZ.*

- 1100 b. Ellis Dijkstra thinks the text describing two members of the board being part of the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee to be confusing.
- i. It seems like the text describes three members of the board as part of the committee, because one of them is both the board responsible and system administrator.
- 1105 ii. Peter van der Burgt adds that this paragraph might be unnecessary overall because the GMM should be able to trust the board members to keep their board tasks separate from their system administration tasks and not abuse their power in either one of these positions.

14. Page 28

- 1110 a. Ellis Dijkstra notes that it might be a problem to plan the committee market during a break because at this point it is not clear if the first year and older year students will have breaks at the same time.
- b. Sanne Bouman wonders what will happen with the Kick-In committee if the Kick-In must be organised differently again due to COVID-19.
- 1115 i. Jonathan Matarazzi admits that Board 10.0 has not put too much thought into that yet and will discuss this with the future Kick-In committee.
1. Philippe Tuinman recommends being transparent about the fact that the Kick-In committee will likely have to organise an alternative Kick-In again. Which might not be as much fun as a regular edition.
- 1120 a. Philippe Tuinman would also recommend evaluating how the online faculty Kick-In has been received by the new first year students.
- c. Sanne Bouman recommends not promoting the OmNomCom on the first committee market, because the committee is currently inactive and does not need more members.
- 1125 i. Daniela van Meggelen does note that the OmNomCom has had a brainstorm on activities they could organise despite COVID-19. This might also be fun for new members.

At 00:15 Ellis Dijkstra left the GMM, decreasing the total number of votes to 24.

- d. Maxim de Leeuw notes that the automated order toolie cannot be used during this time, because the orders will differ from the normal situation.
- 1130 i. Jonathan Matarazzi replies that he is aware of this and that he is also looking into ordering together with other associations.

15. Page 29

a. Thomas Rebel wonders if the pLAN will still have in-person activities.

1135

i. Jesse Visser replies that this is discussed during the last meeting and the pLAN has made plans on how to organise COVID-19 safe in-person activities.

b. Philippe Tuinman recommends Jesse Visser to seek help with the ProtoTrip if he is not sure on how to start organising it because he has not organised such a trip before.

16. Page 31

1140

a. Sanne Bouman thinks the final words are a cute addition.

17. *Voting: approval of Policy Plan 2020-2019 on 2020-09-02*

a. Vote passes unanimously.

14. Discharge Board 9.0 and charge Board 10.0

1. Voting: discharge Maartje Huveneers, Hannah Ottenschot, Sanne Bouman, Renske Mulder,

1145

Mick Tijdeman and Manouk Hillebrand as the Board of S.A. Proto and charge Imke

Verschuren, Jesse Visser, Jonathan Matarazzi and Sebastiaan van Loon as the new Board of S.A. Proto.

a. Vote passes unanimously.

15. Budget Plan 2020-2021 (for Approval)

1. General remarks

1150

b. Mick Tijdeman plays: Boss Bitch by Famke Louise

i. "Ik zit in een meeting over money enzo..."

c. Peter van der Burgt would like to advise Jonathan Matarazzi to let the Audit committee review his documents earlier, instead of a week before the deadline.

2. Page 3

1155

a. Sanne Bouman, Jur van Geel, Frank Lammers, Jonathan Matarazzi and Daniela van Meggelen participate in this discussion.

i. The GMM notes that there is no liquidity budgeted in.

1. Jonathan Matarazzi defends this choice by explaining that Proto has

1160

already gained a large amount of liquidity which in his opinion should be enough to keep the association afloat if needed.

a. In return Jur van Geel notes that the association needs significantly more liquidity to keep afloat in case the income of the association is belated.

1165

i. Jur van Geel gives a short lecture about liquidity to make clear to the GMM what liquidity is used for and why it should be more than we have now.

- 1170 b. As well as that, three years ago the Audit committee decided that for the duration of at least 5 years the treasurer would put a minimum of a thousand euros into the liquidity of the association.
- i. Jonathan Matarazzi was not aware of this agreement.
 - ii. Daniela van Meggelen advises the Audit committee to write a strategic plan for these kinds of agreements to avoid confusion in the future.
 - 1175 iii. **AP Audit Committee (38.27)** Write a strategic plan regarding the liquidity of Proto for the coming years.
- c. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.28)** Budget a minimum of €1.000,- for the liquidity of Proto.
- b. Frank Lammers thinks more people should donate to proto.
- 1180 i. He would like for the Alumni to start donating more money to the association.
- 1. Which could possibly give them certain perks such as for example a newsletter or a free seat at the symposium.
 - a. **AP Board 10.0 (38.29)** Look into the possibility of promoting donations to Alumni.
 - 1185 ii. Jeroen Ritmeester has the wise questions: “Why?”
 - 1. The GMM answers: “Money”
 - a. Jeroen Ritmeester again asks: “Why? We already have so much.”
 - i. The GMM answers: “More Money!”
 - 1190 iii. Peter van der Burgt wonders why the Total of Reservations is €2,850.00, which is a lot less than the €7,550.43 of the previous year.
 - 1. Sanne Bouman explains that this difference is due to some reservations that are not included in this balance sheet but will be in the financial reports.
 - 1195 a. These reservations include only those that are new at the start of the year.
 - 2. It turns out to be a structural issue with the budget plan as it has been for the past years, not a fault in the budgeting.
 - 3. **AP Audit Committee (38.30)** Make clear which reservations should be included in the budget plan and which should be in the financial report.

3. Page 5

- a. Peter van der Burgt noticed that there is no explanation for 3200 - Take Away Dinner Costs.

- 1205
- i. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.31)** Add an explanation for 3200 - Take Away Dinner Costs.
- b. Peter van der Burgt continues to ask why the budget of the constitution drink is increased, instead of ending it when the beer is finished.
- i. Peter van der Burgt does note that he does not mind the increased budget.
 - 1210 ii. Jonathan Matarazzi explains that this choice was mainly made because there was enough money to spend, especially when no liquidity is budgeted in.
 - iii. Peter van der Burgt also notes that it will take a while anyways before we can host constitution drinks, so Jonathan Matarazzi has enough time to consider the budget.
- c. Jur van Geel mentions that it would be better change the SBZ reservation to be income on the Income Statement General Expenses to balance it against the expected expenses in 3650 - SBZ losses.
- 1215
- i. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.32)** Change the TIPCie and SBZ reservation to income on 3650 – SBZ losses.
- d. Peter van der Burgt noticed that 3120 – Activities by the Board has more expenses, so a higher budget.
- 1220
- i. He asks why the board wants to spend more money, indicating they want to organise more activities, because they are with less people.
 - ii. Jonathan Matarazzi explains that the board wants to spend this money to help new students integrate, because this will be harder due to the ongoing pandemic.
- 1225
- e. Peter van der Burgt continues to ask why there is more budget for 3160 – Committee Clothing.
- i. This is also due to the lustrum year having some extra committees and the old budget not completely covering the cost of committee clothing.
- 1230
- f. Daniela van Meggelen asks why the expenses in 3170 – Posters was only dropped by €50.
- i. Because in the policy plan the board mentioned that they would want to use less posters as they will not be as effective due to students not being present on campus.
 - 1235 ii. Daniela van Meggelen believes this amount could be lowered even more, because of the policies of the board.
 - 1. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.33)** Look into the possibility of lowering the expenses of 3170 – Posters even more.
 - iii. Daniela van Meggelen also noticed that the ERO courses are missing from the General Expenses table.
- 1240

1. Jonathan explains that if everything goes right this should be completely covered by the university.

At 01:00 Laura Kester left the GMM, decreasing the total number of votes to 23.

- g. Frank Lammers misses 3175 – Book Fee from the General Expense table.

1245

- i. It is unclear if this fee was payed to IAPC
 1. If this is the case it is unclear if the fee still needs to be paid because they do not provide the books for CreaTe and I-Tech during the coming year.
 2. Frank Lammers is convinced this fee was directly payed to StudyStore.

1250

- a. Jesse Visser contradicts this, because the contract with StudyStore does not mention any fee or expenses to be made by our association.
- ii. No matter to whom the fee needs to be paid, we have not received an invoice last year.

1255

- h. Sanne noticed that the expenses of 3150 – Board Clothing has stayed €600 despite the board consisting of less members. She mentions that in previous years the association has budgeted in €100 per board member instead of a flat rate of €600.
 - i. Jonathan Matarazzi explains that because the association has grown in the past years, it is okay to spend more money on the representation of the association.

1260

1. Jur van Geel does not agree with this statement because he finds that simply having more money is not a good argument to spend more money on board clothing.
2. Emiel Steegh agrees with Jonathan Matarazzi, because the board is with less members and because of that has a higher workload. He thinks it should not be a problem to change the policy to have €600 for the whole board instead of €100 per person.

1265

- a. Jur van Geel does not agree, because this would mean that smaller boards get more money than larger boards.
 - i. He mentions that committee clothing is also bound to the number of people in a committee.
 - ii. Emiel Steegh notes that this is not true.

1270

- b. Sanne agrees that it should not be a problem to increase the budget for board clothing. Even if there were six board members it would be fine to increase the budget to €150 per person.

- 1275 c. Jur van Geel still does not agree but would at least like the description of 3150 – Board Clothing to be changed, to reflect a change in policy instead of simply keeping the same budget as last year without mentioning that more money is made available per board member.
- 1280 i. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.34)** Change the description of 3150 – Board Clothing to reflect a change in policy to spend €150 per board member.

4. Page 9

- a. There is some shuffling and arguing on who can go first.
- 1285 i. Peter van der Burgt mentions he was a chairman and therefore should go first.
- b. Sanne Bouman wants to note that because the committees have mostly been budgeted in as if it was a normal year the committees will have to spend more money.
- i. She advises to stimulate the committees to spend their budget as it is also not good to go under budget and have a lot of money left at the end of the year.
- 1290 1. She noticed that a lot of committees tend to not spend much money on their activities to save it for a final larger activity.
- c. Peter van der Burgt thinks it is great that we will receive a sponsorship for the integration week of €1,000 from the CreaTe study programme but would also like the association to spend some money on these activities.
- 1295 i. Jonathan Matarazzi explains that the integration week committee has already made their budget plan and does not think they will need more than the €1,000.
1. Peter van der Burgt would still like for Jonathan Matarazzi to investigate the possibility of spending some money on the integration week. Even if it is just to show that the association wants to put effort into integrating the new students.
- 1300 2. Editor's note: Unfortunately, the integration week has largely been cancelled due to new regulations put in place by the government regarding the ongoing pandemic. Some costs were already made, and it is now unclear if we will still receive the sponsorship from the CreaTe study programme.
- 1305

5. *At 01:09 Emiel Steegh left the GMM, decreasing the total number of votes to 22.*

- a. Frank Lammers noticed that the income of 4140 – Gala Committee is €6,000, which would mean that with 100 participants the Gala would cost €100 per ticket. Which he finds quite expensive

- 1310 i. Other members of the GMM mention that normally there are at least 175 people at the Gala making the tickets a lot cheaper.
- b. Frank Lammers also asks why Camp still has a budget as he thought it would not continue due to COVID-19.
- 1315 i. Sebastiaan van Loon explains that the board still plans to organise a camp at the end of the academic year, if possible.
1. Maxim de Leeuw notes that it might be a problem to receive subsidy from the University if two camps are organised shortly after each other.

6. Page 13

- a. Sanne Bouman would like to see an addition to 4460 – DIYCie to make clear what happens if one of the DIYCie activities cannot continue as was discussed during the review of the Policy Plan of Board 10.0.
- 1320 i. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.35)** Add to the description of 4460 – DIYCie what happens if one of the activities cannot continue.

7. Page 14

- 1325 a. Peter van der Burgt noticed that the operating result for 4140 – Gala Committee and 4221 – Lustrum committee is €2,500, while the reservations say there are no expenses.
- i. This is the same issue as with the SBZ reservations, it states the income as being the amount taken from the reservation.
- b. Jur van Geel is confused by the division of the Lustrum reservation over the Lustrum committee and Almanac committee.
- 1330 i. He thinks it is weird that the Almanac budget is doubled compared to the previous Lustrum, while the Lustrum committee keeps the same budget.
1. Jonathan Matarazzi explains that this is mainly because this year we want to make the Almanac free, which was not possible during the previous Lustrum. He also notes that the Lustrum committee did not request more budget.
- 1335 a. Jur van Geel contradicts this by saying that the previous Almanac cost at least €2,000.
- i. Jesse Visser continues by explaining that the Almanac committee has made a great deal with GildePrint by threatening to print the Almanac at another printer, which made them lower the price to around €1,600.
- 1340 1. Which will mean that the Almanac committee needs to gather €600 in sponsoring.

- 1345 c. It is unclear to Hannah Ottenschot how much budget the Lustrum committee will receive because the description of 1630 – Lustrum only mentions €900, but the committee was told they would receive a budget of €1,500.
- i. This is because the €600 that is normally reserved for the Dies committee is also part of the budget of the Lustrum committee, but this is not included in the
- 1350 description of 1630 – Lustrum.
1. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.36)** Change the description of 1630 – Lustrum to include the €600 normally reserved for the Dies committee.
- d. Frank Lammers misses the OmNomCom expenses on this page, but also in the rest of the document.
- 1355 i. Jonathan Matarazzi explains that the OmNomCom does not have a dedicated committee budget and that all income and expenses made by the OmNomCom can be found in the Result Accounts table and 3600 – OmNomCom.
- e. Sanne wonders if it was discussed with the pLAN if they want another €50 put towards their reservation.
- i. It has been discussed with the pLAN and the reservation will be capped after this year, to a total of €150.
- 1360

At 01:26 Jeroen Ritmeester left the GMM, decreasing the total number of votes to 21.

- f. Peter van der Burgt would like to see the budget plan of the pLAN and Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee in the appendix, just like last year.
- 1365 i. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.37)** Add the budget plan of the pLAN and Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee to the appendix.
- g. Peter van der Burgt also wonders why the reservations for the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee is only €200 instead of the €1,500 of last year.
- i. Sanne Bouman corrects Peter van der Burgt, because the reservation of €1,500 last year was for both the OmNomCom and Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee and was put under a general source called hardware.
- 1370 1. Peter van der Burgt would again like to emphasise that it would be clearer if there was an appendix with the committee's respective budget plans.
- 1375 h. Jur thinks the Reservations table is wrong as there should not be any mutations in this table.
- i. Currently the table states that the pLAN and Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee will only have expenses towards their reservations and the other committees only take money from the reservation and put nothing back.

1380
1385
1390
1395
1400
1405
1410
1415

8. Page 15

- a. Sanne notes that currently the income of both 1410 – TIPCie and 3600 – OmNomCom are the same as last year, but with the new policies due to the ongoing pandemic this year will probably look a lot different.
 - i. She expects a decrease in income for both result accounts because the association will be selling a lot less from the OmNomCom and will have less drinks throughout the year.
 - 1. She continues to advise to reconsider the income for these result accounts.
 - 2. She recommends to also consider the amount needed to keep the same reservations for the OmNomCom which would possibly mean an increased price margin on the items sold in the OmNomCom
 - a. Jonathan Matarazzi does note that last year the resulting income of the OmNomCom at the end of the year was significantly higher than was estimated which means that the amount currently estimated might be more realistic for this year.
- b. Peter van der Burgt finds it unclear if the *Display cupboards* in the table under 3600 – OmNomCom refers to the display (monitor) in the OmNomCom cupboards or the cupboard itself.
 - i. Sanne Bouman clarifies that it refers to the blue OmNomCom cupboards and that the monitor is part of the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again hardware reservation.
- c. Peter van der Burgt asks Maxim de Leeuw why he did not finish the payment of the old tosti grill before buying a new one and throwing away the old one.
 - i. This is a misconception, the tosti grill mentioned under 3600 – OmNomCom is the current tosti grill and the new tosti grill mentioned is the reservation for a new tosti grill in case the current one breaks.
 - 1. Peter van der Burgt advises to add a note clarifying if an item in the table under 3600 – OmNomCom is a reservation or a part-payment.

9. Voting: approval Budget Plan 2020-2021

- a. Peter van der Burgt would like to postpone voting on the Budget Plan 2020-2021 as there are several problems that need to be looked at together with the Audit committee.
 - i. The GMM agrees, the voting is postponed and a new GMM will be planned.
 - 1. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.38)** Meet with the Audit committee to discuss the changes that need to be made to the Budget Plan 2020-2021

2. **AP Jonathan Matarazzi (38.39)** Correct Budget Plan 2020-2021 and plan a new GMM to present the revised document.

16. Discharge and charge of the Board of Advisors

1. Before the GMM votes, Jur van Geel wants to object the charge of a Board of Advisors and wants to emphasise that although it is allowed to charge the proposed Board of Advisors it is strongly advised to look for a Board of Advisors with a variety of backgrounds. Unfortunately, the proposed Board of Advisors mainly consists of members of board 9.0.

- b. Jeroen Ritmeester asks if Jur van Geel would prefer a smaller Board of Advisors, so that the members of board 9.0 do not have a majority.

- i. Jur van Geel does not think that would be the definitive solution, he would prefer a diverse Board of Advisors.

- ii. Frank Lammers also does not agree, because board 10.0 is only with four members and will need the advice of as many people as possible.

- c. Maartje Huveneers would like to note that it is a risk to charge a Board of Advisors with a majority of board 9.0 members, but that she believes that they can set their own board year aside and give neutral advice.

- d. Jur van Geel asks if board 10.0 would consider looking for other members to join the Board of Advisors.

- i. Frank Lammers also asks what actions board 10.0 has taken to try to find a more diverse Board of Advisors

1. Imke Verschuren answers that every board member has tried to contact people they know that have done board years at other associations. Although some of the people asked did consider it none of them joined in the end.

2. Frank Lammers agrees that the board has tried their best to find a diverse Board of Advisors and notes that this is possible in the Rules and Regulations by design.

- e. Manouk Hillebrand would also like to mention that she would not like a second round of acquiring members for the Board of Advisors, because the people asked might not be as motivated or feel forced to join.

- i. Manouk Hillebrand also notes that the members of board 9.0 have experience in dealing with COVID-19 measures during their board year.

- f. Philippe Tuinman proposes for one member of the Board of Advisors to be replaced during the coming year if the possibility arises.

- 1450 i. Manouk Hillebrand would like to note that this is a “kut” idea, because a Board of Advisors grows with the board throughout the year, so replacing a member halfway through the year would be counterproductive.
- 1455 1. Board 10.0 agrees that the proposed Board of Advisors is consciously chosen and that if there were any members that they would not have liked to be included, they would have been rejected.
- g. The GMM agrees to continue with the voting on the discharge and charge of the Board of Advisors
- 1460 2. *Voting: discharge Emiel Steegh, Frank Lammers, Philippe Tuinman, Sander Koomen, Thomas Rebel and Tom Onderwater as the Board of Advisors of S.A. Proto and charge Daniela van Meggelen, Hannah Ottenschot, Maartje Huveneers, Mick Tijdeman, Renske Mulder and Sander Koomen as the new Board of Advisors of S.A. Proto.*
- a. Imke Verschuren struggles to pronounce the discharge and charges
- b. Vote passes unanimously

17. Discharge and charge of the Audit Committee

- 1465 1. *Voting: discharge Jur van Geel, Maxim de Leeuw, Peter van der Burgt, Rens Kruining and Sarah Hoekstra as the Audit Committee of S.A. Proto and charge Jur van Geel, Maxim de Leeuw and Sanne Bouman as the new Audit Committee of S.A. Proto.*
- c. Although Peter van der Burgt will be discharged he would still like to help revise the Budget Plan 2020-2021. This is allowed by the Rules and Regulations.
- 1470 d. Vote passes unanimously

At 01:51 Daan van der Veen left the GMM, decreasing the total number of votes to 19.

At 01:51 Thomas Rebel left the GMM, decreasing the total number of votes to 18.

18. Any other business

- 1475 1. Maartje Huveneers wants to thank everyone who has given feedback on all the documents.
- a. As well as the people who have participated in this very long GMM just for personal support.

19. Survey

- 1480 1. Peter van der Burgt asks if there is a drink after the GMM
- a. Unfortunately, due to the current COVID-19 measures there will not be a drink after the GMM.
2. Franks thing(s)?
- a. Frank Lammers has a bottle cap and three cups

- 1485
- i. He will now play shell game with the GMM.
 1. He frantically shuffles the cups after which he asks the GMM under which cup the bottle cap ended up.
 - a. Daniela van Meggelen answers: “Under your finger”.
 - i. To a perplexed GMM he reveals the bottle cap is under none of the cups.
 1. To reveal the trick, he lifts a small magnet from one of the cups making the bottle cap fall out.
 - a. *Everyone claps*
 - ii. After the first trick he asks the GMM to entertain themselves while he gets another prop.
 1. Imke Verschuren asks if someone would like to cite a poem.
 - a. To this Daniela van Meggelen walks to the front of the room to cite the following poem.
 - i. **Zwangerschaps Gymnastiek**
Dat is puffen en hijgen.
Op de maat van de muziek.
Je zou er een kind van krijgen.
 - i. **Pregnancy Gymnastics**
That’s puffing and panting.
To the beat, to the melody.
You’d almost birth a baby.
- 1490
- 1495
- 1500
- 1505
- 1510
- 1515
3. Hannah Ottenschot really wants to show the GMM the first ever, limited edition, handmade Proto scarf.
 - a. Philippe Tuinman asks when the pre-order will open.
 4. Maxim de Leeuw asks if Board 10.0 is ready for their board year.
 - a. Board 10.0 is super enthusiastic!
 5. Philippe Tuinman thanks Board 9.0 for keeping their head cool during the difficult and confusing start of the COVID-19 period and wishes Board 10.0 good luck not catching COVID-19 and not spreading it among the association, and hopes they will make the best of the coming year.

1520 6. In an attempt to get the GMM to close at exactly 02:00, Mick Tijdeman would like to enlighten the GMM about the story of Maartje and the D.

a. Instead the GMM is closed and everyone starts to sing the Proto song.

i. 

*Oh so eastern, on the campus
Stands a study, oh so fine
We're CreaTing for the future
And we're gonna make it shine*

*Proud as Proto, we walk in out now
Everybody stands and cheers
'cause we're bringing in the spirit
And enjoy us quite some beers!*

From this point the GMM is not so sure about the lyrics anymore, but some of them very confidently start singing the third verse instead of the second.



20. Closing

1. The 38th General Member Meeting of S.A. Proto is officially closed at 01:55 on the 3rd of September 2020!

1540 **21. Presence**

The following people were present at the start of the General Member Meeting.

Daan van der Veen	Jesse Visser	Mick Tijdeman
Daniela van Meggelen	Jildou de Jong	Peter van der Burgt
Ellis Dijkstra	Jonathan Matarazzi	Philippe Tuinman
Emiel Steegh	Jur van Geel	Puck Kemper
Frank Lammers	Laura Kester	Renske Mulder
Hannah Ottenschot	Maartje Huveneers	Sanne Bouman
Imke Verschuren	Manouk Hillebrand	Sebastiaan van Loon
Jeroen Ritmeester	Maxim de Leeuw	

Harald Eversmann joined the General Member Meeting at 19:36 before the counting of the quorum.

1545 **22. Recap Action Points**

Recap previous action points

AP	Who?	What?	Status
33.23	Board 9.0	Check together with the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee if the layout of the Proto website can be improved.	Done ✓
33.28	Board 9.0	Look into the possibility of creating a checkbox for Protographers which shows if they get food compensated at the event or not.	Done ✓
34.05	Sanne Bouman	Look into putting a cap on certain reservations for hardware.	Done ✓
36.07	Manouk Hillebrand	Look into creating an open event for Proto's Symposium.	Cancelled ✗ (due to COVID-19)
36.13	Manouk Hillebrand	Look into making summaries and tests on the Dokuwiki accessible to non-members as well.	Done ✓
36.14	Manouk Hillebrand	Look into the summary database from Yasmin Salce and Ruben van den Berg that Peter has access to and see if these can be added to the DokuWiki.	Done ✓
36.17	Board 9.0	Look into distinguishing between different career events in upcoming surveys, be it the yearly survey or as a suggesting for the next board.	Done ✓
36.22	Board 9.0	Look into possible problems caused by EROs not being present from 18:00 till the starting time of an activity during the evening and think of a solution.	Cancelled ✗ (due to COVID-19)
36.24	Renske Mulder	Talk to the EmergenCie about the necessity of food compensation during activities other than drinks regarding the adjustments to the Food for Helpers rule.	Done ✓
36.25	Board 9.0	Contact the legal consultant of the University to gather advice regarding societies.	Done ✓

36.27.2	Audit committee	Decide if an evaluation on the (connection between board and) Audit committee needs to be added to the yearly report.	Done ✓
36.37	Board 9.0	Ask the Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again Committee to look into tracking the number of participants that visit drinks.	Done ✓
37.01	Sanne Bouman	Change the colour of the numbers that represent what was budgeted at the start of the year throughout the document from grey to black.	Done ✓
37.02	Sanne Bouman	Add the plans for the savings account for the rest of the year to the explanation about this ledger	Done ✓
37.03	Sanne Bouman	Add the plans for the general register to the explanation about this ledger.	Done ✓
37.04	Sanne Bouman → Jonathan Matarazzi	Arrange a sticker to put on Proto's cash register to show the amount of cash money inside has not changed between Audit Committee meetings.	To be done ☐
37.05	Sanne Bouman	Transfer the profit of the 'emballage' ledger to the OmNomCom result account at the end of the year.	Done ✓
37.06	Sanne Bouman	Come up with a new name for the inventory depreciation, that does not include the word 'inventory'.	Done ✓
37.07	Sanne Bouman	Discuss the extent of the inventory on the balance sheet with the Audit Committee.	Done ✓
37.08	Sanne Bouman	If the invoices from the university haven't been settled by May, make sure they will be settled soon after.	Done ✓
37.09	Sanne Bouman	Change the invoice from Athom to "€500,-" instead of "€150,-"	Done ✓
37.10	Sanne Bouman	Change 'emballage' to be an English word and add an explanation about what it is.	Done ✓

37.11	Sanne Bouman	Fix the forecast column in the general expenses table, so there is a minus sign added in every place where there should be one.	Done ✓
37.12	Sanne Bouman	Move the money in phone costs back to the reservations.	Done ✓
37.13	Sanne Bouman	Add an explanation about why the food helpers is much lower than expected to the explanation text on page 13 of the Half Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020.	Done ✓
37.14	Board 9.0 → Board 10.0	Make sure Proto's Homey is used.	To be done ☐
37.15	Sanne Bouman	Change the text colour of the forecast in the Expenses Miscellaneous to grey.	Done ✓
37.16	Sanne Bouman	Move the phone costs in the Expenses Previous Years to the reservation for phone costs.	Done ✓
37.17	Sanne Bouman	Make sure there aren't any blank pages at the end of the Half-Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020	Done ✓
37.18	Sanne Bouman	Correct the Half-yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 and upload it to the DokuWiki. (APs 37.01 – 37.03, 37.05, 37.06, 37.09 – 36.16)	To be done ☐
37.19	Board 9.0 → Board 10.0	Make sure to mention that helpers at activities can make use of the food for helpers budget more leniently.	To be done ☐

Recap current action points

AP	Who?	What?
38.01	Hannah Ottenschot	Add the requested additional explanation by Peter van der Burgt to line 298 of the minutes of GMM 37.
38.02	Sanne Bouman	Add the reservation sheet to the audit folder.
38.03	Board 10.0	Contact BOZ on the procedure for receiving funds per primary member.
38.04	Board 9.0	Add evaluations of the EEMCS Workshops.

38.05	Board 9.0	Specify why CreaTe staff does not want to organise ProfDev activities during ProtoNights in 2.2.5 ProtoNights.
38.06	Board 9.0	Add an explanation about the success of the real time Werewolves activity to 2.2.2 Board Activities.
38.07	Board 10.0	Discuss with the Audit Committee and Board of Advisors if they would like to be evaluated in the yearly report.
38.08	Board 10.0	See if the integration week should be kept as an activity and permanent committee.
38.09	Board 9.0	Correct Yearly Report 2019-2020 and upload it to the DokuWiki. (APs 38.04 – 38.06)
38.10	Jonathan Matarazzi	Look into the possibility of creating more transparency in the financial documents of Proto.
38.11	Sanne Bouman	Change the title of the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 from semi-annual to annual.
38.12	Sanne Bouman	Change the dash under 1550 Take Away Dinners in to a zero in the balance sheet of the yearly financial report 2019-2020.
38.13	Sanne Bouman	Fix the account number of the Reservation Board Weekend on the Liabilities side of the balance sheet in the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020.
38.14	Sanne Bouman	Check the dates in the tables of the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020
38.15	Sanne Bouman	Split up the explanations of the assets and liabilities reservations in the Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 to make it easier to read.
38.16	Jonathan Matarazzi	Check if any of the Reservations Miscellaneous have expired and can be removed from future financial reports.
38.17	Board 10.0	Look into the possibility of requesting a Xerox printing pass from LISA.
38.18	Sanne Bouman	Split up the cost of 3140 – Board Weekend to make clear what costs were unforeseen.
38.19	Sanne Bouman	Correct Yearly Financial Report 2019-2020 and upload it to the DokuWiki. (APs 38.11 – 38.15 & 38.18)
38.20	Board 10.0	Move the Audit committee to category one.
38.21	Board 10.0	Move the Acquisition and EducaCie to category three.
38.22	Board 10.0	Correct COVID-19 Policy Plan 2020-2021 and upload it to the DokuWiki. (APs 38.20 & 38.21)

38.23	Board 10.0	Add pictures of the board members to the board member introductions in the Policy Plan 2020-2021.
38.24	Jesse Visser	Look into including an active minute taking workshop in the secretary function training.
38.25	Board 10.0	Look into the possibility of starting a Proto podcast
38.26	Jonathan Matarazzi	Create a different distribution for the usage of surplus sponsorship.
38.27	Audit Committee	Write a strategic plan regarding the liquidity of Proto for the coming years.
38.28	Jonathan Matarazzi	Budget a minimum of €1.000,- for the liquidity of Proto.
38.29	Board 10.0	Look into the possibility of promoting donations to Alumni.
38.30	Audit Committee	Make clear which reservations should be included in the budget plan and which should be in the financial report.
38.31	Jonathan Matarazzi	Add an explanation for 3200 - Take Away Dinner Costs.
38.32	Jonathan Matarazzi	Change the TIPCie and SBZ reservation to income on 3650 – SBZ losses.
38.33	Jonathan Matarazzi	Look into the possibility of lowering the expenses of 3170 – Posters even more.
38.34	Jonathan Matarazzi	Change the description of 3150 – Board Clothing to reflect a change in policy to spend €150 per board member.
38.35	Jonathan Matarazzi	Add to the description of 4460 – DIYCie what happens if one of the activities cannot continue.
38.36	Jonathan Matarazzi	Change the description of 1630 – Lustrum to include the €600 normally reserved for the Dies committee.
38.37	Jonathan Matarazzi	Add the budget plan of the pLAN and Have You Tried Turning It Off And On Again committee to the appendix.
38.38	Jonathan Matarazzi	Meet with the Audit committee to discuss the changes that need to be made to the Budget Plan 2020-2021.
38.39	Jonathan Matarazzi	Correct Budget Plan 2020-2021 and plan a new GMM to present the revised document. (APs 38.26, 38.28 & 38.31 – 38.38)

23. Appendices

Appendix A

1. Bingo card



2. Bingo card, translated

CORONA PROOF ALV BINGO

Frank does a "Thing"	Maartje cuts someone short	Sk8erbois ('Vo) are too loud	Someone gets cursed at	Someone hasn't read a document
People try to work around the COVID-19 measures	Awkward situations due to the COVID-19 measures	pee/poo break is needed	"annoying first years"	Someone has a show and tell
"What are you sitting far apart"	Long discussions between members of the GMM	People are not following the 1.5 metre measure	People are yelling	People are hugging
Pants are down	"What is your name?"	Items get "brast"	D	someone sneezes or coughs and people yell "corona"
Bad puns	Someone is too late	"Send an email"	Needing to poop very badly	Someone secretly brought alcohol

myfreebingocards.com

Appendix B

Drawing by Frank Lammers

